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What questions can LCLS-II answer for ILC?

1. **Gradient**
   - Can we build cavities that achieve gradients required for ILC?
   - If we achieve those high gradients in vertical test, can they be preserved in the installed linac?

2. **Q₀**
   - Can we build cavities that achieve high Q₀?
   - Can we achieve the required cool downs to maintain high Q₀ in the linac?
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LCLS-II Facility Overview, Scope, and Parameters
SLAC is developing an upgrade of its Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) that will be at the forefront of X-ray science.

LCLS-II will provide a major jump in capability:

- Increasing from 120 pulses per second to 1 million pulses per second.
- Enabling researchers to perform experiments in a wide range of fields that are currently impossible.
- The unique capabilities of LCLS-II will yield a host of discoveries to advance technology, new energy solutions and our quality of life.
New Injector and New Superconducting Linac

Existing Bypass Line

New Cryoplant

Remove SLAC Linac from Sectors 0-10

New Transport Line

Two New Undulators and X-Ray Transport

LCLS-II

Reconfigure Near Experiment Hall
Linac & FEL Layout

- RF Photocathode Gun
- 35 1.3 GHz Cryomodules
- 2 3.9 GHz Cryomodules

D. Gonnella, LCLS-II Commissioning

## LCLS-II Technical Parameters

### Performance Measure | Threshold | Objective
--- | --- | ---
Variable gap undulators | 2 (soft and hard x-ray) | 2 (soft and hard x-ray)

### Superconducting linac-based FEL system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superconducting linac electron beam energy</td>
<td>3.5 GeV</td>
<td>≥ 4 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron bunch repetition rate</td>
<td>93 kHz</td>
<td>929 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superconducting linac charge per bunch</td>
<td>0.02 nC</td>
<td>0.1 nC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon beam energy range</td>
<td>250–3,800 eV</td>
<td>200–5,000 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High repetition rate capable end stations</td>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>≥ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEL photon quantity (10^-3 BW) per bunch</td>
<td>5x10^8 (10x spontaneous) @2,500 eV</td>
<td>&gt; 10^{11} @ 3,800 eV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Normal conducting linac-based system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal conducting linac electron beam energy</td>
<td>13.6 GeV</td>
<td>15 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron bunch repetition rate</td>
<td>120 Hz</td>
<td>120 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal conducting linac charge per bunch</td>
<td>0.1 nC</td>
<td>0.25 nC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon beam energy range</td>
<td>1–15 keV</td>
<td>1–25k eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low repetition rate capable end stations</td>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>≥ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEL photon quantity (10^-3 BW^a) per bunch</td>
<td>10^{10} (lasing @ 15 keV)</td>
<td>&gt; 10^{12} @ 15 keV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

^a: BW: bunch width
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SRF Cavity & Cryomodule Production
In order to meet the high-$Q_0$ requirements, the cavities were produced with “Nitrogen-doping”

Cavities were manufactured at RI and Zanon and shipped to Fermilab and Jefferson Lab for acceptance testing
LCLS-II Cavity Performance

• Gradient performance typically exceeded the LCLS-II requirements in VT
• Significant improvements to processes were made throughout production which led to an increased yield
• New processes led to an average maximum gradient of $23\pm3$ MV/m

• Q₀ performance from the production doped cavities was excellent
• A change in heat treatment temperatures resulted in improved flux expulsion which led to consistently higher $Q₀$
• Able to achieve an average $Q₀$ of $(3.3\pm0.4)\times10^{10}$ at 16 MV/m and 2 K
Lessons learned from LCLS-II production and LCLS-II-HE R&D led to significant improvement in gradient performance while maintaining high $Q_0$. For additional details, see J. Maniscalco’s presentation.
Further Improvement for LCLS-II-HE

Maximum Gradient

Lessons learned from LCLS-II production and LCLS-II-HE R&D led to significant improvement in gradient performance while maintaining high $Q_0$.

Improvements for LCLS-II-HE Cavity Production:
- Consistently “cold” EP for the final surface
- Care in reducing furnace contamination during high temperature heat treatment
- Improved QA/QC processes through strict oversight and statistical analysis

For additional details, see J. Maniscalco’s presentation.
What questions can LCLS-II answer for ILC?

1. **Gradient**
   - Can we build cavities that achieve gradients required for ILC? **We’re getting there**
   - If we achieve those high gradients in vertical test, can they be preserved in the installed linac?

2. **Q₀**
   - Can we build cavities that achieve high Q₀? **YES**
   - Can we achieve the required cool downs to maintain high Q₀ in the linac?
Cryomodule Production

String Assembly

Cryomodule Construction

- Cryomodules were constructed at Fermilab and Jefferson Lab
- Each CM was tested at the respective lab following construction
- Results were typically excellent and exceeded the LCLS-II requirements
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LCLS-II Installation Experience
Cryomodule Installation

Last CM (spare) Delivered in May 2021

CM Installation Complete
February 2021
Electron Gun and NC Beam Line Installation

- Electron source beamline was built by LBNL (APEX Gun)
- Laser system was manufactured by Amplitude:
  - Oscillator operates at 46.43MHz
  - Modulator selects pulse rate from 0 to 1MHz
  - Conversion from IR to UV is 8-20%
- Commissioned e-source (2018-2020), including several upgrades (e.g. tuners, additional collimators)

