XENON

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Venturing into the Neutrino Fog

Solar ^{8}B neutrino search in XENONnT

Phys. Rev. Lett. 133, 191002

Dacheng Xu
Columbia University
FPD Seminars @ SLAC
April 29th, 2025

Why DM and How to Search for it?



NASA, https://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2006/1e0657/

Astrophysical and Cosmological evidence:

Without dark matter, the night sky would be dark, and there would be no one to see it.



Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 119 (2021) 103865

Produce DM, wait for its annihilation, or detect the interaction of DM with Standard Model Particles.

Why DM and How to Search for it?



NASA, https://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2006/1e0657/

Astrophysical and Cosmological evidence:

Without dark matter, the night sky would be dark, and there would be no one to see it.



Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 119 (2021) 103865

Produce DM, wait for its annihilation, or detect the interaction of DM with Standard Model Particles.

Neutrino Fog for WIMP

COHERENT, Science 357 (2017)



- To-date no evidence for WIMPs so we push upper limits lower
- Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEvNS)
- CEvNS has the same signature as WIMP interaction: nuclear recoil
- Solar neutrino is the unavoidable background for DM

European Physical Journal C, 81, 907 (2021)



Symmetry 2024, 16(2), 201



dacheng.xu@columbia.edu

Neutrino Fog for WIMP

- To-date no evidence for WIMPs so we push upper limits lower
- Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEvNS)
- CEvNS has the same signature as WIMP interaction: nuclear recoil
- Solar neutrino is the unavoidable background for DM

COHERENT, Science 357 (2017)



European Physical Journal C, 81, 907 (2021)



Symmetry 2024, 16(2), 201



Today's Focus

dacheng.xu@columbia.edu

XENON Collaboration

- 200+ members
- 30 institutes
- 12 countries

dacheng.xu@columbia.edu

Content - Physics result & technical improvement

- Introduction
  - The XENONnT experiment, detector characteristic
- Signal & Background
  - Calibration in low energy nuclear recoil
  - Background: Accidental Coincidence(dominant), ER, Neutron, Surface
- Inference and Result

XENON Detector Principle

Two-Phase LXe Time Projection Chamber (TPC)



- 3D position resolution via light (S1) and charge (S2) signals
- S1/S2 depends on particle type
- Fiducialization (select volume with the least background)



XENONnT Under the Gran Sasso





Drift Length	Diameter	Sensitive Target	Fiducial Mass	Drift Field
1.5 m	1.32 m	5.9 tonne	~4 tonne	23 V/cm

Search for ^{8}B CEvNS

- Use Science Run 0 & 1:
  - 108.0 days (SR0) + 208.5 days (SR1)
  - Fiducial mass: ~4 tonne
  - Exposure: ~3.5 t·y
- Perform blind analysis
  - The features of data will be hidden from analysts to ensure unbiased signal and background prediction



dacheng.xu@columbia.edu

Signal & Background

- Discovery significance ~ $S/\sqrt{B}$



How large are the light and charge signals?



Calibration with Neutron Source: ^{88}YBe











- Excellent match between data and model
- Fit the NEST model with the ^{88}YBe data to predict the light and charge yield in the ^{8}B CEvNS energy range at the XENONnT drift field

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
dacheng.xu@columbia.edu

Calibration with Neutron Source: ^{88}YBe







Energy deposition -> photon + electron





- Excellent match between data and model
- Fit the NEST model with the ^{88}YBe data to predict the light and charge yield in the ^{8}B CEvNS energy range at the XENONnT drift field

Calibration with Mono-E Electronic Recoils





Science Run	g1 [PE/ph]	g2 [PE/e]
SR0	0.1515 ± 0.0014	16.45 ± 0.64
SR1	0.1367 ± 0.0010	16.85 ± 0.46

- $S1 = g_1 \times n_\gamma$ (photon detection efficiency)
- $S2 = g_2 \times n_e$ (charge amplification)

Calibration with Mono-E Electronic Recoils



photon + electron —> S1 & S2

Science Run	g1 [PE/ph]	g2 [PE/e]
SR0	0.1515 ± 0.0014	16.45 ± 0.64
SR1	0.1367 ± 0.0010	16.85 ± 0.46

- $S1 = g_1 \times n_\gamma$ (photon detection efficiency)
- $S2 = g_2 \times n_e$ (charge amplification)

