

Intro to Quantum Computing ML for Neutrino Astronomy

August 24th, 2023 NPML 2023 Tufts University



The NSF Institute for Artificial Intelligence and Fundamental Interactions





Pavel Zhelnin

With Jeff L. Et al.

Harvard University

pzhelnin@g.harvard.edu





Machine Learning

- In the 1990s the initial exploration of ML in particle physics began: SNO experiment
- At the beginning, these neural networks did not outperform other statistical techniques but they did demonstrate capabilities
- However as expertise grew ML techniques began to surpass traditional reco
- Now ML has played a role in nearly every particle physics discovery and measurement since

Observation of high-energy neutrinos from the Galactic plane

ICECUBE COLLABORATION, R. ABBASI, M. ACKERMANN, J. ADAMS, J. A. AGUILAR, M. AHLERS, M. AHRENS, J. M. ALAMEDDINE, A. A. ALVES JR., [...], AND P. ZHELNIN

+380 authors Authors Info & Affiliations



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sudbury_Neutrino_Observatory





Machine Learning

- In the 1990s the initial exploration of ML in particle physics began: SNO experiment
- At the beginning, these neural networks did not outperform other statistical techniques but they did demonstrate capabilities
- However as expertise grew ML techniques began to surpass traditional reco
- Now ML has played a role in nearly every particle physics discovery and measurement since

Observation of high assurement of the properties of Higgs boson plane production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in the $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ ICECUBE COLLABORATION, R. ABBASI, M. ACKERI channel using 139 fb⁻¹ of pp collision data with +380 authors) Authors Info & Affiliat the ATLAS experiment





https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sudbury_Neutrino_Observatory





Machine Learning

- In the 1990s the initial exploration of ML in particle physics began: SNO experiment
- At the beginning, these neural networks did not outperform other statistical techniques but they did demonstrate capabilities
- However as expertise grew ML techniques began to surpass traditional reco
- Now ML has played a role in nearly every particle physics discovery and measurement since
- What's the next iteration?

Observation of high an arrement of the properties of Higgs boson plane production a The Results of a Neural Network Statistical Event channel usin Class Analysis ICECUBE COLLABORATION, R. ABBASI, M. ACKERM +380 authors) Authors Info & Affilia the ATLAS experiment



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sudbury_Neutrino_Observatory





A Growing Data Challenge

- ML is essential in analyzing a commonality among experiments now: large data size
- In fact cuts are needed to manage modern experiments
- Even after cuts, datasets are huge
 - CERN produces > 300 TB of data per day
 - IceCube produces ~ 1 TB
- Templates based on our current understanding filters data
- Furthermore, next generation experiments will increase data output by an order of magnitude
- Could new physics be hiding in cut data?







A Growing Challenge cont.

- We could be missing new physics due to un-modeled interactions (streetlight effect)
- Allowing additional data may be necessary for new physics
- For this, a paradigmatic shift is needed in data management to process trigger-level data





Quantum Computing

- A computer whose computations can only be described with the laws of quantum theory
 - Exponentially large Hilbert space
 - Entanglement
 - Superposition
 - Interference
- 2^N advantage over classical computers
- E.g. 8 classical bits \rightarrow 3 "qubits", 64 bits \rightarrow 6 "qubits", can store all of Google Drive cloud storage in ~60 qubits



Basics of Quantum Computing

- **Qubits** = basic unit of information in a QC (akin to a bit)
- Often represented by a Bloch sphere





Basics of Quantum Computing

- **Qubits** = basic unit of information in a QC (akin to a bit)
- Often represented by a **Bloch sphere**



- **Quantum gates** = most basic operation that can performed on a qubit (or set of qubits)
- Two basic quantum gates: Hadamard/CNOT
- Hadamard creates superpositions
- CNOT entangles
- Combination makes a Bell State





Basics of Quantum Computing

- **Qubits** = basic unit of information in a QC (akin to a bit)
- Often represented by a **Bloch sphere**



- **Quantum gates** = most basic operation that can performed on a qubit (or set of qubits)
- Two basic quantum gates: Hadamard/CNOT
- Hadamard creates superpositions
- CNOT entangles
- Combination makes a Bell State



inp	out	output					
Χ	У	Χ	y+x				
0	0	0	0				
0	1	0	1				
1	0	1	1				
1	1	1	0				

• Quantum circuit = a model for quantum computation in which a sequence of quantum gates are applied to a set of n qubits





A Data Processing Pipeline Using QC

- transfers of data
- We want a fully "quantum pipeline", no classical preprocessing



 We don't want to just store data on a QC, we want to process it as well • Its runtime is costly to do: classical \rightarrow quantum or quantum \rightarrow classical

\rightarrow Classification

Pavel Zhelnin | NPML 2023

11

Rest of the talk: QML with a Variational Quantum Circuit

A VOC is a low depth, low width choice suitable for ML applications on current quantum computers.





