Thin-film Charged Particle Detectors

Sungjoon Kim

Department of Chemical Engineering University of Illinois at Chicago, IL

Anthony Affolder, Earl Russell Almazan, Vitaliy Fadeyev, Mike Hance, Manoj Jadhav, Jessica Metcalfe, Jason Nielsen, Jennifer Ott, Anirudha Sumant





Lengths [X₀]

Radiation

CPAD Workshop 2023 – Sungjoon Kim

Silicon detectors

- Covers > 100 m²
- Tracking detector
- Replace sub-detectors (calorimeters) due to superior performance

2/15

1 m² in 2000, over 100 m² in 2010

Challenges & Limitations

- Achieve low-mass
- Cost (material + labor)
- Long lead times
- Require large amounts of supports & services

Evolution of silicon sensor technology in particle physics – Frank Hartmann, Springer

Atlas technical design report, 10.17181/CERN.FOZZ.ZP3Q

Thin Film Particle Detectors – Blue Sky R&D





Advances in *Device Technology* & *Materials Science*

Detectors with ...

- Low mass
- High energy resolution
- Fast response
- Room temperature operation
- Compatible w/ Scalable processes

Thin film charged particle detectors: a *promising candidate* to push the boundary of physics discovery

CPAD Workshop 2023 – Sungjoon Kim



Thin layers (nm to μm) of semiconductor / metal / insulator deposited on choice substrate

Wide range of techniques available depending on needs

- CVD
- PECVD PVD
- ALD CBD
- Scalable and robust process
 - Large area deposition possible
 - Compatible with flexible substrates



Large scale "roll-to-roll" deposition/fabrication

MBE









- Services is a large part of the dead material
 - Vertical integration
 - Monolithic designs

Printing

- Large area deposition
- Drastic reduction in time & cost
- Novel geometries possible
- Roll-to-roll production
- Easy to replace / repair

Material	Density (g/cm ³)	Band gap (eV)	Intrinsic carrier concentration	Average atomic	Ionization energy (eV)	Drift Me (cm ² /(obility Vs))	Carrier lifetime	MIP in 10 μm (keV)
			(cm ⁻³)	number	(0,)	Election	поте		
Diamond (SC)	3.51	5.48	< 10 ³	6	13.1	1,800	1,200	$\sim 1 \text{ ns}$	6.25
Si	2.33	1.12	1.45×10^{10}	14	3.61	1,415	480	$\sim 250 \mu s$	3.9
a-Si	2.15	1.5 ~ 1.8		14	4.8 ~ 6	1~5	0.01	~ µs	3.6
Zn	7.13			30	8.1*				10.06
CdTe	6.1	1.44	107	50	4.43	1,050	100	0.1–2 µs	7.81
CdS	4.8	2.42		32	6.3	340	50		19.08
CdSe	5.81	1.73		41	5.5*	720	75	$\sim \mu s$	
CdZnTe	6	~ 1.6	107	43.3	4.6	~ 1,000	50-80	$\sim \mu s$	29.8
InP	4.8	1.35	1.3×10^{7}	32	4.2	4,600	150		20.5
InSb	5.8	0.17		50	1.57*	78,000	750		28.1
PbS	7.6	0.41		49	1.98*	6,000	4,000		46.8
PbI ₂	6.2	2.32		67.5	4.9	8	2	8 µs	
TlBr	7.56	2.68		58	6.5	6		2.5 µs	
TlBrI	7.5	2.2 ~ 2.8		56.3		~ 4.5		$\sim 2 \mu s$	
ZnO	5.6	3.37		19	8.25*	130	_		24.8
ZnS	4.1	3.68		23	8.23	165	5		
ZnTe	5.72	2.26		41	7.0*	340	100	4 ns	

Table 1. Potential charged particle detector materials and their properties [27–30].

*Estimated values

Large library of promising / interesting materials

- Extreme radiation hardness
- High atomic number (Z)
- Charge carrier mobility
- Large bandgap

• For candidacy, must consider ...

