Charm Before (and Slightly After) the Revolution

Incomplete & Idiosyncratic

First: A Brief Pre-History



SLAC Theory Group, July 1969



Missing: Bj, Stan Brodsky, Joel Primack

Fall 1970

Harvard Particle Theory Group

1970/71: Sydney Coleman Sheldon Glashow } Away Joel Primack

- 1971/72: Helen Quinn David Politzer (GS) Erick Weinberg (GS)
- 1972/73: Howard Georgi Michael Peskin (UG)
- 1973/74: Steven Weinberg (from MIT) Alvaro DeRujula Michael Barnett R. Shankar

Spring 1973: Yang-Mills Asymptotic Freedom David Politzer, D. Gross and F. Wilczek

Color SU(3) _ Fritszch, Gell-Mann 1972 ICHEP QCD: $\alpha_s(q) \sim 1 / \ln(-q^2/\Lambda^{2)}$

e+e-→Hadrons: Howard Georgi & TA, June 1973 Tony Zee, June 1973

u,d,ś: 2

u,d,s,c:10/3

Slow Approach

From Above

14

→ Jets



Figure 53.2: World data on the total cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ and the ratio $R(s) = \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons, s)/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-, s)$. $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons, s)$ is the experimental cross section corrected for initial state radiation and electron-positron vertex loops, $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-, s) = 4\pi\alpha^2(s)/3s$. Data errors are total below 2 GeV and statistical above 2 GeV. The curves are an educative guide: the broken one (green) is a naive quark-parton model prediction, and the solid one (red) is 3-loop pQCD prediction (see "Quantum Chromodynamics" section of this *Review*, Eq. (9.7) or, for more details [99], Breit-Wigner parameterizations of J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$, and $\Upsilon(nS)$, n = 1, 2, 3, 4 are also shown. The full list of references to the original data and the details of the R ratio extraction from them can be found in [100]. Corresponding computer-readable data files are available at http://pdg.lbl.gov/current/xsect/. (Courtesy of the COMPAS (Protvino) and HEPDATA (Durham) Groups, August 2021. Corrections by P. Janot (CERN) and M. Schmitt (Northwestern U.))

Charm

1964, Bjorken and Glashow:



SU(3) → SU(4) u,d,s,c "Charm" "Similarity to the Leptons"



Spring 1970, Glashow, Iliopoulos, Maiani (GIM)

Weak interactions: $G_f J_{+}^{\mu} J_{-\mu}$ Expectation: $G_f J_0^{\mu} J_{0\mu}$ Hadrons: $J_{+}^{\mu} = \bar{u}_L \gamma^{\mu} [d_L \cos \theta_c + s_L \sin \theta_c] \rightarrow J_0^{\mu} = \bar{s}_L \gamma^{\mu} d_L \sin \theta_c \cos \theta_c + ...$ But !! $\Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow all) \sim 10^{-8}$ etc

 $J_{+}^{\mu} = \bar{u}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} [d_{L} \cos\theta_{c} + s_{L} \sin\theta_{c}] + \bar{c}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} [s_{L} \cos\theta_{c} - d_{L} \sin\theta_{c}]$ $\rightarrow \quad J_{0}^{\mu} = \text{Flavor Neutral } !$

• M. K. Gaillard & B. Lee Spring 1974 :

Analysis of K Mesons Higher order Effects







Progenitor: SO(3) Lee, Primack, Treiman 1972

Abstract: $m_c < 5 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta m(K_L, K_S)$: $m_c \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV}$!

• M.K. Gaillard, B. Lee, J. Rosner August, 1974 :

"Search for Charm"

 Φ_{c} ($\bar{c}c$): Γ ("OZI Rule") $\approx 2 \text{ MeV}$



ICHEP, London, July 1974



Burt Richter

"Subject of great intrinsic interest"

"Results flatly contradict all known models of hadron production available up to about half a year ago."







David Politzer, TA



What happens at a $c\bar{c}$ threshold in e^+e^- annihilation?

