Early Career Inputs to the Future Collider Discussion

Rocky Bala Garg, Josh Bendavid, Saptaparna Bhattacharya, Viviana Cavaliere, Loukas Gouskos, Caterina Vernieri

Various Future Collider Concepts that particle physics community is considering..



Proposed site: CERN



Energy: 380 GeV-3 TeV Length: 11.4-50 km

Circular Colliders: e+e- or pp

Proposed site: China





Circumference: 90 – 100 km Energy of e+e- stage: ~90-360GeV Energy of pp stage: ~100 TeV

Muon Collider: New (but no so new) concept



Future Collider Projects Timeline (2024-2080)



Your voice matters!

- Early-career researchers of today are the ones who will be leading the future collider facilities
- Your interests and ideas will influence the priorities and goals of these facilities
- And your active participation in these discussions ensures that the diverse perspectives are considered, reflecting the needs and aspirations of the entire physics community
- We saw this in action during the P5 Town Halls where community input was actively sought
- So please don't hesitate to share your opinions!

What are the ESG questions?

- a) Which is the preferred next major/flagship collider project for CERN?
- b) What are the most important elements in the response to (a)?
 - Physics potential; Long-term perspective; Financial and human resources; Requirements and effect on other projects; Timing; Careers and training; Sustainability
- C) Should CERN/Europe proceed with the preferred option set out in (a) or should alternative options be considered:
 - if Japan proceeds with the ILC in a timely way?
 - if China proceeds with the CEPC on the announced timescale?
 - if the US proceeds with a muon collider?
 - if there are major new (unexpected) results from the HL-LHC or other HEP experiments?
- d) Beyond the preferred option in (a), what other accelerator R&D topics (e.g. high field magnets, RF technology, alternative accelerators/colliders) should be pursued in parallel?
- e) What is the prioritized list of alternative options if the preferred option set out in (a) is not feasible (due to cost, timing, international developments, or for other reasons)?
- f) What are the most important elements in the response to (e)? (The set of considerations in (b) should be used).

Survey

- We prepared a survey to gather input:
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeQLD1T9eeHfJVDccCYW_pCK9IT785RFiVzFx0otg</u> <u>lowY_aQQ/viewform</u>
 - Aimed at Early Careers but open to everyone
- How are we going to use this input:
 - Gather interest in Level 2 subgroups ⇒ this is useful if we see some subgroups that have lack of person power
 - We can see what matters most to people for the future, and the answers to the questions
 - See trends: Early Career vs more senior colleagues

Questions for Audience!!

- We have built few polls so all of you can participate
- To participate:
 - Open <u>https://pollev.com/rgarg251</u> on your devices (QR code available on each slide as well)
 - The question on the screen will appear on your devices too
 - Responses are limited to one per person
 - Polls are anonymous so feel free to express your opinions

What Career level you are at?



What inspired you to pursue research in high-energy physics?

ses advancing popular awesome Sme Curi iation stud teachers edge Jünd inderstanding smashing books bug niverse invisiblena re bsmresearch fessors boson always contribute experience cutting bit stephen hawkingundergrad professors

If you could name the next particle discovered at a future collider, what would you call it?

photon fundmeon55 dark darkino invisalino usaon dark-higgs ungod susan darkion hyggetronmuskon topponium

What place do you think is best to have the flagship future collider?

sacramento manhattan **Cern** slac fermilab usa us sanfranciscobayarea alloftheabove pittsburgh

If the decision is taken to build a collider at CERN/in Europe as the next project, what should it be?



What matters most in terms of a future collider facility (You can select more than one option)

| Physics Potential | |
|---------------------------|-----|
| | 1st |
| Long-term perspective | |
| | 2nd |
| Timing | |
| | 3rd |
| Careers and Trainings | |
| | 4th |
| Sustainability | |
| | 5th |
| Effects on other projects | |
| | 6th |

If the project of Circular Electron-Positron Collider (CEPC) is approved in China and could deliver first collisions in 2035-2040, up to 10 years earlier than other projects, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?



If the project of the International Linear Collider (ILC) is approved in Japan and could deliver first collisions in a timely manner, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?



If U.S. plans to go for Muon Collider, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?

also if it is build we go with muon collide <3 do we have the technology for the muon collider to be built clic halhf CC-eelep3 Support-uS-project why-do-we-ask-about-cern fccee-unnecessary

If there are some unexpected results/discoveries at HL-LHC or other HEP experiments, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?

energy-frontier discoveries obviously discoveries discoveries discoveries discoveries discoveries discoveries discoveries muon-collider unanswerable-it-depends machine higgs-factory results

I am willing to support the outcome of the strategy process, even if my favorite future collider option is not chosen as first priority.

| Agree | 71% |
|-------------------|-----|
| Somewhat Agree | 18% |
| Not agree at all | 12% |
| I don't care | |
| None of the above | 0% |
| | 0% |

How well informed about the future collider options do you feel?



