Low-dose TOF-PET based on Surface Electron Production in Dielectric Laminar MCPs

Kepler Domurat-Sousa¹, <u>Cameron Poe</u>^{†1}, Henry Frisch¹, Bernhard Adams², Neal Sullivan³, Camden Ertley⁴ *CPAD, 2023*

[†]Presenter

¹Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago; ²Quantum Optics Applied Research; ³Angstrom Research Inc; ⁴Southwest Research Institute

Overview

- Hi-res gamma ray multiplier tube (HGMT[™]): low-dose TOF-PET with no scintillator crystals or photocathodes
- Surface direct conversion and the laminar microchannel plate (LMCP[™])
- TOPAS simulations of HGMT-based TOF-PET
- 4. Next steps towards manufacturing
- 5. Questions



High-resolution Gamma Ray Multiplier Tubes (HGMTs)



- Package of a) converter laminar microchannel plate (LMCP), b) amplifier LMCP, and c) anode
- Electron cascade in the MCPs produced via surface direct conversion of an incident gamma ray
- No scintillating crystal/photocathode

Why Remove Optical Conversion?

- Optical conversion ≡ step between gamma ray and electro cascade where gamma ray is converted into optical photons fo detection at photocathode
- Removing optical conversion improves timing resolution
- For PET, expanding to underserved populations (rural, young, elderly) requires good sensitivity at low dose
- Simulation results show that HGMTs accomplish this



Figure from: R. Schmitz, A. Alessio, P. Kinahan, <u>The Physics of PET/CT Scanners, 2013</u>

Surface Direct Conversion



- 511 keV gamma rays produce electrons via the Compton or photoelectric effect in a volume of material
- 400 keV primary electrons travel ~80 um (in Geant4 simulation of NIST lead glass).
- General (and simple) phenomenon: only primary electrons near a surface can exit
- Full story: See C. Poe LMCP talk in the Photodetector Session

High Stopping Power in Surface Direct Conversion



- Surface direct conversion involves electrons at relatively low energies for HEP
- Stopping power curve: NIST Lead Glass, ESTAR database

Laminar Microchannel Plates (LMCPs)

- LMCPs ≡ thin patterned laminae stacked to form microchannels
- LMCPs: one possible geometry for surface direct conversion
- Advantages of the laminar method (compared to drawn glass capillary MCPs):
 - Access to pore surfaces
 - New secondary coatings
 - Non-uniform voltage distributions
 - Complex channel geometries
- Different approach, but laminar method still produces MCPs



≥60% Efficiency of LMCP Surface Direct Conversion

- Efficiency ≡ fraction of gamma rays that produce primary electrons that traverse a pore wall
- Efficiency for 511 keV gamma ray conversion depends on:
 - $\circ \quad \text{Angle of incidence} \quad$
 - Pore width
 - Wall thickness
 - Primary electron energy (substrate material)
- Still researching efficiency of primary e- to secondary e-
- Geant4 simulation indicates ≥60% efficiency for NIST lead glass 1-in thick LMCP (G4_GLASS_LEAD)



HGMT Mechanical Configuration

- Surface direct conversion means no photocathode
- No photocathode eliminates need for ultra-high vacuum and permanent seal (can assemble in air)
- 20 × 20 × 5 cm³ (wide dimensions same as LAPPDs)
- Can package multiple HGMTs in a single enclosure, e.g. a 5-by-5 array for a shower max detector (cheap, fast-timing)



TOPAS Simulations of HGMT-based PET





- XCAT phantom in the detector from TOPAS: 2 m long, 45 cm bore radius, 5 cm thick
- HGMTs shown are rectangular, but can curved, non-planar, or with varying thickness
- Directionality of pores can vary with position

TOPAS Simulations of HGMT-based PET (continued)



