Caption

Snowmass'21 Accelerator Frontier



Steve Gourlay, on behalf of the Snowmass'21 Accelerator Frontier Conveners: T. Raubenheimer, V. Shiltsev and S.G.

S. Gourlay I Snowmass Accelerator Frontier P5

Accelerator Frontier

Caption

Steve Gourlay (LBNL -> FNAL) Tor Raubenheimer (SLAC) Vladimir Shiltsev (FNAL)



Description

The Accelerator Frontier activities included discussions on high-energy hadron and lepton colliders, high-intensity beams for neutrino research and for "Physics Beyond Colliders", accelerator technologies, science, education and outreach as well as the progress of core accelerator technology, including RF, magnets, targets and sources. Participants submitted Letters of Interest, contributed papers, took part in corresponding workshops and events, contributed to writing summaries and took part in general Snowmass'21 events.

Accelerator Frontier – Key Questions

1	 -	-	 	 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
										(Э		C)	t	i		С)	r	١								
			_																												

- 1. What is needed to advance the physics?
- 2. What is currently available (state of the art) around the world?
- 3. What new accelerator facilities could be available on the next decade (or next next decade)?
- 4. What R&D would enable these future opportunities?
- 5. What are the time and cost scales of the R&D and associated test facilities as well as the time and cost scale of the facilities?

Accelerator Topical Groups and Conveners

Caption

Topical G	iroup	Topical Group co-Conve	ners		
AF1	Beam Phys & Accel. Education	Z. Huang (Stanford)	M. Bei (GSI)	S. Lund (MSU)	
AF2	Accelerators for Neutrinos	J. Galambos (ORNL)	B. Zwaska (FNAL)	G. Arduini (CERN)	
AF3	Accelerators for EW/Higgs	F. Zimmermann (CERN)	Q. Qin (IHEP, Beijing)	G. Hoffstaetter (Cornell)	Angeles Faus- Golfe (IN2P2)
AF4	Multi-TeV Colliders	M. Palmer (BNL)	A. Valishev (FNAL)	N Pastrone (INFN, Torino)	J.Tang (IHEP, Beijing)
AF5	Accelerators for PBC and Rare Processes	E. Prebys (UC Davis)	M. Lamont (CERN)	R.Milner (MIT)	
AF6	Advanced Accelerator Concepts	C. Geddes (LBNL)	M. Hogan (SLAC)	P. Musumeci (UCLA)	R. Assmann (DESY)
AF7	Accelerator Technology R&D				
	Sub-group RF	E. Nanni (SLAC)	S. Belomestnykh (FNAL)	H. Weise (DESY)	
	Sub-Group Magnets	G. Sabbi (LBNL)	S. Zlobin (FNAL)	S. Izquierdo Bermud	ez (CERN)
	Sub-Group Targets & Sources	C. Barbier (ORNL)	Y. Sun (ANL)	F. Pellemoine (FNAL)

Plus, the Accelerator Implementation Task Force (ITF) to evaluate and compare various options 11 out of 30 are representatives of Asia and Europe; 7 women

Active participation by our Liaisons

- AF to Theory Frontier LianTao Wang (U Chicago)
- Rare Processes Robert Bernstein (FNAL)
- Neutrino Frontier Laura Fields (FNAL) and Alycia Marino (Colorado)
- Energy Frontier Meenakshi Narain (Brown) and Dmitri Denisov (BNL)
- Instrumentation Frontier Andy White (UTA)
- Computation Frontier Jean-Luc Vay (LBNL)
- Community Engagement Jeoren van Tilborg (LBNL)
- Snowmass Young Edith Nissen (Jlab) and Nikita Kuklev (U.Chicago)

Implementation Task Force

- Charged with developing metrics and comparisons between collider propose
- Evaluated 32 collider proposals in ter • Cost and schedule
 - Technical readiness, required R&C Power requirements, complexity
- Physics reach (impact), parameter: Called for R&D on energy efficienc
- The full report is available on the arXiv:2208.