D. Gonnella, LCLS-II Commissioning

Completed in 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Nominal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gun energy (keV)</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun cathode gradient (MV/m)</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode QE</td>
<td>&gt; 0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser energy (μJ) on the cathode</td>
<td>0.3 μJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum bunch repetition rate (MHz)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal bunch charge (pC)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial beam current (μA)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Undulator Installation

Vertical Variable Gap SXR Undulator

Horizontal Variable Gap HXR Undulator

Delivery of X-Rays to Instruments Began in 2020
Cool Down and $Q_0$ Performance of the Superconducting Linac
Cool Down & Pump Down to 2 K

- Cool down of the entire linac was completed in ~5 days!
- A rate of 2-3 K/hour was maintained over that duration
- Cool down was near-fully automated by the cryogenic controls system
- After multiple attempts, stable operation at 2 K was achieved only 11 days later
Fast Cool Down

Fast cool down of the cavities is critical to achieve High-$Q_0$

This is especially challenging in the installed linac where CMs cannot be cooled/warmed independently

Special tools were developed to automate this process to make it robust and repeatable.

Time Since Start: 0.00 hours
Fast Cool Down

Temperature (K)

Cryoplant #1

IB

DB1

DB2

Warm Up

Time Since Start: 0.00 hours

D. Gonnella, LCLS-II Commissioning
What we really care about is the cool down gradient not the rate – faster usually means larger gradients.

Two installed CMs have temperature sensors located on the cavity cells.

Gradients from the SLAC fast cool down and testing at FNAL could be compared to gauge how “successful” we were.

We are routinely able to achieve similar temperature gradients across the cavities to what was achieved at FNAL.

Sufficient cool downs for High $Q_0$ achieved at SLAC.
Q₀ of the Installed Cryomodules

- Full CM average Q₀ results look promising
- Across the linac an average of $2.6 \times 10^{10}$ has been observed
- Low performers can likely be improved by additional CM degaussing

**Demonstrates High Q₀ in an installed linac for the first time**
What questions can LCLS-II answer for ILC?

1. Gradient
   - Can we build cavities that achieve gradients required for ILC? **We’re getting there**
   - If we achieve those high gradients in vertical test, can they be preserved in the installed linac?

2. \( Q_0 \)
   - Can we build cavities that achieve high \( Q_0 \)? **YES**
   - Can we achieve the required cool downs to maintain high \( Q_0 \) in the linac? **YES**
5

SC Linac Commissioning
Overall SRF Commissioning Status

- Cryomodule commissioning has been very successful
- 97% of installed cavities fully operational (planned 94%)
- Majority of testing included an admin limit of 18 MV/m
- Total commissioned voltage exceeds design by >20%

Total Commissioned Cavity Voltage: 4.9 GV
Gradient Performance

Comparison with Acceptance Test

- Gradient performance is in line with CM acceptance test measurements at FNAL and Jlab
- No observable change in field emission onsets or magnitude from installation

Admin limits:
- 18 MV/m in commissioning
- 21 MV/m in acceptance test

Change in FE Onset

$\bar{\Delta} = (-1 \pm 18)\%$
The majority of cavities were limited by quench below the admin limit of 18 MV/m
  - It is suspected that many of these are limited by multipacting which could be processed
  - About one-quarter of the cavities reached the admin limit
  - About one-fifth of the cavities were limited by field emission
  - The remaining 2% of cavities are unable to be used:
    - 2 cavities: poor contact between warm and cold end couplers
    - 4 cavities: tuners not functioning properly
    - It is expected that all 6 of these cavities could be repaired *in situ* at room temperature
Accomplishments: Beam Commissioning

1. Established the first-time beam through the three main SC linac sections (L1B, L2B, and L3B) on 10/7 -10/9

2. Beam energy is characterized at the Sector-7 “dogleg” beamline area with the bending dipole magnets

3. 3.5 GeV beam transported to BSY dump (all CMs powered) on 11/8

4. Record injector performance has been demonstrated (0.43x0.57 μm)

5. Demonstration of critical beam control and diagnostics:
   - Emittance measurements
   - Screen and camera functionality
   - Cavity phasing
   - Chicane control
   - BPM data and beam orbit analytics
   - Beam energy measurements

6. Demonstration of repetition rate of 10 kHz on 12/9 (93 kHz required for threshold KPP)
Demonstration of 3.5 GeV Beam

1. Stable 3 GeV beam to BSY achieved on 10/28
2. Stable 3.5 GeV beam (on crest) to BSY achieved on 11/8
   • Ran stably through end of November
3. 3.5 GeV beam with L1 and L2 phasing at end of November
4. Lowered to 3 GeV for remaining beam tasks until December break
Summary
What questions can LCLS-II answer for ILC?

1. Gradient
   - Can we build cavities that achieve gradients required for ILC? **We’re getting there**
   - If we achieve those high gradients in vertical test, can they be preserved in the installed linac? **YES**

2. $Q_0$
   - Can we build cavities that achieve high $Q_0$? **YES**
   - Can we achieve the required cool downs to maintain high $Q_0$ in the linac? **YES**
Summary

• LCLS-II production and commissioning has progressed well
• We can reliably produce cavities, install them in cryomodules, and install those cryomodules in a linac all while maintaining excellent gradient and $Q_0$ performance
• LCLS-II-HE has further improved upon the cavity and CM performance first demonstrated in LCLS-II
• The future is BRIGHT!
Special thanks to the entire LCLS-II collaboration for all their hard work to make this possible!

Thanks for your attention!