- Theoretical material properties
 - Expected performance
- Actual material properties
 - Existence of deposition techniques
 - Process compatibility
- Optimized material based on the physics application
- Price

The Challenges & Risks



Material-level understanding to design and optimize a given detector design for a specific application



Sensor performance

- Match silicon sensor performance
- Tracking efficiency
- Timing resolution
- Energy resolution
- Occupancy
- Radiation damage

Transistor designs

- Transistor performance
 - Low power
 - Fast switching
- Compatible fabrication processes
- Transistor footprint

- Vertical integration
 - How to reliably stack layers
 - Alignment

Transmission signal integrity

Regional relays (if needed)

Numerous unknowns & High-risk Until full potential is realized

→ Suitable as a Long-term blue-sky R&D

Project History & Timeline









Began with diamond (June 2021) :

- Inventory
- Simple **MIM structure**
- Refine lithography techniques
- Measurements on probe station
- Mount on PCBs Wire bond
- Testing

Added InP:Fe & CdZnTe:

- Promising mat. properties
- Start from crystalline wafer
- Lithography, etc. processing at **CNM**
- Practice measurements
- Use in 120 GeV test beam (FNAL)



- All devices <u>successfully detect</u> and <u>generate electric pulses</u> when exposed to radiation source
- Measured on probe station

- Radiation type: Electron (Beta decay, Sr-90)
- Particle energy: 546 keV
- Pad size: (200 × 200 μm)







- Exposed to 120 GeV Proton Beam
- Particle detection & Signal generation successful
- Room for improvement in device layout, guard rings, etc.



Follow-up Study – Improved Device Design + Additional Analysis



Optical image and data from UCSC team





Bias Voltage [V]

New device pad designs

- Guard rings
- Rounded corners
- More pixels
- Optical opening for measurements
- Crystalline InP

Collaboration with UCSC

- Laser response
- Betascope
- X-ray beam tests



Studies of InP as a sensor material for tracking system based on thin film technology, Nov 7, 2023, 4:40 PM, by Earl Russell Almazan

*Unoptimized device architechture

12/15

CPAD Workshop 2023 – Sungjoon Kim





Crystalline ⇒ polycrystalline/amorphous thin films

The CVD system

- For in-house thin film synthesis
- Designed for future expansion (additional thin film materials)
- Working on documentation & safety



Phosphine



Chemical precursors for InP CVD

Located at Argonne Micro Assembly Facility CPAD Workshop 2023 – Sungjoon Kim

Summary & Our Vision

Completed



Single Crystal Substrates





Roll-to-roll Technology

Pilot Study

- Screen/test candidate crystalline materials
- Test and analyze detector performance (source/test beam)

Phase-II

- In-house thin film InP
- Optimize deposition process
- Fabricate detectors, repeat testing and analysis

The Vision & Goal

- Quick & easy to manufacture
- Inexpensive
- Easy assembly into large-scale detector
- Similar 'sensor' performance to Silicon technologies

R&D Direction

- Different materials
- Monolithic detector design
- Large-area production

⇒ US groups are in a position to lead the solid-state detector R&D efforts

Thank you for your attention!



Interested? We are looking for collaborators! golite31@gmail.com & jmetcalfe@anl.gov

Acknowledgements

- This project was funded by Argonne National Laboratory
- Work performed at the Center for Nanoscale Materials, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facilities, was supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.
- This document is prepared using the resources of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab), a U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Fermi Lab Test Beam Facility. Fermilab is managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC (FRA), acting under Contract No. DEAC02-07CH11359.
- Detector laser response results were provided by the University of California Santa Cruz and the Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics



References

- Kim, S., Berry, V., Metcalfe, J. & Sumant, A. V. Thin film charged particle detectors. *Journal of Instrumentation* 18, (2023) LINK
- Evolution of silicon sensor technology in particle physics Frank Hartmann, Springer
- Atlas technical design report, 10.17181/CERN.FOZZ.ZP3Q
- https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.1794
- <u>https://www.mks.com/n/cvd-physics</u>

Supplementary - Detector Rise Time & Pulse Amplitude



Reproduced from "SLAC-CPAD Workshop 2023, Studies of InP as a sensor material for tracking system based on thin film technology,

Layer	Material	thickness $[\mu m]$	$X_0 [cm]$	X/X_0	$\lambda_0 [\mathrm{cm}]$	λ/λ_0
Substrate	PET	250	29	0.088%	60.6	0.041%
Sensor	${ m InSb}$	10	15	0.0065%	46.8	0.0021%
Electronics	InGaZnO	200	10	0.20%	45.0	0.044%
1st Via	Cu/dielectric	100	29	0.035%	60.2	0.017%
Electronics	InGaZnO	200	10	0.20%	45.0	0.044%
2nd Via	Cu/dielectric	100	25	0.04%	51.2	0.020%
Transmission	Cu/dielectric	250	20	0.13%	45.4	0.055%
Total				0.70%		0.22%

Table 1: The stack-up for a single detector layer made using thin film techniques.