See talk by Thomas Ros at BNL Townhall

6



(ORNL)

- The Accelerator Implementation Task Force (ITF) is charged with developing metrics and processes to facilitate a comparison between collider projects.
- 10 int'l experts, 2 Snowmass Young's, 3 liaisons to Energy & Theory Frontiers
- ITF addressed (four subgroups):
 - Physics reach (impact), beam parameters
 - Size, complexity, power, environment
 - Technical risk, technical readiness, validation and R&D required
 - Cost and schedule















Liantao Wang

(U.Chicago)



(ORNL)



Thomas Ros (BNL, Chair



Tor Raubenhei (SLAC)



Vladimir Shiltse (FNAL)

ITF Summary

- Focus on colliders
- Reviewed concepts to allow comparison but did
 not prioritize
- Did not review luminosity and power consumption (proponent input)
- ITF recommends (AF supports) that R&D to reduce the cost and energy consumption of future collider projects be given high priority
- Suggests that evaluations could be updated on a regular basis

Proposal Name	CM energy	Lum./IP	Years of	Years to	Construction	Est. operating
	nom. (range)	@ nom. CME	pre-project	first	cost range	electric power
	[TeV]	$[10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	R&D	physics	[2021 B\$]	[MW]
FCC-ee ^{1,2}	0.24	7.7 (28.9)	0-2	13-18	12-18	290
	(0.09-0.37)					
$CEPC^{1,2}$	0.24	8.3(16.6)	0-2	13-18	12-18	340
	(0.09-0.37)					
ILC ³ - Higgs	0.25	2.7	0-2	<12	7-12	140
factory	(0.09-1)			\square		
CLIC ³ - Higgs	0.38	2.3	0-2	13-18	7-12	110
factory	(0.09-1)					
CCC ³ (Cool	0.25	1.3	3-5	13-18	7-12	150
Copper Collider)	(0.25-0.55)					
CERC ³ (Circular	0.24	78	5-10	19-24	12-30	90
ERL Collider)	(0.09-0.6)					
ReLiC ^{1,3} (Recycling	0.24	165 (330)	5-10	> 25	7-18	315
Linear Collider)	(0.25-1)					
ERLC ³ (ERL	0.24	90	5-10	>25	12-18	250
linear collider)	(0.25-0.5)					
XCC (FEL-based	0.125	0.1	5-10	19-24	4-7	90
$\gamma\gamma$ collider)	(0.125-0.14)					
Muon Collider	0.13	0.01	>10	19-24	4-7	200
Higgs Factory ³						

Cross-Frontier and Community Engagement

- Accelerator/Energy/Theory with input from IF, RPF and NF Joint workshops (many)
 - The Agoras (Hosted by Future Colliders Initiative at Fermilab)
 - Linear e⁺e⁻ colliders
 - Circular e⁺e⁻ colliders
 - **Muon colliders**
 - Circular pp and ep
 - **Advanced colliders**



EF conveners: Meenakshi Narain, Laura Reina, Alessandro Tricoli, AF conveners: Steve Gourlay, Tor Raubenheimer, Vladimir Shiltsev Fermilab Future Colliders group: Pushpa Bhat, Joel Butler







Community Forums – broaden communication

• e⁺e⁻ Forum

EF convenors: Maria Chamizo Liatas (BNL), Sridhara Dasu (Wisconsin)

AF convenors: Emilio A. Nanni (SLAC), John Power (ANL)

IF convenors: Ulrich Heintz (Brown), Stephen Wagner (Colorado) Joint EF-AF-IF Initiative

Muon Collider Forum

AF: Derun Li (LBNL), Diktys Stratakis (FNAL) **EF:** Kevin Black (Wisconsin), Sergo Jindariani (FNAL)

TF: Patrick Meade (Stony Brook), Fabio Maltoni (Louvain)

Joint EF-AF-TF Initiative

Aspirations for energy frontier facility in the US



Caption



Based on results of the successful US-Muon Accelerator Program (MAP) that ended in 2016 and bold CERN-led initiative in Europe

Foundation for Accelerator Frontier

Major developments since the last Snowmass/HEPAP P5 in 2013-2014

Start of PIP-II – (international) Construction of LBNF/DUNE – (international/CERN) HL-LHC - (international/CERN) Emergence of a number of projects focused on EW/Higgs physics

FCC-ee @ CERN CepC in China C³ and HELEN in the US

Reduction of linear collider activities (ILC in Japan and CLIC at CERN) The end of the Muon Accelerator Program (MAP) in the US The start of International Muon Collider Collaboration (IMCC) in the EU Lots of planning exercises

