



ICEASUSE and ACTIVE AC

Thomas Roser Sr. Scientist Emeritus BNL March 22, 2023



Agenda

• Welcome

- Panel membership and attendance
- Report from the Chair
- Report from Panel members on sustainability efforts at their labs
- Discussion on possible ICFA sponsoring of an Accelerator Sustainability Workshop series (possibly co-sponsoring the European ESSRI WS series and expand it internationally)
- Any other business
- Adjourn





Panel Members

https://icfa.hep.net/icfa-panel-on-sustainable-accelerators-and-colliders/

- Europe: <u>Mike Seidel (PSI, Switzerland)</u>, Andreas Hoppe (DESY, Germany), Jerome Schwindling (CEA/IRFU, France), <u>Ruggero Ricci (LNF, Italy)</u>, <u>Peter McIntosh (STFC, UK)</u>, <u>Roberto Losito (CERN, Switzerland)</u>
- Asia: Takayuki Saeki (KEK, Japan), <u>Yuhui Li (IHEP, China)</u>, <u>Hiroki Okuno (Riken, Japan)</u>, <u>Gwo-Huei Luo (NSRRC, Taiwan)</u>, Eugene Levichev (BINP, Russia)
- America: John Byrd (ANL, USA), Soren Prestemon (LBNL, USA), <u>Thomas Roser</u> (BNL, USA), Andrew Hutton (JLAB, USA), <u>Robert Laxdal (</u>TRIUMF, Canada), <u>Vladimir Shiltsev</u> (FNAL, USA), <u>Emilio Nanni</u> (SLAC, USA)





Summary of Activities

- Submission of contribution to Snowmass Community Summer Study (2022) on "Sustainability Considerations for Accelerator and Collider Facilities" (<u>arXiv:2203.07423</u>)
- Panel members were on the IOC of the 6th WS on Energy for Sustainable Science at Research Infrastructures (ESSRI), ESRF, Grenoble, Sep. 29 – 30, 2022
- Panel chair led the Snowmass Accelerator Frontier Implementation Task Force (ITF) in a two-year study to compare and evaluate all future collider proposals. The report evaluated R&D needs, technically limited schedule, cost and environmental impact, mainly power consumption. The final report can be found at <u>arXiv:2208.06030</u>. The next two slides have information from the report relevant to sustainability.
- A continuation of the study is being discussed comparing and evaluating luminosities and carbon footprint of future colliders with possible participation of the ICFA beam dynamics panel and the ICFA sustainability panel.
- Our Panel needs to focus on energy efficiency and not on carbon-neutral energy sources. The only
 exception is when accelerators can contribute to carbon-neutral energy sources.





Summary of Activities (cont'd)

• Mike Sidel: Here is the link to the workshop on critical materials and life cycle management: <u>https://indico.desy.de/event/35655/timetable/#all.detailed</u>





Power, complexity, environmental impact

- Summary table of categories of electric power consumption, size, complexity and required radiation mitigation.
- Darker blue means more impact.
- The WFA at 15 TeV use round beam collisions and have lower power consumption than at 3 TeV with flat beam collisions.

Proposal Name	Power	Size	Complexity	Radiation
	Consumption			Mitigation
FCC-ee (0.24 TeV)	290	91 km	Ι	Ι
CEPC (0.24 TeV)	340	$100 \mathrm{km}$	Ι	Ι
ILC (0.25 TeV)	140	$20.5 \mathrm{~km}$	Ι	Ι
CLIC (0.38 TeV)	110	11.4 km	II	Ι
CCC (0.25 TeV)	150	$3.7 \mathrm{km}$	Ι	Ι
CERC (0.24 TeV)	90	$91 \mathrm{km}$	II	Ι
ReLiC (0.24 TeV)	315	$20 \mathrm{km}$	II	Ι
ERLC (0.24 TeV)	250	$30 \mathrm{km}$	II	Ι
XCC (0.125 TeV)	90	1.4 km	II	Ι
MC (0.13 TeV)	200	$0.3~\mathrm{km}$	Ι	II
ILC (3 TeV)	~ 400	59 km	II	II
CLIC (3 TeV)	~ 550	$50.2~\mathrm{km}$	III	II
CCC (3 TeV)	~ 700	$26.8~\mathrm{km}$	II	II
ReLiC (3 TeV)	~ 780	$360~{ m km}$	III	Ι
MC (3 TeV)	~ 230	10-20 km	II	III
LWFA (3 TeV)	~ 340	$1.3 \mathrm{km}$	II	Ι
		(linac)		
PWFA (3 TeV)	~ 230	14 km	II	II
SWFA (3 TeV)	~ 170	$18 \mathrm{km}$	II	II
MC (14 TeV)	~ 300	$27~{ m km}$	III	III
LWFA (15 TeV)	~ 1030	$6.6 \mathrm{km}$	III	Ι
PWFA (15 TeV)	~ 620	14 km	III	II
$\overline{\text{SWFA} (15 \text{ TeV})}$	~ 450	90 km	III	II
FCC-hh (100 TeV)	~ 560	91 km	II	III
SPPC (125 TeV)	~400	100 km	II	III

Luminosity per power consumption

- Figure-of-merit Peak Luminosity (per IP) per Input Power and Integrated Luminosity per TWh.
- Integrated luminosity
 assumes 10⁷ seconds per sear.
- The luminosity is per IP.
- Data points are provided to the ITF by proponents of the respective machines.
- The bands around the data points reflect approximate power consumption uncertainty for the different collider concepts.