^{8}B CEvNS Signal Region of Interest



S1 Range: 2 & 3 hits



Use in Inference

S2 Range: 120 - 500 PE

- A hit usually corresponds to a photon hitting the PMT and is recorded by our DAQ and software
- S2 threshold of 120PE is used to reject high isolated S2 background

^{8}B CEvNS Signal Model



- SR0: 1.17 t·y
- SR1: 2.34 t·y

appletree 0.5.1

```
pip install appletree
```

Solar SM + SNO measurement	SM CEvNS cross-section
Detector Response	Efficiency

Sig.		Bkg.	
1	2	?	?

dacheng.xu@columbia.edu

Electronic Recoil Background

Sig. Bkg.

1 2 : < 1





Science Run	CEvNS ROI (^{220}Rn Model)	CEvNS ROI (NESTv2 Model)
SR0	0.13	~0
SR1	0.56	~0

Final background prediction (conservative):

- SR0: 0.13 ± 0.13 Events
- SR1: 0.56 ± 0.56 Events

Neutron Background

Sig. Bkg.

1 2 : 0 1



^{8}B FV mass ~ 4t





- Rate estimated by full chain simulation
- Uncertainty is determined with sideband data tagged with Neutron Veto

Final background prediction:

- SR0: 0.13±0.07 Events
- SR1: 0.33±0.19 Events

Surface Background

Sig. Bkg.

1 2 : 0 1





A radial cut is placed to reduce the background on the inner surface of the PTFE panels

Final background prediction:

- SR0: 0 (< 0.12 Events), $R_{max} = 60.15$cm
- SR1: 0 (< 0.23 Events), $R_{max} = 59.60$cm

A negligible component in this analysis

Accidental Coincidence in XENONnT

Accidentally pair S1 and S2 peaks









$$N_{AC} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} R_{S1}(t) \cdot R_{S2}(t) \cdot T_{max} dt$$

In low energy NR ROI: (S1 2/3 hits, S2 from few to dozens electrons)

Iso-S1 Rate	Iso-S2 Rate	T max	Raw AC Rate
~ 15 Hz	~ 0.15 Hz	2.2 ms	5 mHz (~400/day)

23 V/cm drift field

Sig. Bkg.



COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
dacheng.xu@columbia.edu

Time Shadow - Quantify the cleanliness of the exposure





Use in Inference

Suppress isolated peaks & Simulation

Isolated S1: 15 Hz →2.3 Hz



Isolated S2: 0.15 Hz → 25 mHz



- After the time-space correlation cuts, the majority of isolated peaks is removed.
- Signal acceptance ~ 75%-85%

- Then we run Data-driven simulation to get the background prediction



axidence 0.3.1

```
pip install axidence
```

S1/S2 Pulse shape into GBDT



Gradient Boosting Decision Tree





Use in Inference





Use in Inference

- Trained with AC vs Simulated ⁸B
- Also use the S1BDT score and S2BDT score as inference dimensions

Validation on ^{37}Ar datasets

Provide High AC Counts to validate the framework



K-shell EC (2.82 keV)

L-shell EC (0.27 keV)



Dataset	Predicted	Observed
In-ROI	731.6	733
ACSideband	349.7	366



Validation on Science data ACSideband

Determine Systematic Uncertainty



	AC Rate[/t/y]
SR0	6.37
SR1	7.58



Unblinding shows within 2-sigma, use the statistic uncertainty of ACSideband to be the systematics

Dataset	Predicted	Observed	p-value (4D)	Relative Uncertainty
SR0	122.7	121	0.33	9.0%
SR1	302.5	326	0.25	5.8%





Analysis Validation by Search for ^{37}Ar L-Shell



L-shell Electron Capture
(0.27 keV)

S1 Rarely detectable





Extended binned likelihood with $3^4 = 81$ bins

4D GoF p-value: 0.7

Inference and Result



Signal and Backgrounds Prediction



AC: Accidental Coincidence Background

- Validated by AC-rich sideband
- Uncertainty: 9% (SR0), 6% (SR1)

ER: Electronic Recoil Background

- Flat spectrum at O(0.1)keV
- 100% conservative uncertainty

NR: Nuclear Recoil Background

- Full-chain simulated
- 58% uncertainty from sideband

^{8}B: CEvNS Signal

- Yields calibrated from ^{88}YBe neutron source
- ~35% uncertainty from yields and efficiencies