• Just Above 2 m_c: Im

•Below 2 m_c: Im
$$\gamma$$
 g g g ... γ g g g ... γ

• Orthocharmonium (1⁻⁻)

•
$$\Gamma_{\text{ortho h}} = |\Psi(0)|^2 |M_h|^2 + ...$$

• $\Gamma_{\text{ortho h}} = |\Psi(0)|^2 |M_h|^2 + ...$
• $\Gamma_{\text{ortho II}} = |\Psi(0)|^2 |M_1|^2 = + ...$

$$\Gamma_{\text{ortho II}} / \Gamma_{\text{ortho h}} = [18 \, \pi / 5 \, (\pi^2 - 9)] \, \alpha^2 / \, \alpha_s^3 (2m_c) + \dots$$

8



SLAC



J/ψ Discovery November 11, 1974



BNL



Experimental Observation of a Heavy Particle J⁺

J. J. Aubert, U. Becker, P. J. Biggs, J. Burger, M. Chen, G. Everhart, P. Goldhagen, J. Leong, T. McCorriston, T. G. Rhoades, M. Rohde, Samuel C. C. Ting, and Sau Lan Wu Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

and

Y. Y. Lee Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973 (Received 12 November 1974)

We report the observation of a heavy particle J, with mass m = 3.1 GeV and width approximately zero. The observation was made from the reaction $p + \text{Be} \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + x$ by measuring the e^+e^- mass spectrum with a precise pair spectrometer at the Brookhaven National Laboratory's 30-GeV alternating-gradient synchrotron,

This experiment is part of a large program to study the behavior of timelike photons in $p+p-e^+$ $+e^-+x$ reactions¹ and to search for new particles which decay into e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs.

We use a slow extracted beam from the Brookhaven National Laboratory's alternating-gradient synchrotron. The beam intensity varies from 10^{10} to $2 \times 10^{12} p/pulse$. The beam is guided onto an extended target, normally nine pieces of 70mil Be, to enable us to reject the pair accidentals by requiring the two tracks to come from the same origin. The beam intensity is monitored with a secondary emission counter, calibrated daily with a thin Al foil. The beam spot size is $3 \times 6 \text{ mm}^2$, and is monitored with closed-circuit television. Figure 1(a) shows the simplified side view of one arm of the spectrometer. The two arms are placed at 14.6° with respect to the incident beam; bending (by M1, M2) is done vertically to decouple the angle (θ) and the momentum (p) of the particle.

The Cherenkov counter C_0 is filled with one atmosphere and C_e with 0.8 atmosphere of H₂. The counters C_0 and C_e are decoupled by magnets M1 and M2. This enables us to reject knock-on electrons from C_0 . Extensive and repeated calibra-

Discovery of a Narrow Resonance in e^+e^- Annihilation*

J.-E. Augustin,[†] A. M. Boyarski, M. Breidenbach, F. Bulos, J. T. Dakin, G. J. Feldman,
G. E. Fischer, D. Fryberger, G. Hanson, B. Jean-Marie,[†] R. R. Larsen, V. Lüth,
H. L. Lynch, D. Lyon, C. C. Morehouse, J. M. Paterson, M. L. Perl,
B. Richter, P. Rapidis, R. F. Schwitters, W. M. Tanenbaum,
and F. Vannucci[‡]
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

and

G. S. Abrams, D. Briggs, W. Chinowsky, C. E. Friedberg, G. Goldhaber, R. J. Hollebeek, J. A. Kadyk, B. Lulu, F. Pierre, § G. H. Trilling, J. S. Whitaker, J. Wiss, and J. E. Zipse Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 (Received 13 November 1974)

We have observed a very sharp peak in the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$, e^+e^- , and possibly $\mu^+\mu^-$ at a center-of-mass energy of $3,105\pm0,003$ GeV. The upper limit to the full width at half-maximum is 1.3 MeV.

We have observed a very sharp peak in the cross section for e^+e^- -hadrons, e^+e^- , and possibly $\mu^+\mu^-$ in the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC)-Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory magnetic detector¹ at the SLAC electron-positron storage ring SPEAR. The resonance has the parameters



(full width at half-maximum), where the uncertainty in the energy of the resonance reflects the uncertainty in the absolute energy calibration of the storage ring. [We suggest naming this structure $\psi(3105)$.] The cross section for hadron production at the peak of the resonance is ≥ 2300 nb, an enhancement of about 100 times the cross section outside the resonance. The large mass, large cross section, and narrow width of this structure are entirely unexpected. Our attention was first drawn to the possibility of structure in the e^+e^- - hadron cross section during a scan of the cross section carried out in 200-MeV steps. A 30% (6 nb) enhancement was November 15, 1974: "Orthocharmonium and e+e- Annihilation" *PRL* 34 (1975)

 $m_c \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV} \quad Q_c = 2/3$ $\alpha_s(2m_c) \approx 0.2$