- Left: cross section of the XCAT brain
- Right: cross section of the XCAT brain imaged by the HGMT scanner in TOPAS
 - 1/100th dose, compared to benchmark 10 min, 8.25 kBq/mL (white matter), 33 kBq/mL (gray matter), 99 kBq/mL (lesion) Hoffman brain activities
 - \circ 100 ps FWHM timing resolution, 1 mm sigma spatial resolution, 50 um pores and wall thickness
 - 2-cm diameter lesion is unmistakable at 1/100th dose -> enables cancer screening and early detection in under-served populations at low-dose





Status of Amplifier LMCP Testing Setup

- Preliminary test setup (courtesy P. Scheidt)
- Pumped vacuum enclosure using multi-purpose leak detector
- Capacitively-coupled stripline anode readout through nichrome bottom plate (PSEC4/LAPPD electronics)
- Customizable enclosure window
 - Beta button sources Ο
 - Gamma ray button sources + thin Ο high-Z plates for direct conversion





Summary

- HGMTs are a type of gamma ray detector that uses surface direct conversion instead of scintillating crystals and photocathodes
- TOPAS simulation results of HGMT-based TOF-PET indicate 100x reduction in dose
- Have began to find LMCP manufacturers and create testing setup



Thank you

References

ESTAR Database. NIST. https://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/Star/Text/ESTAR.html, accessed 1 November 2023.

- K. Domurat-Sousa, C. Poe, H. J. Frisch, B. W. Adams, C. Ertley, and N. Sullivan. Surface direct conversion of 511 kev gamma rays in large-area laminated multichannel-plate electron multipliers. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods*, 1951055:168538, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2023.168538.
- K. Domurat-Sousa, C. Poe, H. J. Frisch, B. W. Adams, C. Ertley, and N. Sullivan. Low-dose TOF-PET based on surface electron production in dielectric laminar MCPs. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods*, 1057:168676, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2023.168676.

Extra Slides

What About the Open-area Ratio?

- Hard for LMCPs to have walls as thin as glass capillary MCPs
- Solution: funnels
- Figure: square funnels during patterning for a single lamina
- Alternative: circular funnels before lamina coating



DETAIL E (300:1)

What are the energies of primary electrons?

- Depends on the substrate material
- Low-Z -> predominantly Comptons at 511 keV gamma rays
 - Electron energies < 300 keV
- High-Z -> mix of Compton and photoelectric
 - Electron energies peak at ~450 keV
- Figure: Geant4 simulation of 1 in³ NIST lead glass LMCP with 50 um wall/pore width, gamma rays incident at 45 deg from normal



How is a ~450 keV e- converted into a cascade?

- Still an active area of research for our group
- Couple of advantages of LMCP for primary to secondary conversion:
 - Primary electrons are expected to traverse a pore wall twice
 - Different substrates can shift the energy of primary electrons (Compton vs. photoelectric)
 - Open pores allow for new secondary coatings



How is a ~450 keV e- converted into a cascade? (continued)

 Comparison to B33, a Schott borosilicate glass (low-Z)



What is the HGMT energy resolution?



- Still an active area of research
- However, in PET, not having good energy resolution may not be as large of an issue:
 - Simulation results do not have energy resolution->no cuts on in-patient scattering
 - In-patient scatters are a low-frequency background (see <u>Domurat-Sousa arXiv:2305.07173v1</u> or K. Domurat-Sousa's talk in the Calorimetry Session)
 - Some clinical settings may benefit from some PET, rather than no PET
- Left: Derenzo imaged by LYSO scanner simulation., right: only mis-ID lines-of-response

What is the HGMT time resolution?

- Determining time resolution similar to that of MCPs
- PSEC4 and stripline anodes provide very fast time resolution on the digitizing side
 - See <u>E, Oberla, PhotoDet 2012, vol</u>
 <u>158, 15 Jun 2012.</u>
- Converter LMCP presents hardest timing challenge
- Solution: break single converter LMCP into multiple sub-modules with smaller thickness, but similar total converter length



 $\Delta t \equiv time of arrival of first secondary$

What is the HGMT time resolution? (continued)