Unblind Result

Component	Nominal Expectation	Background + ^{8}B fit
AC - SR0	7.5 ± 0.7	7.4
AC - SR1	17.8 ± 1.0	17.9
ER	0.7 ± 0.7	0.5
NR	0.5 ± 0.3	0.5
Total Background	26.4 ± 1.4	26.3
^{8}B	11.9 ± 4.5	10.7
Observed	37	



The significance of the solar ^{8}B neutrinos via CEvNS in XENONnT at 2.73σ

1/300 chance to be upwards fluctuation of background

Event distribution in important parameters





Set Constrain on solar ^{8}B neutrinos flux and CEvNS cross-section



- Assume the CEvNS cross-section predicted by the SM
- *Or* assume the solar ^{8}B neutrinos flux measured by SNO



Constrain Light Dark Matter



- Another study based on same data
- First Search for Light Dark Matter in the Neutrino Fog with XENONnT
- arXiv: 2409.17868, PhysRevLett.134.111802

Super-Kamiokande

50kt

SNO

1000t

Borexino

280t

KamLAND

1000t

XENONnT

5.9t

XENONnT:

The Smallest Solar Neutrino Detector

Summary and Outlook



- Check our paper online:
  - arxiv: 2408.02877
  - Phys. Rev. Lett. 133, 191002
- With more exposure, we expect to measure the solar ^{8}B neutrinos at higher significance and to better

Thanks for listening!

Summary and Outlook



- Check our paper online:
  - arxiv: 2408.02877
  - Phys. Rev. Lett. 133, 191002
- With more exposure, we expect to measure the solar ^{8}B neutrinos at higher significance and to better

Thanks for listening!

Supplementary

Content - Physics result & technical improvement

- Introduction
  - The XENONnT experiment, detector characteristic
- Signal & Background
  - Calibration in low energy nuclear recoil
  - Background: Accidental Coincidence(dominant), ER, Neutron Surface
- Inference and Result



High Liquid XENON Purity





- XENONnT maintains high electron lifetime thanks to its novel liquid phase purification
- Turn-around time of 0.9 days for entire 8.6 tonnes
- About 90% of the electrons survive the full drift

XENONnT Science Data





Both SR0 and SR1 data are used to search for solar ^{8}B CEvNS and WIMPs Dark Matter, etc

^{8}B CEvNS Signal Model





Model Validation & Systematic Error

Test the mode with AC-rich datasets

- Build events longer than the TPC, thus build Pure-AC events
- In high rate calibration data
- In science search data, select events which only failed anti-AC cuts: ACSideband



Final Prediction & Projected Discovery Potential

Component	Rate [Events]
AC - SR0	7.5 ± 0.7
AC - SR1	17.8 ± 1.0
ER	0.7 ± 0.7
NR	0.5 ± 0.3
Total Background	26.4 ± 1.4
^{8}B	11.9 ± 4.5





We expect to see solar ^{8}B neutrinos at $>2(3)\sigma$ significance with a probability of 0.80 (0.48), with a full 4-D analysis

Set Constrain on CEvNS Cross section of Xe







Figure credit: Kate Scholberg

Time + Position Shadow





Cut threshold set to remove the worst 20% of time & space

Fuse: Framework for Unified Simulation of Events



- Simulation include the microphysics, detector physics, PMT&DAQ response to get events.
- Simulation & data match well

xenon-fuse 1.3.0

```
pip install xenon-fuse
```









S1/S2 Pulse shape into GBDT

$$t_{50p} \propto \sqrt{T_{drift}}$$





1st: 50-percent area range

4th: Drifttime

2nd: Risetime

3rd: 90-percent area range

- Split datasets to prevent



S1/S2 Pulse shape into GBDT

1st: Max hit Area



2nd: Min Time between hits



3rd: # of hits from Top Array



4th: total # of hits





- Trained with IsoS1 vs. Simulated ^{8}B S1
- Utilize this discrimination power in the inference. So do the remaining parameter space of the TimeShadow and S2BDT cut.

ACSideband and new S2 threshold: 120PE



Science Run	Expectation	Data	P-value (4D)	Deviation
SR0	135.9	133	0.74	-0.25 sigma
SR1	368.2	416	0.03	+2.49 sigma

Stability of XENONnT During Science Runs



Stability of XENONnT is well established in both SR0 and SR1