Non-Relativistic, Non-Coulombic



2024 Actuals:

+

 $\Gamma_{\text{ortho II}} / \Gamma_{\text{ortho h}} \approx 0.09$ $5.5 \text{ keV} / 60 \text{ keV} \approx 0.09$ $\Gamma_{\text{ortho h}} / \Gamma_{\text{para h}} \approx 0.01$ $60 \text{ keV} / 32 \text{ MeV} \approx 0.002$ $\int \Gamma_{\text{para h}} \approx 6-8 \text{ MeV}$ Long Literature

"Charmonium Spectroscopy"

Spectroscopy of the New Mesons*

Thomas Appelquist, † A. De Rújula, and H. David Politzer‡ Lymm Laboratory of Physics, Harward University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

and

S. L. Glashows Comber for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 03133 (Reventure 11 December 1974)

The interpretation of the nervow boson resonances at 3,1 and 3,7 GeV as charmed quark-antiquark bound states implies the existence of other states. Some of these should be copically produced in the radiative decays of the 3,5-GeV resonance. We estimate the mesons and decay rates of these states and emphasize the importance of γ -ray spactronance,

Two earlier papers^{1,3} present our case that the recently discovered^{1,4} and confirmed⁶ resonance at 3.105 GeV is the ground state of a charmed quark bound to its antiquark, by colored gauge gluons; orthocharmonium I, More recently, a second state at 3.695 GeV has been reported⁶ with an estimated width of 0.5-2.7 MeV and a partial decay rate $\sim 2 \text{ keV}$ into e^+e^- . We integpret this state as an S-wave radial excitation, orthocharmonium II, with $J^P = 1^{-1}$ and $I^P = 0^{-1}$. Here are three indications of the correctness of our interpretation: (1) Much of the time, orthocharmonium II decays into orthocharmonium I and two pions. This behavior suggests that orthocharmonium II is an excited state of orthocharmonium L^{γ} (2) The leptonic width of orthocharmonium II is about half that of orthocharmonium L not unexpected for an excited state whose wave function at the origin is smaller. (3) Orthochar- $1/4^{B}$, 3.097_{07101} Laboratory-Massachusetts Institute of Technolcgy experiment.³ In a thermodynamic model.⁹ the production cross section of a hadron of 3,7 GeV is suppressed by ~10⁻² relative to that of a hadron of 3.1 GeV. Moreover, the leptonic branching ratio of orthocharmonium II is smaller than that of orthocharmonium I by a factor of 10, We predict the existence of other states of

charmonium with masses less than 3.7 GeV, a



FIG. 1. Masses and radiative transitions of charmostars. ROM's

η_c 3.670

P-states

~ 3.500

η_c 3.050

PARA I

PARA II

3.638

2.984

Hadronic Decay
 Via Gluons

Radiative Transitions

 Ortho II (ψ´) "Below Charm Threshold"

Higher Excitations
 "Above Threshold"

5

The Cornell Group

"Spectrum of Charmonium"

VOLUME 34, NUMBER 6

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 10 FORMULARY 1975

 2_{21} de-excitation of parasoharmonium I. "The value of $\alpha_{e}=0.26$ at 3.1 GeV was obtained in Ref. 1 (room the leptonic branching ratio of orthocharmonium I. Asymptotic freedom, roduces this value to 0.22 at 3.7 GeV. 16 ₂₄. Biohim et al., Phys. Lev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 369 (1975) (filis issue). As pointed out by these authors in the transition orthogeneric out by these authors in the - γ , the orthogenetic value over estimate, our upper limit a gross overestimate,

Spectrum of Charmed Quark-Antiquark Bound States*

E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, J. Kogut, K. D. Lane, and T.-M. Yan't Inhomotopy of Mulear Studies, Conveil Underweitz, Wana, New York 14852 (Deceived 17 December 1974)

The discovery of currow resonances at $3.1 \mod 3.7$ GeV and their interpretation us charmed gas rk-antiguark bound states suggest additional narrow states between 3.0 and 4.5 GeV. A model which incorporate quark coefficient is used to externine the quanttum numbers and estimate masses and decry widths of these states. Their existence should be revealed by pring transitions manag them.