Rest of the talk: QML







Data Encoding

- "Data encoding is often the most crucial step in QML with classical data: it influences potential quantum advantage, learning performance and runtime."
- Most other QML encoding schemes involve some classical preprocessing then using either amplitude/basis encoding (arXiv:2012.11560, arXiv.1907.00397, arXiv.2010.07335)
- We want to avoid classical preprocessing while still working within the constraints of Near-Intermediate Scale Quantum (NISQ) computers

Shuld et al. Machine Learning with Quantum Computers





Background: Data Encoding

- Amplitude encoding can store information with 2^N efficiency
 - Susceptible to decoherence

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} |\psi_{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i |i\rangle.$$
$$|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |00\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |01\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |10\rangle$$



Pavel Zhelnin | NPML 2023



15

Background: Data Encoding

• Amplitude encoding can • Basis encoding is the store information with 2^N efficiency

Susceptible to

decoherence

- simplest encoding
 - No quantum advantage, a 1 to 1 mapping

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{|\psi_{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i |i\rangle.} \qquad x = 1011 \longrightarrow |1011\rangle$$

$$|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |00\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |01\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |10\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |11\rangle$$

Pavel Zhelnin | NPML 2023



16

Background: Data Encoding

• Amplitude encoding can store information with 2^N efficiency

Susceptible to

decoherence

- **Basis encoding** is the simplest encoding
 - No quantum advantage, a 1 to 1 mapping

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} |\psi_{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i |i\rangle. \qquad x = 101$$

$$|\psi_{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i |i\rangle.$$

$$|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |00\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |01\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |10\rangle$$

Angle encoding

- Rotations around principle axes of Bloch sphere
- Principle encoding scheme by others in QML HEP

$$\ket{\mathbf{x}} = \bigotimes_{i}^{n} R(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \ket{0^{n}}$$

Rotation by pi around y axis on Bloch sphere

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ \pi \\ \pi \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1111 \\ 1112 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $1 \rightarrow |1011\rangle$









Recap so far

- We want to use quantum computers because they can handle computational challenges of increase data loads of upcoming experiments
- This way we can investigate more data
- We don't want to reduce the complexity of our data (no PCA, no classical dimension reduction)
- Traditional quantum encoding schemes either don't use quantum advantage or are overly susceptible to decoherence
- So we want a near lossless quantum encoding scheme with quantum advantage









Quantum Random Access Codes (QRAC)

- We want a resilient data encoding scheme that still occupies some advantage over classical systems
- Encodes digital information in correlations between qubits
- ~a 1.5^N advantage over classical systems
- It's resilient: for $N \ge 18$ nearly lossless (0.999) recovery rate
- For $N \ge 14$ QRAC has greater success than classical counterparts

Random access codes via quantum contextual redundancy

Giancarlo Gatti,^{1,2,*} Daniel Huerga,^{1,†} Enrique Solano,^{1,3,4,5,‡} and Mikel Sanz^{1,4,5,§}

 $\hat{X}\otimes \hat{X} \quad \hat{X}\otimes \hat{Y}$ $X \otimes Z$ $\hat{Y}\otimes\hat{Y}$ $\otimes \hat{X}$ $\otimes Z$ $\hat{Z}\otimes\hat{Y}$ $\otimes X$





Digital Quantum Encoding

- For example, I want to encode the bit string '1011'
- Option 0: One-to-one mapping to z-spin



• Option 1: Two-to-one mapping to z-spins (have two options to encode)



 $|0\rangle$



Correlation-based digital encoding

- The set $\{X, Y, Z\}^{\otimes N}$ are parity observables (POs) where N is number of qubits
- Measuring using POs always yield a ± 1
- Instead of assigning a bit to each PO, assign a bit to each pair of POs, $0 \leftrightarrow =$ and $1 \leftrightarrow \neq$
- We create eigenstates of sets of commuting POs, these are our compressed states that when measured later recover our input data

b ^{target}					-				
PO relationship	7	Ł			7	4	7	4	ZZ
POs	XX	XY	XZ	YX	YY	YZ	ZX	ZY	NA
Option 1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	NA
Option 2	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	NA

Example for encoding '1011' in two qubits



	•		et us (and	use draw	n-qu / figu	ibit s res fo	yste or n=4	ms 4)		
	1		ice ł	nas r	n bit	ts of	data	a		
	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1		
	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1		
	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0		
	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1		
that she wants Bob to randomly access										
			m =	$= (3^n)^n$	(-1))/2				