> GARD and Accelerator R&D Roadmaps EU Strategy for Particle Physics EuPRAXIA.... EU Accelerator Roadmaps S. Gourlay I Snowmass Accelerator Frontier P5

HEP has evolved into a truly global enterprise

Caption



5/4/23

FNAL Accelerator Complex Evolution (ACE) Update since AF Summary Report

- PIP-II construction and commissioning (2020's)
- Proposed ACE (2030's)

Part 1: > 1.2 MW proton beam

See talks by Mary Convery Diktys Stratakis

Part 2: Booster Replacement Project (late 30's) for reliable 2.4 MW to DUNE with capability and capacity to support new experiments and accelerator advancements

Considerations

Competition with Hyper-K / J-PARC – short timeline MI upgrade (RF and Magnets) Multi-MW Targets Performance (beam losses for various reasons) Muon collider compatibility

Options to be discussed at the ACE Science Workshop June 14 -15

Caption

ACE Workshop January https://indico.fnal.gov/event/57326/



Fermilab Accelerator Complex



Previous Snowmass/P5 (2013/14)

- Major accelerator-related recommendations: Contribute to LHC and HL-LHC
 Engage in the ILC in Japan, contribute if it goes
 Build >1 MW proton source PIP-II for v LBNF/DUNE In Process
 Provide beams for g-2 and mu2e experiments
 Reassess Muon Accelerator Program and MICE
- A follow-up 2015 Accelerator R&D subpanel recommended several thrusts : Beam Physics (incl. IOTA and PIP-III) Sources and Targets (incl. multi-MW) RF (high-Q, high-G, low cost) Magnets and materials (16 T, low cost) Advanced acceleration (towards wakefield colliders)



 	 			 	 	 	 				_					 					 	 	 	 	 -	
								(ć	E		C)	t	(0)	ľ	١						
 	 -	-	-	 	 	 	 		-	-		-				 					 	 	 	 	 -	-

AF provided input to the community/P5 on future facilities

Proposed Accelerator Initiatives/Projects

- For Neutrino Physics: PIP-II followed by 0.65s cycle → 2.2MW; ASAP(ca 2031)
 Followed by the Booster replacement
- For Rare Processes (DM, CLFV, etc):
 0.8 GeV PAR (Accumulator Ring) ~100kW
 AMF (Advanced Muon Facility) two rings
- For Energy Frontier (colliders) design and R&D:

e⁺e⁻ Higgs Factories: FCCee at CERN, C³, ILC/HELEN, CepC Muon Colliders (6-10-14 TeV c.m.e.) pp colliders: FCChh at CERN (100 TeV), SppC In collaboration with international partners In coordination with corresponding physics/detector teams



Caption

Increase Main Injector Ramp Rate

NEEDS P5 APPROVAL

Accelerators for Rare Processes

- Searches for DM, axions, EDMs, CLFV experiments, muons, light mesons, beam dump experiments . . .
- Several new beam facilities requested (FNAL, SLAC, JLab, SNS)



e-beams GeV to multi-GeV p-beams 2 GeV CW 2 GeV pulsed from storage ring (1 MW) 8 GeV pulsed (1 MW) 120 GeV slow extraction for LBNF

Many existing and planned facilities can be utilized

arXiv:2209.06289

arXiv:2203.08278





Note:

There are too many concepts to cover here in any detail

Brief technical descriptions in RMP and PDG:

V. Shiltsev, F. Zimmermann, RMP 93, 015006 (2021);

https://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/reviews/rpp2021-rev-accel-phys-colliders.pdf

Detail evaluations and discussions in the Accelerator Topical Group Reports AF3, AF4, AF6 and AF7, and the ITF report:

available at https://snowmass21.org/accelerator/

Colliders – AF alignment with EF

• Five-year period starting in 2025

Prioritize HL-LHC physics program Establish a targeted e⁺e⁻ Higgs factory detector R&D program for US participation in a global collider Develop an initial design for a first stage TeV-scale MuC in the US (pre-CDR) Support critical detector R&D towards EF multi-TeV colliders

Caption

- Five-year period starting in 2030
 Continue strong support of HL-LHC program
 Support construction of an e⁺e⁻ Higgs Factory
 Demonstrate principal risk mitigation and deliver CDR for a first-stage TeV-scale MuC
- After 2035