Do you think the global particle physics community should focus on one flagship collider at a time or pursue multiple smaller projects in parallel?



How important is it to consider environmental and economic factors when deciding the location and technology for the next collider?



Would you consider it acceptable to compromise some physics potential (e.g. achieving half the integrated luminosity at ZH energy) for an earlier start of the project by five years?



How important is it to you that the next flagship collider fosters global collaboration, regardless of location?



If you are currently not involved in any future collider project, could you share the reasons for this decision?

career-prospects work time sure funding lhc too-far-in-the-future-for-me-to-care

Conclusion

Thank you all for your active participation!!

Please don't forget to fill the survey:

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeQLD1T9eeHfJVDccCYW_pCK9IT785RFiVzFx0otglowY_aQQ/ viewform

Additional Material

Cost in Billions from EF report



Plot by L.Brost



Reminder: P5 Priorities

- HL-LHC R1a under any budget scenario
 - Upgrades for Run 4 are on going.
- Offshore Higgs Factory R2c delayed under less favorable scenario
 - Detector R&D **AR10**: To enable targeted R&D before specific collider projects are established in the US, an investment in collider detector R&D funding at the level of \$20M (...) per year in 2023 dollars is warranted.
- 10 TeV Muon (or Wakefield) Collider R4a R&D supported conditionally
 - Detector R&D For the targeted detector R&D, we suggest initially allocating 70% of the funds for Higgs factory detector R&D, with about 30% reserved for 10 TeV pCM detector R&D.

More on P5 recommendations

- 2027?

Recommendation 6: Convene a targeted panel with broad membership across particle physics later this decade that makes decisions on the US accelerator-based program at the time when major decisions concerning an off-shore Higgs factory are expected, and/or significant adjustments within the accelerator-based R&D portfolio are likely to be needed. A plan for the Fermilab accelerator complex consistent with the long-term vision in this report should also be reviewed.

Recommendation 2c: Once a specific project is deemed feasible and well-defined the US should aim for a contribution at funding levels commensurate to that of the US involvement in the LHC and HL-LHC, while maintaining a healthy US on-shore program in particle physics

§8.2 On-shore Higgs factory: (...) If FCC-ee and ILC are judged to be not feasible, a new panel should revisit the possibility of bidding to host a *Higgs factory potentially as a global project and including advanced technology options*.

What Career level you are at?

| Undergraduate | |
|---------------------|----|
| | 0% |
| Graduate student | |
| | 0% |
| Postdoc | |
| | 0% |
| Non-tenured Faculty | |
| | 0% |
| Tenured Faculty | |
| | 0% |
| Emeritus | |
| | 0% |



Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



If you could name the next particle discovered at a future collider, what would you call it?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



What place do you think is best to have the flagship future collider?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



If the decision is taken to build a collider at CERN/in Europe as the next project, what should it be?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



What matters most in terms of a future collider facility (You can select more than one option) **Physics Potential** Long-term perspective Effects on other projects Timing Sustainability **Careers and Trainings** SEE MORE V

If the project of Circular Electron-Positron Collider (CEPC) is approved in China and could deliver first collisions in 2035-2040, up to 10 years earlier than other projects, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



If the project of the International Linear Collider (ILC) is approved in Japan and could deliver first collisions in a timely manner, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



If U.S. plans to go for Muon Collider, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



If there are some unexpected results/discoveries at HL-LHC or other HEP experiments, what should be the next flagship project in Europe?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



I am willing to support the outcome of the strategy process, even if my favorite future collider option is not chosen as first priority.

| Agree | |
|-------------------|-----|
| | 0% |
| Somewhat Agree | |
| | 0% |
| Not agree at all | |
| | 0% |
| | |
| I don't care | 0% |
| | 070 |
| None of the above | |
| | 0% |



How well informed about the future collider options do you feel?





Do you think the global particle physics community should focus on one flagship collider at a time or pursue multiple smaller projects in parallel?





How important is it to consider environmental and economic factors when deciding the location and technology for the next collider?





Would you consider it acceptable to compromise some physics potential (e.g. achieving half the integrated luminosity at ZH energy) for an earlier start of the project by five years?

| Yes | |
|-------------------|----|
| | 0% |
| | |
| No | |
| | 0% |
| | |
| I don't care | |
| | 0% |
| | |
| None of the above | |
| | 0% |
| | |



How important is it to you that the next flagship collider fosters global collaboration, regardless of location?

| Extremely important | |
|---------------------|----|
| | 0% |
| Somewhat important | |
| | 0% |
| | |
| Neutral | 0% |
| | |
| Not very important | |
| | 0% |



If you are currently not involved in any future collider project, could you share the reasons for this decision?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.



