

 ** **	-		
 -			
 	-		
		-	

CPAD Workshop 2023 November 7-11, 2023

### **Trend in Calorimetry** Tower geometry Energy is integrated over large volumes into single channels Readout typically with high resolution Individual particles in a Calorimeters in HEP x 10<sup>2</sup> hadronic jet not resolved 0000 n ÷ 4000 stondout 2000 CDF ELPHI OPAL LEPH ALEPH ALCE CMS YOVO



# Imaging calorimetry

Large number of calorimeter readout channels (~10<sup>7</sup>)

Option to minimize resolution on individual channels

Particles in a jet are measured individually



### Particle Flow Algorithms (PFAs)

Attempt to measure the energy/momentum of each particle with the detector subsystem providing the best resolution

Maximum exploitation of precise tracking measurement

- Large radius and length to separate the particles
- Large magnetic field for high precision momentum measurement
- "no" material in front of calorimeters (stay inside coil)
- Small Moliere radius of calorimeters
   to minimize shower overlap
- High granularity of calorimeters to separate overlapping showers

Emphasis on tracking capabilities of calorimeters

### Development of the Digital Hadron Calorimeter

- Develop a tracking Hadron Calorimeter
- Implement digital readout (1-bit) to maximize the number of readout channels
- Place the front-end electronics in the detector

### The active medium should:

- Be planar and scalable to large sizes
- Not necessarily be proportional (only yes/no for the traversing particle)
- Be easy to construct, robust, reliable, easy to operate, ...

### **Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs)**



Gas: Tetrafluorethane (R134A) : Isobutane : Sulfurhexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) with the following ratios 94.5 : 5.0 : 0.5

High Voltage: 6.3 kV (nominal)

Average efficiency: 96 %

Average pad multiplicity: 1.6



**Resistive Plate Chambers** 

# **The DHCAL Prototype**

#### Description

Hadronic sampling calorimeter Designed for future electron-positron collider (ILC) 54 active layers (~1 m<sup>2</sup>) Resistive Plate Chambers with 1 x 1 cm<sup>2</sup> pads

 $\rightarrow$  ~500,000 readout channels

#### **Electronic readout**

1 – bit (digital)

#### **Tests at FNAL**

with Iron absorber in 2010 – 2011 with no absorber in 2011

#### **Tests at CERN**

with Tungsten absorber in 2012



### **Readout Electronics Overview**

### **Cassette Assembly**



- Cassette is compressed horizontally with a set of 4 (Badminton) strings - Strings are tensioned to ~20 lbs each

 $- \sim 45$  minutes/cassette

VME Crate



### **Fe-DHCAL** at Fermilab









6

### W-DHCAL at CERN

#### PS

Covers 1 – 10 GeV/c Mixture of pions, electrons, protons, (Kaons) Two Cerenkov counters for particle ID 1-3 400-ms-spills every 45 second Data taking with ~500 triggers/spill

#### SPS

Covers 12 - 300 GeV/c Mostly set-up to either have electrons or pions (18 Pb foil) Two Cerenkov counters for particle ID 9.7-s-spills every 45 - 60 seconds RPC rate capability a problem



Particle	α	С	
Pions	(68.0±0.4)%	(5.4±0.7)%	
Electrons	(29.4±0.3)%	(16.6±0.3)%	

0.78 (electrons)

~6 % loss of hits (in the following not yet corrected) Time constant ~ 1 second



### W-DHCAL at CERN – Combined PS and SPS Measurements



Particle	a	m
Pions	14.7	0.84
Protons	13.6	0.86
Electrons	12.7	0.70

### W-DHCAL with 1 x 1 cm<sup>2</sup>

Highly over-compensating for the entire energy range (compare to the Fe-DHCAL compensation curve below).

Smaller pads would increase the electron response more than the hadron response, therefore would alter the compensation characteristics.



### Min-DHCAL, DHCAL with Minimal Absorber, at Fermilab

- Special testbeam taken at Fermilab in November 2011 in minimal absorber configuration without absorber plates
- 2.54 cm spacing between each layer which feature a front-plate (2 mm copper) and rear plate (2 mm steel)
- Each cassette has a thickness of 12.5 mm corresponding to
  - 0.29 radiation lengths (X<sub>0</sub>)
  - 0.034 Interaction lengths (λ<sub>I</sub>)

```
Total thickness: 15 X_0
Or 1.7\lambda_1
```

Unprecedented details of low energy electromagnetic showers!

Excellent device to study the effects of energetic particles on matter at the microscopic scale!