E.g., the state $|\psi_1
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0000
angle+|1111
angle)$ yields +1 in $X_1X_2X_3X_4$, -1 in $X_1X_2Y_3Y_4$, -1 in $X_1Y_2X_3Y_4$, -1 in $X_1Y_2Y_3X_4$, -1 in $Y_1X_2X_3Y_4$, -1 in $Y_1X_2Y_3X_4$, -1 in $Y_1Y_2X_3X_4$, +1 in $Y_1Y_2Y_3Y_4$ and +1 in $Z_1Z_2Z_3Z_4$, with probability 1



ta to outcomes observables

 X_4 (more than -1)

 Y_4 (more than +1)

 Z_4 (more than +1)

yields +1 (more than -1) K_4

 V_4 **yields -1** (more than +1)

 Z_4 yields -1 (more than +1)

observables



Alice prepares a group of n-qubit states which collectively have those outcome preferences

 $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0000\rangle + |1111\rangle)$ $|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0001\rangle + i|1110\rangle)$ $|\psi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000+\rangle - |111-\rangle)$

 $O(n(3/2)^n)$ states



She sends a few copies of each state to Bob (k/n states in total)

Bob measures the states with some of the observables



He finds their preferred outcomes



Bob reconstructs a fragment of Alice's original data



 $m \to k \; \text{QRAC}$





Optimizations

- Which set of preferred parities to chose from out of 2^N choices where N are number of couples of POs
 - First compute all compatible well-defined outcomes for all possible eigenstates
 - Compare eigenvalues from this optimization to compatible preferred parities
- Which eigenstates best represents that chosen preferred parity order • We want a low sampling requirement
- - Least number of states



Neutrino Astronomy: IceCube Events

						•	•		•	• • /	•			•						•		
•			•	•		••••			• •		•			•					•	•		
•	•						8			• • •	8							•	•			
•	•						8		• • •									•	•		•	
•	•		•															•	•		•	
•	•								• • • 7			- New York						•	•		•	
			•											•••				•			•	
			•											••				•			•	
						8	2 00 0 0				8			••				•		8	•	
		••	•			•	8 ••• •							••						8	•	
•	•	••	•				8				•									8		
•		••	••			• • • •	8		• • / •		•			••					•	8		1
•		••	••			• • • •								••				•	•	8		
•	•	••				• • • • •			1				•••••		- 6		•	•	•		•	
	•								/• •				•••••		••			•	•		•	
•															-		-	•	•		•	
•	•									• • •									•	•	•	
							•••			• • • •											•	
							•••							••				•		Ň.	•	
		••									8			••								
•		••	••				8.				8 -			••								_
			••	•••		••••		- 10 - 23 - 1					••• ••	••					•			5
•		••						3.0	50.00		• •	•••••	•••					•	•		•	
•		••	••						• • • •		• •		•••					•	•		•	
•	•	•	••																•	8	•	
•	•		••		•				2 • • •		8 .					•		•	•		•	
											8 .					-		•	•		•	
											8 .			•				•	•		•	
		-					6							•								
																	-					
		•••												•								
•		•	••																	-		1
•		•	••	•••							•						•	•	•		•	-
•		•	••	•••			• • •		• • • • •	• • • • •								•	•	•	•	
•		•	••	•••			• • •		••••	• • • •	• •							•	•	•	•	
•			••	•••					••••	• • • •	•			•				•			•	
		•	••	•••					• • • •		•	••••		••			8		•	۲	•	
•			•	•••							8.0	••••		••				•	•		•	
	•	•		•••••						• • • • •	8 .	••••		••		•		•	•			
	•		•		•/						8.			•					•	8		
	•											•••	• • •	•								
													• • •									
•																						
•			-															•			•	-
•						•••••												•	•		•	
•				~ Z •		••••••												•	•		•	
•						•••••													•		•	
•		•	•												-			•	•		•	
•		•	•										••• ••	$\bullet \bullet$	-			•	•			
	•	•											••• ••	\bullet				•	•	ě		•
	•	••	•										•••	••					•			
		••	•				• • •							••					•			
		•	•				• •	8800	• • • •	• 30 • •					-					8	•	
			•				• •		•						•					8	•	1
•			•	• • • •		•••••					•	••••						•		8	•	
•			••			• • • •					•							•		8	•	
			•							• • • •	8	•••							•		•	
•	•									• • • •								•	•		•	
•	•									• * •				••			-	•	•		•	
•	•									• 5• • •	•	•		••			-	•	•			•
								8.238		•		•		••				•	•			
	•										••			•					•	۲		
	•		•••											_			•		•	۲	•	
	•	••	••					3 8 8 9						_			•			•	•	
	•	••	••				•••				• •			-	••••		•	-		•	•	
	_	•	•	•		• •				3	•	• • •	•		••••	•	•	•	-	•	•	
							• •			• •		•			• •							

