

(Affiliated with the CYGNUS Proto-collaboration)



(Affiliated with the MIGDAL Collaboration)

Machine Learning (ML) for Improved Analyses of High Resolution Gaseous Detector Data

Dr. Jeff Schueler* CPAD 2023: Nov 9th, 2023



Detector technology highlighted today

BEAST TPCs: 40 cm³ pixel readout CYGNUS prototype directional detection vessels



-70:30 He:CO₂ 1 atm
-Double thin GEM amplification
-2cm x 1.68cm pixel readout with
250µm x 50µm segmentation

Goal: Demonstrate directionality at the lowest possible NR energies

~300 keV_{ee} He recoil

Pixel-level 3D reconstruction

ATLAS FE-I4b

MIGDAL TPC: 108 cm³ with CMOS camera, strip, and PMT*



-50 torr CF₄
-Double glass GEM
-High dynamic range
-8cm x 4.5cm optical readout, 39μm seg.

Goal: Directly measure the Migdal effect in nuclear scattering



*This talk only focuses on the camera readout!

The ML techniques described in these slides are not restricted to pixel and optical readouts!

Applications of deep learning in gas detectors



Many implementations of algorithms for object detection and key point detection already exist (see <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>), and there are many tools for developing custom classification and regression models for 2D and 3D image data (<u>PyTorch tutorial</u>, <u>TensorFlow tutorial</u>, <u>spconv for sparse 3D convolutional neural networks</u>). Today I'll highlight examples of (1) directional reconstruction for a CYGNUS prototype BEAST TPC and (2) object detection for the rare event Migdal search



Testing our model on head/tail recognition



Results on He recoils



ResNet yields significant improvement in ε_{ht} on measurement \rightarrow First significant ε_{ht} below 20 keV_{ee} in an atmospheric pressure gas mixture!

Rare event searches: The Migdal effect

- Migdal effect is rare and has never been observed in nuclear scattering
 - Migdal signal with 5-15 keV ER expected in ~1 in 50,000 nuclear recoils (E > 100 keV) induced by 2.5 MeV neutrons in 50 torr CF₄ (<u>details here</u>)





The MIGDAL experiment is designed to record (2,048 x 1,152) images at a rate of up to 120 Hz \rightarrow **Over 1 PB of data per month!**

→Analyzing this amount of data in a timely manner is challenging, especially in a small experiment, but machine learning can help us!

Enter YOLO (You only look once)

YOLOv8 is a state of the art *object detection* algorithm that simultaneously locates (draws a bounding box) and identifies objects of interest in an image



We train YOLOv8 on measured data to identify ERs, NRs, protons, alphas, sparks, camera afterglow, rolling shutter, etc.

Benefits:

- 1. Can identify multiple particle species within a continuous cluster
- 2. Not trained specifically to find Migdal candidates →robust and doesn't need to be trained on simulation!
- 3. Single-shot identification and analysis of tracks
- 4. Enables real time ⁵⁵Fe calibrations and ER/NR event rate counting



(4) Perform analysis on each bounding box, computing qtys such as: Intensity, track length, angle (with head/tail), bounding box centroid
 (5) Save coordinates of each bounding box, as well as extracted physics information

This entire pipeline runs at 200 fps on a consumer desktop GPU, reduces data size by a factor of ~5,000 and is integrated with the MIGDAL DAQ \rightarrow Real time feedback!

Application to MIGDAL searches

- Initial science run recorded from July 17th 2023 August 3rd 2023
- Collected an unblinded dataset consisting of 10 million 2,048 x 1,152 images
 - \circ 20ms exposure \rightarrow We expect coincidences in the camera frames



Migdal identification performance

- Evaluate YOLOv8 (trained on real data) on simulation to determine a Migdal detection efficiency
 - Background sample: ~50,000 frames containing an ER and NR with random uniform separation
 - Signal sample: ~2,000 frames with simulated Migdals that contain ER pixels outside of the NR
- We expect the 64% Migdal efficiency evaluated on simulation to be a lower limit of performance (it should do better when applied to real data)

YOLOv8 bounding box analysis takes us from 10's of millions of frames to a few thousand frames while maintaining at least 64% of the Migdal signal \rightarrow No longer a rare event search! We can spend the rest of our resources optimizing signal purity!



