December 19, 2024

# Si-tungsten (SiW) Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

Jim Brau, University of Oregon



Research partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy

# SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

- \* There have been many applications of silicon-tungsten calorimetry
  - Luminosity monitors (SLD, Opal, Aleph)
  - Pre-shower detector (ZEUS, FASER)
  - Test-beam prototypes (SiD, CALICE, EPICAL)
  - \* Forward calorimeter at LHC (CMS HGCAL, ALICE FOCAL)
- \* ECal requirements for particle flow motivate SiW ECal for Higgs Factory
  - \* Isolate showers and nearby particles in a jet
  - \* Measure energies of each shower in jet
  - \* Measure energy and position of isolated gamma showers
  - \* Power management is critical

# SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

- There were three group responses to our call for input from colleagues interested in participating in the HFCC Si-W ECal activity
  - \* Graham Wilson (Luminosity monitor and forward calorimetry)
    - Graham will discuss his interests
  - \* CMS HGCAL group
    - \* Lindsey Gray, Murtaza Safdari, Zoltan Gecse
    - \* "Interested in exploring the simulation and electronics design of a pixellated Si-W electromagnetic sampling calorimeter employing MAPS sensor technology as the sensing mechanism. Pending funding, we will develop further simulations of a full detector, and use those simulations to understand data rate considerations and readout implementations possible using real-time machine learning, working towards fabrication. Furthermore, we will work with the other calorimetry efforts at FNAL towards a proposed calorimetry testbeam area. We will seek to gain practical experience by working with collaborations that have already developed small-scale MAPS-calorimeter prototypes already developed."
  - SLAC/Oregon
    - \* Caterina Vernieri, Lorenzo Rota, Martin Breidenbach, Jim Brau, David Strom

SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

# Digital ECal with Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors

- MAPS development driven by needs of tracking system
- \* Applied to ECal offers improved capability over larger, analog pixels
  - counting mips improves energy resolution
    - for example, 5000 um^2 pixel enables this
      - \* approximate separation of mips near shower max
  - \* containment of shower enables individual particle separation in jets
    - \* smaller than Moliere radius beneficial (not just Moliere radius)
  - \* shower position measurement contributes to jet reconstruction
  - \* timing of sub-nanosecond required to separate accelerator bunches.

## Digital MAPS ECal R&D

#### DECAL prototype reality: EPICAL-2



includes ALICE FoCAL collaborators

SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

#### \* SiD MAPS Project

	Specification	Simulated NAPA-p1			
Time resolution	1 ns-rms	0.4 ns-rms 🗸 🗸			
Spatial Resolution	7 μm	7 um 🗸			
Noise	< 30 e-rms	13 e-rms 🗸 🗸			
Minimum Threshold	200 e-	~ 80 e-			
Average Power density	< 20 mW/cm <sup>2</sup>	0.1 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> for 1% duty cucle <b>V</b>			

The chip was received at SLAC in September 2023



Microscope photo of NAPA-p1

J. Brau - 19 December 2024

Acknowledgement: CERN WP 1.2 for the excellent cooperation: NAPA-p1 uses the pixel masked developed and optimized by CERN, and was fabricated in a shared run led by CERN



# Digital MAPS ECal R&D

 \* EPICAL - full calorimeter tested by European group

24 layers, each

- 3 mm W / 2 ALPIDE CMOS
- 3 x 3 cm<sup>2</sup> active
- 1M (29.24 x 26.88 µm<sup>2</sup>) pixels
- ultra-thin flex cables (LTU Kharkiv)
- compact design: expect  $R_M \approx 11 \text{ mm}$

 SiD - developing sensor optimized for Higgs Factory

	Specification	Simulated NAPA-p1	
Time resolution	1 ns-rms	0.4 ns-rms	
Spatial Resolution	7 μm	7 um 🗸	
Noise	< 30 e-rms	13 e-rms 🗸	
Minimum Threshold	200 e-	~ 80 e-	
Average Power density	< 20 mW/cm <sup>2</sup>	0.1 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> for 1% duty cucle 💙	