Recently two astonishingly narrow resonances have been discovered^{1,3} at 3,105 and 3,695 GeV. In our view the most plausible explanation of this phenomenon is that of Appelquist and Politzer,8 to wit, that they are $c\overline{c}$ -bound states of charmed quarks c which lie below⁴ the threshold M, for the production of a pair of charmed hadrons." Because of its similarity to positronium this systom has been called charmonium.³ This note is devoted to the spectrum of charmonium." Many of the phenomena that we shall discuss are accessible to existing experimental techniques. If the strong interactions are described by an asymptotically free theory, one may hope' that the short-distance structure of charmonium (in particular, its decay into leptons, and probably also hadrons) is adequately described by perturbation theory in terms of a small "running" coupling constant. In this regime the $c\bar{c}$ interaction would be Coulombic, with a small strong "finestructure" constant α_{α} . At larger $c\overline{c}$ separation, on the other hand, there are rather compelling arguments that gauge theories provide for quark confinement."

If σ_{*} is small and the observed levels do not lie far below the threshold $M_{*,*}$ conrelativistic quantum mechanics should provide a sound zerothorder guide. Given⁸ the sizable cloctrotic widths Γ_{*} of $\psi(3595)$ and $\psi(35,65)$, it is natural⁹ to assign them to the states $2^{3}S_{*}$ and $1^{3}S_{*}$, respectively. This being said, it is at once clear that there should be other levels below $M_{*,*}$ for any confining potential will raise¹⁰ the 25 Coulomb level above its previously degenerate pather 28. On

must therefore expect a multiplet of narrow P states below $\psi(3695)$, feć from the latter by L1 γ transitions, and decaying in tirm into $\psi(3105)$. If 3.7 GeV is not too close to M_{π} , bound D states could also exist.

It goes without saying that many qualitative features of the spectrum can be surmized without resorting to a detailed model. Nevertheless, we have found it informative to simulate the intricate e^x interaction by a simple potential that incorporates both the Coalomb and confinement forces:

 $V(r) = (\alpha_{\mu}/r)[1 - (r/a)^{2}], \qquad (1)$

That the interaction is far from Coulombic follows from the large 2S-1S mass difference, and the fact that^t

 $\eta = \left| \frac{\psi(1^{2}S; r=0)}{\psi(2^{2}S; r=0)} \right|^{2} \approx \left(\frac{2.1}{3.7} \right)^{2} \frac{\Gamma_{+}(3105)}{\Gamma_{+}(3695)} \approx 1.4, \quad (2)$

in contrast to Ref. 8 for a Coulomb field.¹⁰ In analogy with electrodynamics there must also be spin-spin, spin-orbit, and tersor forces, but hopefully they play a secondary role. Near M_c a treatment that accounts for ecupling to decay channels is necessary.

We have determined w₁, a, and the charmedquark mass w₂ by solving the wave equation numerically.¹⁶ and by imposing the constraints (a) $M(2^2S) = M(1^2S) = 0.59$ GeV; (b) $T_1(1^2S) = 5.5$ keV, (c) 1.5 GeV su₂, 2.5 GeV; and (d) 0.1 s a₂ ≈ 0.4 . Constraint (c) is the requirement that the system be nonrelativistic, and that w(3893) the below M₂: naive quark phenomenology would set



Similar Spectrum More Work

$$V(r) = -\alpha_s / r [1 - r^2 / a^2]$$

"Cornell Potential"

Fit:
$$m_c = 1.600$$

 $a_s = 0.2$

a = 0.2 fm

 Avoided: Hadronic Decay via Gluons
 Spin Dependent ROM's

● P States ≈ 3.450

Radiative Transitions

Charm(onium) Theory After the Revolution

M. B. Voloshin 2008: "Charmonium" 0711.4556

1. Spectrum

Spin-Dependence NRQCD Lattice Calculations Nora Brambilla "50 Years of QCD" 2212.11107



Early Spring 1975

Charm Status

Near Horizon

- Hidden Charm Established. Quarks Real !
- m_c in Gaillard-Lee Range
- Non-Relativistic but Non- Coulombic Hadrons (Charmonium) J/ ψ , ψ
 - (Asymptotic Freedom, Confinement Modeling, Textbook Quantum Mechanics)
- Predictions for Many Other cc States

- <u>1975: P States</u>, Lepton-Photon Conference, August
- 1976: Charmed Particles, G. Goldhaber et al, August
- 1977: <u>Paracharmonium</u> η_{c} , W. Braunschweig et al

Quark Jets: December 1975

Tau Lepton: December 1975

Upsilon (bb): 1977

Summary

Early → Mid Seventies :
 Depressing in Many Ways
 Golden Age of Particle Physics



2. Stunning Experimental Discoveries



3. Emergence of the Standard Model& Effective-Field-Theory Framework

 $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \longrightarrow$ $SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{EM}$