Summary

- ResNets improve low energy directional reconstruction in a pixel-readout TPC
 - He-recoil head/tail sensitivities below 20 keV_{ee} in 1atm He:CO₂
- YOLOv8 enables real time analysis of high throughput, high resolution image data
 - Able to analyze 100TB of data per day on a consumer desktop PC with a single graphics card
 - Reduction from 10's of millions to thousands of image frames turns a rare event search into an ordinary event search, opening up many avenues for signal purity optimization!

Modern deep learning approaches are more accessible and user friendly than ever for improving analyses of gas detector data!

Backup

Quantifying 3D directional reconstruction (BEAST TPCs)

Angular resolution: The mean difference in 3D angle, θ , between the true recoil **axis**, **v**₁, and the measured recoil axis, **v**₂.

- Perfect angular resolution: 0°
- No angular resolution: 57°



Head/tail recognition efficiency (ϵ_{ht} **):** Fraction of events where the dot product of the reconstructed vector and the true initial recoil direction is positive

 $\begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\text{ht}} = \boldsymbol{0.5} \Leftarrow \Rightarrow \text{ No head/tail sensitivity} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\text{ht}} = \boldsymbol{1} \quad \Leftarrow \Rightarrow \text{ Perfect head/tail sensitivity} \end{array}$



We train a custom 50 layer <u>ResNet</u>, to predict (1) a recoil axis (angular resolution), and (2) a vector (head/tail) direction. To train it, we assign two labels to each piece of training data: Label 1 (Angular resolution): Truth recoil axis Label 2 (Head/tail): 1 if track points in +x direction, 0 if track points in -x direction





3D angular and directional reconstruction in the ResNet 50 architecture



Angular resolution results (ResNet trained on simulation) He recoils Simulation 60 $CNN (\epsilon_{He} = 1)$ 50 CNN ($\epsilon_{He} = 0.5$) --- SVD ($\varepsilon_{He} = 1$) Resolution 40 **Baseline** method 30 Angular 20 10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90100 125 150 Energy $[keV_r]$

Noticeable improvement in angular resolution evaluated on simulation. We don't have measurements to test angular resolution on data, but we can test head/tail on data!

Expected Migdal backgrounds per 1 million DD-induced nuclear recoils with E > 100 keV

Component	Topology	D–D neutrons	
		>0.5	5–15 keV
Recoil-induced δ-rays	Delta electron from NR track origin	≈0	0
Particle-Induced X-ray Emission (PIXE)			
X-ray emission	Photoelectron near NR track origin	1.8	0
Auger electrons	Auger electron from NR track origin	19.6	0
Bremsstrahlung processes ^a			
Quasi-Free Electron Br. (QFEB)	Photoelectron near NR track origin	112	≈0
Secondary Electron Br. (SEB)	Photoelectron near NR track origin	115	≈0
Atomic Br. (AB)	Photoelectron near NR track origin	70	≈0
Nuclear Br. (NB)	Photoelectron near NR track origin	≈0	≈0
Neutron inelastic γ -rays	Compton electron near NR track origin	1.6	0.47
Random track coincidences			
External γ - and X-rays	Photo-/Compton electron near NR track	≈0	≈0
Trace radioisotopes (gas)	Electron from decay near NR track origin	0.2	0.01
Neutron activation (gas)	Electron from decay near NR track origin	0	0
Muon-induced δ -rays	Delta electron near NR track origin	≈0	≈0
Secondary nuclear recoil fork	NR track fork near track origin	_	≈1
Total background	Sum of the above components		1.5
Migdal signal	Migdal electron from NR track origin		32.6

Fe55 spectra with YOLO



Real time energy vs length output from the object detection pipeline