A rough estimation of the radiation length, X₀, and the nuclear interaction length, λ_0 , is based on the individual elements in each layer. A pixel size of 50 µm x 50 µm is assumed for this exercise although it would need to be optimized based on pixel capacitance (noise) and a final layout choice. The first via layer assumes a via column of 10 µm per pixel array of approximately 1,000 pixels. The second via layer assumes a 10 µm via column per 100 pixel arrays. The transmission layer assumes one 30 AWG line (power) and one 34 AWG (data) line (comparable to ITk Pixel wire gauges) per pixel array with an average length of half of the full length of a 1 m long sheet for a total of 40 lines. Clock, command and other transmission lines are ignored in the calculation and will have a negligible impact. Radiation length and nuclear interaction length of polyimide film is used for the dielectric materials.

	Au/Cr (100/10 nm
InP	InP:Fe (350 µm
	Au/Cr (100/10 nm

	InP	Silicon
e ⁻ Mobility (<i>cm</i> ² / <i>Vs</i>)	4600*	1400
N _{e-h} pairs in 10 μm from MIP	4.8k	1.1k
Bulk Resistivity (Ω cm)	10 ⁷	104

Table 1. Material properties of fabricated detectors

Simple capacitor structure

- No implantation/transition layers
- Thick sensing layer: can be made thinner for better performance
 - Lower operating bias
 - Faster rise time

Material	Carrier type and mobility $[cm^2/V \cdot s]$	Thickness [µm]	Applied bias [V]	Front side electrode dimensions
InP	e ⁻ , 2650	350	-250	
$Cd_{0.96}Zn_{0.04}Te$	e ⁻ , 1350*	1000	-650	$200 imes200\ \mu m$
Diamond	h ⁺ , 1600 [*]	500	700	

Literature values

QUALITY TEST REPORT

Description:2" InP waters			PO#:1A-75205 PI#:PW21219
Parameter	Customer's Requirements	Guaranteed / Actual Values	UOM
Material	InP	InP	
Conduct Type/Dopant	SI/Fe	SI/Fe	
Diameter:	50.8±0.2	50.8±0.2	mm
Orientation:	(100)±0.5°	(100)±0.5°	
Flat Option	EJ	EJ	
Primary Flat Orientation:	(0-1-1)	(0-1-1)	
Primary Flat Length:	16±1	16±1	mm
Secondary Flat Orientation:	(0-11)	(0-11)	
Secondary Flat Length:	7±1	7±1	mm
Resistivity:	Min: 1E7 Max: /	Min: 1.96E7 Max: /	cm ⁻³
Mobility:	Min: 2000 Max: /	Min: 2650 Max: /	
EPD:	Ave: <5000 Max: /	Ave: <2251 Max: /	cm ⁻²
Laser Marking	Back side major flat	Back side major flat	
Edge Rounding	0.25(Conform to SEMI Standards)	0.25(Conform to SEMI Standards)	mmR
Thickness:	Min: 325 Max: 375	Min: 325 Max: 375	um
TTV:	Max: 10	Max: 10	um
BOW:	Max: 10	Max: 10	um
Warp:	Max: 15	Max: 15	um
Suface:	Side 1:Polished Side 2:etched	Side 1:Polished Side 2:etched	
Package	individual container filled with N_2	individual container filled with N ₂	
Epi-ready	Yes	Yes	
Quantity:	5	5	pcs

Wafer No.: X-35F1355B002 X-35F1265A020 X-35F1265A021 X-35F1265A022 X-35F1265A028

Checked by:Wenyuan Liu(ID0401) SEP.03,2021 Approved by:Shipeng Wang SEP.03,2021

Date:SEP.03,2021



Temperature	Current at 100 V [A]	Current density at 100 V [A/cm ²]
25 °C	$3.95 imes 10^{-7}$	9.88×10^{-4}
0 °C	$3.43 imes10^{-8}$	$8.57 imes 10^{-5}$
-25 °C	$1.94\times\mathbf{10^{-9}}$	4.84×10^{-6}

Focus: Epitaxial growth



Candidate methods

• Physical

- Molecular beam epitaxy
- Pulsed laser deposition

• Chemical

- Low energy plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition
- Vapor phase epitaxy

:: increased crystallinity \rightarrow higher carrier mobility \rightarrow *Better detector performance*

Toward amorphous / polycrystalline thin films

- Focus on **epitaxial growth methods** that provide some crystallinity, but more toward an amorphous thin film
- In 2024: Identify method/material, fabricate new devices this summer, test in lab/test beam, and repeat as time allows



- Low power electronics can help
 - Thin Film Transistors is a large area of nanoscience development
- Explore options for HEP
 - Example:
 - **High gains** > 400
 - Low power < 1 nW</p>
 - Potential integration in thin film detectors

https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.1794

22/15

Sungsik Lee, Arokia Nathan, Subthreshold Schottkybarrier thin-film transistors with ultralow power and high intrinsic gain.*Science***354**,302-304(2016).