#### **Min-DHCAL Positrons**





Data	<i>a</i> [GeV <sup>-1</sup> ]	т
Before corrections	132±3	$0.76 \pm 0.02$
After leakage corrections	133±3	$0.78 \pm 0.02$
After linearization	99±2	$0.94 \pm 0.01$

Fit	<i>c</i> [%]	a [%]
Unweighted	$5.7 \pm 0.2$	$14.8 \pm 0.4$
Weighted (linearized)	$6.2 \pm 0.2$	$13.0 \pm 0.4$

B. Freund et.al., JINST 11 P05008, 2016

### Simulation of the DHCAL Response

- is particularly challenging
- shows significant improvements in newer versions of Geant4 and EM physics packages
- Involves several interconnected steps:
  - 1. The primary ionization locations in the gas gaps of the RPCs are obtained from Geant4.
  - 2. The ionization charges are sampled from the distribution obtained with the analog readout of a DHCAL RPC.
  - 3. A dedicated software called RPCSim was developed to distribute the generated charge over the pads, apply the threshold and reconstruct the hits.



3D density of hits for 40 GeV  $\pi^+$  showers in the DHCAL with iron absorbers (Fe-DHCAL)

The disagreements are at the very fine level of detail which is not available in conventional calorimeters.  $\rightarrow$  Work ongoing.

# Recent Hardware Development:

1-glass and semi-conductive glass RPCs

# 1-glass RPCs

# **Offers many advantages**

Pad multiplicity close to one  $\rightarrow$  easier to calibrate Better position resolution  $\rightarrow$  if smaller pads are desired Thinner  $\rightarrow t = t_{chamber} + t_{readout} = 2.4 + ~1.5 mm$   $\rightarrow$  saves on cost Higher rate capability  $\rightarrow$  roughly a factor of 2

### **Status**

Built several large chambers Tests with cosmic rays very successful → chambers ran for months without problems Both efficiency and pad multiplicity look good Good performance in the test beam



cm

## **Further Development of 1-glass RPCs**

Probing a hybrid readout where part of the electron multiplication is transferred to a thin film of high secondary emission yield material coated on the readout pad with the purpose of reducing/removing gas flow and enabling the utilization of alternative gases.

Built several 10 cm x 10 cm chambers with single pad readout.

Coating of  $AI_2O_3$  made with magnetron sputtering.

Coating of  $TiO_2$  made with airbrushing after dissolving  $TiO_2$  in ethanol.



### Cosmic muon response





### **First-Generation Hybrid RPCs**

We tested the first-generation hybrid RPCs as well as the standard 1-glass and 2-glass RPCs at Fermilab test beam. The lateral size of the chambers was 10 cm x 10 cm, the gas gap was 1.3 mm and the gas mixture was the DHCAL RPC gas mixture R134A : Isobutane :  $SF_6$ ; 94.5 : 5.0 : 0.5 at 2-3 cc/min flow rate (lower than the nominal 5 cc/min).

Chambers tested and their 90% efficiency crossing HV:

- 1. 2-glass RPC (8.5 kV)
- 2. 1-glass RPC (7.5 kV)
- 3. 500 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (v1) (6.5 kV)
- 4. 350 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (v2) (6.5 kV)
- 5. 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> (v1) (6.5 kV)
- 6. 0.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> (v2) (6.5 kV)
- 7. 0.15 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> (v3) (7.5 kV)

# The charge multiplication in the secondary emission layer is qualitatively validated.



Efficient if charge > 300 fC

## **Rate capability of RPCs**

### **Measurements of efficiency**

With 120 GeV protons In Fermilab test beam

### **Rate limitation**

**NOT** a dead time But a loss of efficiency

### **Theoretical curves**

Excellent description of effect

### Rate capability depends on

The bulk resistivity  $R_{\text{bulk}}$  of the resistive plates

Lower bulk resistivity  $\rightarrow$  higher rate capability

91 Hz/cm<sup>2</sup>

346 Hz/cm<sup>2</sup>

588 Hz/cm<sup>2</sup>

1795 Hz/cm<sup>2</sup>



100

80

60

40

20

500

1000

1500

2000

2500

3000

Spill time [ms]

3500

Efficiency [%]

## **Development of semi-conductive glass**

Co-operation with COE college (lowa)

### Vanadium based glass

### Resistivity tunable

SLS: Soda lime silicate ZTV: Zinc tellurium vanadate









N. Johnson et al., Int. J. Appl. Glass Sci. 6, 26, 2015

# **Further Development of High-Rate RPCs**

RPC design	Number of glass plates	Area A [cm <sup>2</sup> ]	Bulk resistivity ρ [Ωcm]	Total thickness <i>t</i> of the glass [cm]	Conductance per area of the glass $G = (\rho \cdot t)^{-1}$ $[\Omega^{-1}cm^{-2}]$	Rate at 50% efficiency [Hz/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	M. P1
1	2	400	$4.7 \times 10^{12}$	0.22	$1.0 \times 10^{-12}$	300	Soda-lime
2	1	1536	$3.7 \times 10^{12}$	0.11	$2.4 \times 10^{-12}$	1500	Soda-lime
3	2	400	$6.3 \times 10^{10}$	0.28	$5.6 \times 10^{-11}$	15,000	Schott

M. Affatigato et al., JINST 10 P10037, 2015

#### 1. 2-glass RPCs with standard glass

The chambers were built with two standard soda-lime float glass plates with a thickness of 1.1 mm each. The gas gap was 1.2 mm. The chambers were 20 x 20 cm<sup>2</sup> in size.