icroscope photo of NAPA-p1

The chip was received at SLAC in September 202

#### Two groups engaged in active discussions - EPICAL includes FoCAL collaborators

SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

### Main specifications for Large Area MAPS development

Parameter	Value	Notes	L. Rota	a 📕		JLAC	
Min Threshold	140 e <sup>_</sup>	0.25*MIP with 10 µm thick	epi layer		25 x 100 µm <sup>2</sup>		
Spatial resolution	7 µm	In bend plane, based on S specs	iD tracke		ECal performance same as		
Pixel size	25 x 100 µm <sup>2</sup>	Optimized for tracking(or 2		50 x 50 μm²			
Chip size	5 x 20 cm <sup>2</sup>	Requires stitching on 4 sides					
Chip thickness	300 µm	<200 µm for tracker. Could b EMCal to improve yield.	e 300 µm				
Timing resolution (pixel)	~ ns	Bunch spacing: C <sup>3</sup> stricte 5.3->3.5 ns; ILC is 554 ns	st with		Ecal		
Total Ionizing Dose	100 kRads	Total lifetime dose, not a concern					
Hit density / train	1000 hits / cm²						
Hits spatial distribution	Clusters	Due to jets					
Balcony size	1 mm	Only on one side, where wire-bonding pads will be located.			SiD Tracker and the	e ECal	
Power density	20 mW / cm <sup>2</sup>	Based on SiD tracker power<1 ml			N/cm <sup>2</sup> % duty cycle	8	

## Proposed US Effort on MAPS for ECal

arXiv:2306.13567

US MAPS ECal development will proceed in parallel with US Tracking Sensor Development Efforts (CPAD RDC3) and ECFA DRD3 to enable large scale production at competitive cost.

- FY23-24: Develop power and signal distribution schemes compatible for cal and tracking, in addition to evaluating first pixel results.
- FY25: Design PCBs with variations for the services balcony at the edge of sensors. Submission for sensors for large
  prototype active layers. Understand options for alternative foundries.
- \* **FY26:** Prototype attachment of sensors to PCB, probably with a conveyor oven so large production is feasible.
- \* **FY27:** Build prototype multilayer section with edge cooling and prepare/begin beam test.
- \* **FY28:** Complete beam tests with technical verification.
- FY29-32: Design, construct and test MAPS ECal modules based on final design of sensors and sampling layer configuration.
  - \* Physics and detector simulation throughout this effort to back up project.

#### US MAPS ECal Institutes: SLAC, University of Oregon

SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory







Layout of SLAC prototype for WP1.2 2022 shared submission SiW on TowerSemi 65nm

## Large Area MAPS - Highlights and Next Steps

#### Approach:

- Focus on long-term R&D, targeting simultaneously:
  - ~ns timing resolution
  - Power consumption compatible with large area and low material budget
  - Fault-tolerant circuit strategies for wafer-scale MAPS

#### Highlights:

- 1st SLAC prototype on TJ65nm (2023) from CERN WP1.2 shared run
- Performance of 1st SLAC prototype on TJ65nm (2023) evaluated

#### Next steps:

- New design combining O(ns) timing precision and low-power (2024/2025).
- Stretch Goals: design of a wafer-scale ASIC (2025/2026, design only)

#### Engagement :

- Higgs Factory detector initiative R&D
- DRD 3 silicon sensors
- DRD 7.6 on common issues of power distributions compatible with stitching A. Habib *et al* 2024 *JINST* **19** C04033

C. Vernieri, MAPS DRD 3.1 talk

SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

SiD

# Ultimate Resolution (mips)

Mips(0.1 MeV) sumM2lin Entries 2000 140 695.6 Mean ll mips Std Dev 19.97 = 2.9% Mip threshold = 0.1 MeV 100 Mean = 696.2 mlp Width = 19.1 mips 80 = 2.8% chi2/ndof = 63.4/35 = 1 10 GeV 60 2.8% 20 650 800 Mips Mips(0.1 MeV)-hits sumM2hlin <sup>st</sup> 140 Pixels 2000 Entries 637 Mean Std Dev 21.56 = 3.4% w/mips 100 aussian Fit Mean = 636.9 mlps Width = 21.0 mlps = 3.3% 10 GeV 2/ndot = 60 1/40 = 60 3.3% 40 20-600 650 700 800 Mips/hits 550 750

mip counted once in a layer, when it enters sensor.<sup>SiW</sup> Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory Gamma Resolution vs. Energy (B=5T)



### Mips/cluster vs. shower $R 10 \text{ GeV } \gamma \text{s} - 2000 \text{ showers}$



SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

## Resolution vs. Energy (hits/clusters/mips)

Resolution vs. Energy (hits/clusters/mips) & weighted clusters.



