Read Noise Biasing on the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope

Katherine Laliotis^{1, 2}, Christopher Hirata^{1, 2, 3}, Emily Macbeth^{1, 2, 3} Masaya Yamamoto⁴

¹Center for Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, the Ohio State University ²Department of Physics, the Ohio State University ³Department of Astronomy, the Ohio State University ⁴Department of Physics, Duke University

Introduction

The Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope (*Roman*), set to launch in 2026, is one of several "Stage IV" cosmology missions. The telescope will bring unprecedented precision to measurements of different astrophysical phenomena, including but not limited to weak gravitational lensing (WL), an effect whose accurate measurement shows particular promise for the field of cosmology. Weak lensing, measurement of correlations between the shapes of multiple galaxies in a field of view, provides a method of directly inferring the mass distribution along a line of sight. Construction of an extensive mass map will allow us to test the accuracy of our current cosmological model against alternatives.

Motivation

Roman images are inherently Nyquist-Undersampled; the scale of the detector pixels (Fig 1) is too large relative to the angular resolution of the telescope. Undersampled images' intensity function cannot be treated as continuous, creating problems for the usual methods of image processing and inducing measurement biases into the image moments. Weak lensing, which depends on images' second moments, will be significantly impacted by undersampling effects.

Effects from undersampling, along with other detector systematics, can be averaged out using methods of image combination. Multiple dithered images are combined together using linear algebra algorithms such as IMCOM [1].

In this work, we seek to understand how correlated detector noise propagates through image combination. We investigate the inherent properties of the read noise from

Figure 1. Roman focal plane: 18 Hg-Cd-Te photodiodes Source: @NASARoman on Twitter/X

the Roman detectors by analyzing detector tests taken at the DCL [2]. Combining the lab noise images with simulated Roman observations [3], we also estimate the magnitude of shear biases we can expect read noise to induce on weak lensing measurements.

Lab Noise Frames

The input noise frames used for this project are taken at and distributed by the Detector Characterization Laboratory (DCL) at NASA Goddard Spaceflight center. We currently make use of two sets of detector tests:

- Triplet tests, in which the detectors were connected to an ACADIA controller
- Focal Plane System tests, where the 18 detectors selected for flight are integrated into the focal plane system and tested there

We also add a simulated sky background of Poisson noise to the test images before processing them. Before processing through IMCOM, the 56 test frames per image are combined into 6 "resultant frames" which would be sent down to Earth from the telescope. Then, those 6 images are combined via least-squares fitting into one single "slopes image," which contains maximal information in one image. We also impose a simple reference pixel correction scheme to remove some electronic and detector



Figure 2. Reference pixel corrected lab noise slope image. This frame is from the triplet test of SCA #7.

-1.7 -1.3 -0.85 -0.42 0.014 0.44 0.87 1.3 1.7

effects. Fig. 2 shows an example output, and Figure 3 depicts the whole process.



Ground test dark exposures

Noise Analysis Flowchart

Slopes

Images



Noise Correlations

The power spectrum allows us to visualize scales over which shape measurements will be affected by read noise. We calculate the full spectrum:

$$P_{2D}(u,v) = \frac{s_{out}^2}{N^2} \left| \sum_{j_x, j_y} \frac{1}{A \, s_{in}^2} S_{j_x, j_y} \, e^{-2\pi i \, s_{out}(u j_x + v j_y)} \right|$$

and a 1-dimensional version by azimuthally averaging the results. There are several distinct features in the power spectra (see Fig. 5) which we can predict:

- Output PSF imprint
- Postage stamp boundaries
- AC circuit receiving power • Dithering roll angles





Figure 5. Top: The 2D averaged power spectrum of the lab noise field in the J and H bands, plotted on a log scale. **Bottom**: Azimuthally averaged versions of the above 2D power spectra. Each line denotes a different bin of mean sky coverage.



Weak lensing is measured by correlating the shapes of objects. We parameterize shapes in terms of the dimensionless shear parameters $g_{(1,2)} = |g|(\cos, \sin)(2\beta)$ where the reduced-shear $|g| = \frac{a-b}{a+b}$ and the position angle is β . We insert a grid of injected stars with unit flux and measure their shapes via the image second moments **M**:

Noise correlations in the images will cause a bias in the measurements of $g_{1,2}$. We estimate this *additive noise bias* Δg via Monte Carlo methods using the object and coadded noise images. Finally, since weak lensing measures correlations, we autocorrelate Δg for the injected stars plus lab noise for an estimate of the magnitude of additive noise biasing we can expect to measure (Figure 6).



The power spectra from the noise fields display the effects we expect from the image combination process and from the detector and test characteristics. The significance of noise biasing is maximized for regions with the minimum coverage from dithers. Even so, estimation of the additive noise bias shows that the biasing on shape measurements from read noise is below the mission requirements as defined in the SRD [4].

We are currently re-running this analysis on new detector noise frames taken in the lab after detectors were integrated into the telescope focal plane, to obtain even more flight-like estimates of correlated noise biasing. Additionally, as evidenced by Fig. 4, there are still visible noise correlations in the coadded noise frames. We are in the early stages of developing a de-striping algorithm, using Roman's multiple dithers observation strategy to remove correlated read noise from the images.



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

Additive Bias Estimation

$$g_1, g_2) = \frac{(M_{xx} - M_{yy}, 2M_{xy})}{M_{xx} + M_{yy} + 2\sqrt{M_{xx}M_{yy} - M_{xy}^2}}$$

Figure 6. Raw noise bias auto-correlations (Δq) estimated for the injected stars with lab noise fields in J and H bands. Square markers indicate positive-valued correlations, while diamond markers indicate negativevalues correlations, but plotted as the absolute value

Results + Future Work

References

[1] Rowe B., Hirata C., Rhodes J., 2011, ApJ, 741, 46

[2] The detector tests used in this work were acquired by the Detector Characterization Lab at NASA Goddard. We thank the DCL team for making this work possible!

[3] Troxel M. A., et al., 2021, MNRAS, 501, 2044 Troxel M. A., et al., 2023, MNRAS, 522, 2801

[4] https://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/romancaa/docs2/RST-SYS-REQ-0020C_SRD.docx.

[5] Cao et al., Simulating image coaddition with the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope: III. Software *improvements and fine-tuning*, 2024 (*in prep*)