${\mathcal X}$	95.3 m	01000	01010111110100	1100110011
y	75.8 m	11000	01010010111100	1100110011
Z	484.6 m	01000	01111110010010	0110011001
$Q_{\rm tot}$	2.84 PE	01000	00000110101110	0001010001
\overline{t}	26.2 ns	01000	00111010001100	1100110011

Digitization Scheme: takes Optical Module (OM) position, light and time information and converts to binary. Each circle in image is a OM, size of circle indicates amount of light, color indicates time (red → purple)

This is our input to our QRAC





Eccentered en	0000000010101100001100001101101101100000	11010101000111100000010110001101 1000011011100100010
	$\begin{array}{c} 0101100011011001011000111100011110000101$	11001110 10100001 11001101 010010111010000101101

Pavel Zhelnin | NPML 2023



QRAC: What has been done so far

- Have achieved compression with 8 qubits
- Almost demonstrated storage/retrieval of IceCube simulation data with 14 qubits



Plan with IceCube data

- Take set of IceCube data: tracks/ cascades (ν_{μ} CC/ ν_{e} CC)
- Input into our QRAC
- Take states from QRAC, input them to train Variational Quantum Circuit
- First step, will be to investigate if VQC can classify tracks/cascades



Neutral-current / ve







Variational Quantum Circuit





Variational Quantum Circuit





Model Circuit

- Is the variational part, where machine learning happens, called the "ansatz"
- The ansatz is parameterized by a set of free parameters θ that will be updated during training
- The structure of the ansatz, entanglement, type of rotations, number of parameters, number of gates, are all tunable





Pavel Zhelnin | NPML 2023



30

Variational Quantum Circuit





Loss Function

• Default: is cross-entropy loss

- The difference between the ideal distribution (the true labels/target) and the measured distribution
- loss value for that iteration
- Others like MSE are also used
- Inherently a classical operation

 $ext{CrossEntropyLoss}(ext{predict}, ext{target}) = -\sum_{i=0}^{N_{ ext{classes}}} ext{target}_i * log(ext{predict}_i).$

• Calculated across a batch of samples, and the average is taken across the batch to obtain the final



Optimization

- Optimization performed using classical algorithms: COBYLA, ADAM, SPSA, etc. Numerical Differentiation has been the norm for a while using parameter-shift
- differentiation
 - It's unclear how intermediate derivatives could be stored/reused inside a quantum computation
- Investigating if we can use quantum optimization techniques like quantum annealing • A lot is still unknown about what is optimal, more of an art to test
- "There is often little theoretical motivation for any given optimizer, although recent work has been to analyze the training dynamics of QVCs (VQCs)"

arXiv:2202.01389v3





Proof of Concept using Qiskit

- MNIST: image classification 0s and 1s
- Input image via amplitude encoding into 8 qubit VQC
- ~about 100 tunable parameters
- Iterations are a hyper parameter
- COBYLA, cross-entropy loss function
- Training score: 97%
- Testing score: 98%









What's next?

- Comparing VQC structure with amplitude encoding of IceCube simulation data with QRAC encoding
- Takes ~16 qubits to encode largest IceCube events via amplitudes
- Need larger quantum computers than publicly available (applied for ORNL grant)
- Now have access to ~100 qubit QCs
- Choose optimal qubits in target QCs, implement custom feature maps, testing ansatz types, optimizations, loss functions etc.
- Transition away from Qiskit to PennyLane (a QML framework)











Conclusion/Takeaway

- ML in neutrino physics has been very successful the past two decades and will continue to contribute
- Yet with concerns about the streetlight effect and increasing data loads in next generation experiments/observatories, we might need new tools
- QC can take advantage of additional degrees of freedom to enhance data compression
- OCML is still a burgeoning field; much is still unknown about optimizations, actual quantum speedups, feasibility on current NISQ computers, etc.
- "The question on whether quantum computers can really play a role in identifying practical ML applications is still wide open, and it is unlikely to be decided by theoretical proofs..."

Based on the Perspective Manuscript by M. Schuld and N. Killoran, PRX Quantum 3, 030101 (2022)





Gracias! Thank you! Preguntas? Questions?





New arm of hectopus: Quantum Computing!



Measuring Context Eigenstates

- mapping
- Scales like 4^N
- For 12 qubits this can be done in a few hours and stored in 2 MB



• The values for one context are all related. Only need to calculate one "fingerprint" and figure out







Overview

- Introduction to Quantum Computing
- Application of QC to HEP
- QCML in HEP
- QCML for neutrino astronomy
- Variational Quantum Circuits
- Conclusion