Resolution (%) Gamma Resolution vs. Energy 25 um x 100 um pixels TDR (17/ E⊕1)% Bz=5T hits (B=5T) clusters (B=5T) 10 wtd clusters (B=5T) mip active pixels ALL mips (E>0.1 MeV) 3%  $16.4\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 2.0\%$  $13.7 \% / \sqrt{E} \oplus 1.9 \%$  $12.2 \% / \sqrt{E \oplus 1.4 \%}$ **Cluster properties weighting**  $E \oplus 1.1\%$ improves performance.  $9.8\%/\sqrt{}$  $E \oplus 0.2\%$ 10 Gamma energy (GeV)

Gamma Resolution vs. Energy (B=5T)

### Multi-shower of SiD MAPS compared to SiD TDR $40 \text{ GeV } \pi^0 \rightarrow \text{two } 20 \text{ GeV } \gamma$ 's





Illustrates PFA Potential

# Improved shower transverse position measurement

					1				Shower Clusters	
			Shower position measurement					10 GeV Shwrplot0 Clusters	)Sca	
	Shower spread								0 ≤ Layer ≤ 40	
			all clusters		within 4 mm		1st 20 Layers		35 30 25	
Eγ (GeV)	Y (mm- rms)	Z (mm- rms)	δY (mm)	δZ (mm)	δY (mm)	δZ (mm)	δY (mm)	δZ (mm)		
1	4.7	4.2	1.17	1.04	0.77	0.64	0.68	0.55	$70^{-50}_{-100}$ $-150_{-200-1000}$ $800^{-600-400-200}_{-100}$ $10_{-100}$ $10_{-100}$	yοο
10	4.8	4.3	0.43	0.37	0.22	0.18	0.17	0.15	Averge Y - all events Averge Y - all events avgY Entries 2000 Mean 0.02466 Std Dev 0.4303 mean shower position	Гр. в. 3
50	5.1	4.6	0.21	0.20	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2.5 & -2 & -1.5 & -1 & -0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 1 & 1.5 & 2 \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & &$	

### Different challenges for Linear & Circular Colliders



\* Important, driving motivation for linear colliders is high energy reach.

## Different challenges for Linear & Circular Colliders





#### **C<sup>3</sup> Timing Structure**



\* Linear Colliders use bunch trains, with significant time between trains

- \* compare to FCC bunch spacing at 250 GeV ~ μsec
- \* This enables LC power pulsing, reducing heat load by two orders of magnitude, or more

### Heat conduction from ECal sensor to cold plate



- \* MAPS generates ~kW/m<sup>2</sup> when powered
  - each sensor is 100 cm<sup>2</sup>
  - \* power pulsing can reduce heat load
- \* First heat flows through 300  $\mu m~N_2$  to tungsten
  - \*  $\Delta T \ll 1 K$
- Then heat flows thru tungsten to cold plate
  - \* Tungsten absorber lengths 0.5-1.0 m
  - Temperature rise is length dependent

### Heat conduction from ECal sensor to cold plate



- Duty cycle 0.07% (C3/CLIC) ΔT ~ 0.5 2 K
- Duty cycle 0.5% (ILC)
   ΔT ~ 4 16 K
  - Without power pulsing temperature blows up and needs active cooling
    - \* design for FCC/CEPC (bunch spacing ~µsec)?
    - Iearn from CMS HGCAL

SiW Calorimetry for the Higgs Factory

# Digital ECal Based on MAPS

- \* Higgs Factory digital MAPS ECal offers excellent performance.
  - Well defined EM shower structure allows simple algorithmic optimization of energy measurement.
  - Neural net studies may improve over "informed" algorithm.
  - \* Excellent transverse containment & particle flow separation.
- \* MAPS ECal effort underway for the SiD design.
  - \* Digital pixels for ECal and tracker.
  - \* An effort led by SLAC, with CERN, is progressing on the ideal MAPS design.
- Heat management is critical to successful operation.
  - Power pulsing for Linear Collider
  - \* Need FCC solution design with cooling?
- \* The digital ECal provides excellent performance for particle flow reconstruction.
- \* Future simulation of full SiD detector with high granularity of MAPS ECal.
  - \* What are the limits of transverse separation and measurement?



J. Brau et al., "The SiD Digital ECal Based on Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors," EPJ Web of Conferences 315, 03005 (2024)

## Conclusion

- \* Silicon-tungsten calorimetry offers potentially exceptional particle flow performance in a Higgs factory detector.
- \* MAPS is advancing SiW designs over analog devices.
- \* Further studies needed to understand optimized application of silicontungsten calorimetry to each collider concept (linear or circular).
  - \* Each collider brings specific and different constraints.
- \* We welcome colleagues who are interested in joining this effort.