Evaluate continuing HL-LHC physics program to the conclusion of archival measurements Begin and support the physics program of the Higgs Factories Demonstrate readiness to construct and deliver a TDR for a first-stage TeV-scale MuC Ramp up funding support for detector R&D for EF multi-TeV colliders

Input from the Forums

e+e- Collider Forum w/ EF and IF

FCCee and CepC more luminosity but \$\$ ILC and C³ faster and less costly US to contribute to any committed Higgs Factory (~10 TeV) colliders: Wakefield – R&D focused on collider specs

• $\mu^+\mu^-$ Collider Forum w/ EF and TF

6 - 10 TeV cme - ideal No showstoppers, best ab⁻¹/TWh, \$ Need engineering and targeted R&D Develop pre-CDR/RDR by 2030 Establish US MC organization

Join International Muon Collider Collaboration (CERN)





Higgs factory Proposals (High level of maturity)

FCC-ee (CepC) Vladimir's Talk
 Supported by CERN (+)
 Large footprint, power consumption (-)

• CLIC

Lower power needs, smaller footprint (+) 2-beam, tolerances (-)

• ILC

Shovel ready, polarization (+) Sergey's Talk Large footprint, no decision from Japan (-)









LC-Higgs Factories on FNAL site (new development)

- Must fit ~ 7km including beam delivery system
- Requires RF gradients of at least 70 MV/m
- Compact -> lower cost compared to ILC, CLIC
- Two options

Cool Copper Collider (C3) – 5.7 GHz @ 77K

Higgs-Energy LEptoN (HELEN) - Traveling wave ILC, 1.3GHZ @ 2K

Sergey's Talk



Caption





1276 mm

More aggressive Higgs Factory alternatives

- Energy Recovery based on e⁺e⁻ colliders (circular or linear) High luminosity per MW power consumption (+) Not yet mature (orders of magnitude in current, Q₀), long, expensive (-)
- Gamma-gamma linear colliders

Need only $\frac{1}{2}$ of the energy, short, potentially less expensive, no e^+ (+)

Need two beyond-state-of-the-art FELs to generate gammas's in collisions with e- (-)

GeVe

63-70 GeV



Need only ½ the energy (65+65 = 130 GeV), very compact, less expe

Long development time, low luminosity but high X-section (-)



FCC with ERLs	Z	W	H(HZ)	ttbar	
Circumference, km	100	100	100	100	
Beam energy, GeV	45.6	80	120	182.5	
Horizontal norm ε, μm rad	4	4	6	8	
Vertical norm ε, nm rad	8	8	8	8	
βh, m (same as in FCCee design)	0.15	0.2	1	1	
βν, mm same as in FCCee design)	0.80	1.00	1.00	2.00	
Bunch length, mm	0.8	lispersion	1	2	
Charge per bunch, nC	12.5	12 ^{5*4uning}	25	22.5	
Ne per bunch	7h8Estitl Orrectio	n 7.8E+10	1.6E+11	1.4E+11	1
Bunch frequency, kHz	99 In	teraction Roi	13 Int	15	
₿₽₽₽ cv/r⊕it,-mA	< 1.24 w	th Detertor	0.82	0.34	
Luminosity, 10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² sec ⁻¹	22.5	28.9	25.9	10.5	
		and the second s			

3-10 TeV/parton cme (most discussed)

• CLIC-3 TeV

Established CDR, demo facilities (+) Large footprint, \$\$\$ huge power consumption (-)

- FCC-hh 100/ TeV (100km tunnel)
 Re-use FCC-ee tunnel, high luminosity, LHC experience (+) 20(?) years for magnet development 16T (-)
 For 100 TeV -> 17.8T for 91km tunnel (--)
 Recently backed down to a more practical 14T/ 80 TeV (+)
- SppC-125 TeV
 Re-use CepC tunnel, ep 0.12+62.5 TeV (+)
 20T magnets (--)
 Intermediate stage at 75 TeV with 12T magnets using Iron-based SC) (-/+)
- Muon Collider

Potentially lowest cost, best luminosity/TWh (+) 6D Cooling "R", "D" on many subsystems (-)