#### 2. 1-glass RPCs with standard glass

The chambers were built with one standard soda-lime float glass plate with a thickness of 1.15 mm. The gas gap was also 1.15 mm. The size of the chamber was dictated by the size of the readout board, i.e.  $32 \times 48 \text{ cm}^2$ . With only one glass plate the gas volume is defined by the glass plate and the anode board. Thus, the readout pads are located directly in the gas volume.

#### 3. 2-glass RPCs with semi-conductive glass

These chambers utilize semi-conductive glass with a bulk resistivity several orders of magnitude smaller than standard soda-lime float glass. The glass, *model S8900*, is available from Schott Glass Technologies Inc. The gas gap of these chambers was also 1.15 mm and the area of the chambers measured 20 x 20 cm<sup>2</sup>. With 1.4 mm thickness, the glass plates were somewhat thicker than for the other designs.

## **Further Development of High-Rate RPCs**



I<sub>50%</sub>=a+bH+cH<sup>3</sup>

where  $H = 1/\log_{10}(G)$ , where G is the conductance per area of the glass plates; and *a*, *b*, and *c* are free parameters.

 $a = 1.7 \times 10^5$ ,  $b = 3.2 \times 10^6$  and  $c = -1.7 \times 10^8$ .

# Conclusions

- □ The first Digital Hadron Calorimeter was built and tested successfully. By construction, the DHCAL was the first large-scale calorimeter prototype with embedded front-end electronics, digital readout, pad readout of RPCs and extremely fine segmentation.
- Fine segmentation allows the study of electromagnetic and hadronic interactions with unprecedented level of spatial detail, and the utilization of various techniques not implemented in the community so far (software compensation, leakage correction, ...). The level of detail also introduces challenges in the simulation of the response.
- The novel 1-glass chamber design offers a number of advantages over the traditional two-plate design: an average pad multiplicity close to unity, a reduced overall thickness, a simplified construction procedure and an improvement in rate capability by a factor of 2.
- □ Raising the overall conductance per unit area of the glass plates will enhance the rate capability and increase the range of particle rates for which the chambers retain their full particle detection efficiency.
- By developing the hybrid RPCs, the heavy requirements on gases are planned to be relaxed by shifting part of the electron multiplication in the gas layer to materials with high secondary electron multiplication capability coated on the anode surface of 1-glass RPCs.
- Future plans include high precision tests of hadron interactions with matter and further development of the hybrid RPCs.

# References

- B. Bilki, et.al., Calibration of a digital hadron calorimeter with muons, JINST 3, P05001, 2008.
- B. Bilki, et.al., Measurement of positron showers with a digital hadron calorimeter, JINST 4, P04006, 2009.
- B. Bilki, et.al., Measurement of the rate capability of Resistive Plate Chambers, JINST 4, P06003, 2009.
- B. Bilki, et.al., Hadron showers in a digital hadron calorimeter, JINST 4, P10008, 2009.
- Q. Zhang, et.al., Environmental dependence of the performance of resistive plate chambers, JINST 5, P02007, 2010.
- J. Repond, Analysis of DHCAL Muon Data, CALICE Analysis Notes, CAN-030, CAN-030A, 2011.
- L. Xia, CALICE DHCAL Noise Analysis, CALICE Analysis Note, CAN-031, 2011.
- B. Bilki, DHCAL Response to Positrons and Pions , CALICE Analysis Note, CAN-032, 2011.
- J. Repond, Analysis of Tungsten-DHCAL Data from the CERN Test Beam, CALICE Analysis Note, CAN-039, 2012.
- B. Bilki, The DHCAL Results from Fermilab Beam Tests: Calibration, CALICE Analysis Note, CAN-042, 2013.
- B. Bilki, et.al., Tests of a novel design of Resistive Plate Chambers, JINST 10, P05003, 2015.
- M. Affatigato, et.al., Measurements of the rate capability of various Resistive Plate Chambers, JINST 10, P10037, 2015.
- N. Johnson, et.al., Electronically Conductive Vanadate Glasses for Resistive Plate Chamber Particle Detectors, International Journal Of Applied Glass Science, 6, 26, 2015.
- B. Freund, et.al., DHCAL with minimal absorber: measurements with positrons, JINST 11, P05008, 2016.
- C. Adams, et.al., Design, construction and commissioning of the Digital Hadron Calorimeter DHCAL, JINST 11, P07007, 2016.
- M. Chefdeville, et.al., Analysis of testbeam data of the highly granular RPC-steel CALICE digital hadron calorimeter and validation of Geant4 Monte Carlo models, Nucl. Instr. And Meth. A 939, 89, 2019.