6 – 10 TeV Muon Collider on FNAL site

- First design concept of up to 10 TeV collider developed
- Capitalizes on existing FNAL facilities and expertise

PIP-II and upgrades Tevatron tunnel Facilities for cooling, target, SRF and magnet R&D

World-class intellectual leadership in these areas





A variety of other options

Circular or linear colliders pushing the limits

ILC-3 TeV, ERL-based Linear colliders (3 TeV), 2100 km "Collider in the Sea)

"Just" scale-up technology (+)

Enormous power consumption, large footprint, expensive (--)

Wakefield acceleration (Laser, proton, structure)

Linear ee/gamma-gamma colliders

Most compact, perhaps cost efficient, multi-Tev collisions (+) More R&D needed:

e⁺ acceleration, staging, beam quality, power efficiency . . . (-)

Additions to existing machines

ep/eh colliders (LHeC, FCC-eh, epChina

Very cost effective, feasible, nice additions to proton machines (+) High current 50 GeV ERL technology needs demonstration (3 orders of magnitude in power) (-)



-	-	-	-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-						-	-	-	-	-	-	-							1
														(2	E		Э)†(t		C)	ľ	٦											
-				-	-	_	_											_	-	_	_	_	_								-	_	_	_	_	_	_

Accelerator Frontier Messages

Future Facilities

Caption

 The US and global community have the expertise in a broad array of accelerator technologies needed to design and construct any of the near-term HEP accelerator projects.

"Tell us what you want, and we will build it"

But tell us NOW. It will take time.

Quads for LHC upgrade took 2 – 3 decades.

AF Recommendation

"Planning of accelerator development and research should be aligned with the strategic planning for particle physics and *should be part of the P5 prioritization process*."

Colliders



US National Collider R&D Initiative
 See talk by Pushpa Bhat

Establish a targeted OHEP program

Integrated approach to cover international efforts (FCC, ILC, IMCC . . .) and development toward feasible US options (C³, HELEN, 6 - 10 TeV MC, . . .)

Address in an integrated fashion the technical challenges of promising future collider concepts that are not covered by the existing General Accelerator R&D (GARD) program

US Accelerator R&D Funding History





Accelerator R&D

- Caption
- We have an ongoing R&D program aimed at fundamental beam physics and longterm accelerator concepts and technologies

Office of High Energy Physics (OHEP), General Accelerator R&D (GARD) program

Accelerator and Beam Physics (ABP) RF Acceleration Technology (Normal and Superconducting) Particle Sources and Targets Advanced Accelerator Concepts (AAC) Superconducting Magnets and Materials (SCM)

- All these areas have broad applicability to future accelerators with ideas from universities and labs
- R&D is key to facilities for neutrinos, rare processes and colliders

Accelerator R&D for the next decade

Caption

Spencer's Talk

Multi-MW targets

- 2.4 MW for PIP-II
- 1-4 MW for Muon Collider

Magnets for Colliders and RCS

- 14 - 16 T dipoles



- 40T+ solenoids
- 1000 T/s rapid cycling

Soren's Talk

Accelerator and Beam Physics

Wakefields

- Collider-quality beams
- Efficient drivers and staging
- Close coordination with International programs

SC/NC RF

- 70 120 MV/m C³
- 70 MV/m TW SRF
- New materials, high Q₀
- Efficient RF sources

High intensity/brightness beam acceleration and control High performance computer modeling and AI/ML approaches Design integration and optimization, including energy efficiency

Workforce

Contion	
L' Cablioli	
<u> </u>	

• Strengthen and expand education/training programs

Support for university-based research, including grants to involve professors in DOE lab facilities and projects Strengthen USPAS Encourage labs to accept more traineeship students including international

• Outreach

Enhance recruiting, promote colloquia at universities

• DEI

Enhance support for national undergrad recruiting class to draw women and URM talent

Thanks to everyone for all the hard work!

- Accelerator Frontier Working Group Conveners
- Liaisons (EF, IF, NF, TF, CEF, CF)
- Sergei Nagaitsev, DPB
- Implementation Task Force
- e⁺e⁻ collider forum
- Muon collider forum
- Fermilab Collider Group
- Convenors of the Collider and RPF Agoras
- Our many international partners
- And in particular . . .

The accelerator community for their enthusiastic participation