Sustainability : an integral part of CERN Objectives for 2021-2025

One of the <u>main objectives</u> of the CERN Directorate for the years 2021-2025.

CERN aims to establish itself as a model for a transparent and environmentally responsible research organisation -> strong and proactive commitment to environmental protection, along 3 lines:

- Minimise the Laboratory's impact on the environment
- Pursue actions and technologies aiming at energy saving and reuse
- **Identify and develop CERN technologies** that may contribute to mitigate the impact of society on the environment.







CERN

15 November 2022

Audit Committee

Energy efficiency efforts and plans at BNL

- NSLS II: upgrade plans include replacing large parts of the ring with "complex bends" using permanent Halbach magnets.
- RHIC (mature facility): many upgrades to improve efficiency (variable speed cooling systems, etc., also careful maintenance to increase equipment lifetime); efficiency upgrade of helium refrigerator
- EIC: RHIC/EIC Helium refrigerator efficiency upgrade; use of Energy Recovery Linac for high intensity electron beam for proton beam electron cooling; efficient reuse of process heat using heat pumps







Sustainable Accelerators R&D at Fermilab

• High Q₀ SRF cavities:

> 1e10 for current projects (ILC, LCLS-II, etc)
> 3e10 for future projects (FCCee, Muon Collider, etc)
And high gradient > 45 GV/m
HTS high field magnets:

- High field solenoids >30 T, inserts (with NHMFL)
 Economical 0.1T HTS dipoles for FCCee
- Up to 50 T solenoids for muon colliders (in plan)

HTS fast cycling magnets:

- For RCS and muon colliders
- ReBCO ~1m magnet 300 T/s (record)
- Plans for 1000-3000 T/s prototypes



Power savings NSRRC (Taiwan)







- Intermittence operation of TLS/TPS booster operation for RF system and magnets during top-up injection
- Power saving scheme of klystron operation



- Installation of 1.2 MWp solar panels on the roof of Blds.
- Accumulated solar power: ~8.16 M kW hr.
- Carbon reduction: 4.3 k Tons



	PDCL	High standby Icc	5 s early	Energy saving off
Standby I _{cc} /A	1.81	4.71	2.10	4.90
Injection time /s	2	2	2+5	2
Stand by time /s	240	240	235	240
cycle /s	242	242	242	242
Standby power /kW	61	112	67	
Injection power /kW	155	155	155	155
Power/cycle /kW s	14950	27190	16830	37510
Power/year /kWh an ⁻¹	527821	959964	566834	1324320
PSR /%	60.1	27.5	57.2	0.0



TPS upgrade: using multi-bend lattice to reduce the emittance with lower radiation power loss and using permanent magnets combined with mechanical tuning saving power of dipole magnets.

12

∂TRIUMF

Energy efficiency efforts and plans at TRIUMF

- The 500MeV cyclotron is now 50 years old and ISAC is 25 years old - refurbishing efforts are being done on both systems to improve electrical efficiency with partial financial incentive from the local power utility – they help fund a portion of a portion of an FTE to think energy efficiency
 - New higher efficiency power supplies for magnets
 - All new purchases for upgrades are reviewed for power efficiency
- TRIUMF site exploring the use of heat pumps for future operation together with the local university community
- Sustainability is a new platform of TRIUMF focus for our next FYP starting in 2025
 - Lead institute to discuss ADS for Canada



March 22, 2023

SLAC Sustainability and RF Sources

SLAC BAC Prototype

RF Source Efficiency is a Limiting Factor for Sustainability of Facilities

- For pulsed systems solenoid often uses the most average power
 - Restarting production of PPM 75 MW klystrons
- Incorporating higher efficiency bunching mechanisms in new designs (BAC/COM) developed under HEIKA
- Energy recovery for rf sources Green RF
- Goal to reach 65-80% electrical efficiency



https://indico.cern.ch/event/39372/contributions/1829827/attachments/787 979/1080133/AVIieks-X-Band_Klystron_Development_at_SLAC-final.pdf



High efficiency klystron for CEPC

• 70% already reached, 80% still need to develop



CEPC at 800 RMB/MWh and 6000 hours/year **M RMB** 700 Save Money **130M RMB** 1 year 600 electricity bill, **90 M RMB** 500 400 300 200 Excessive 100 45% 50% 55% 65% 75% 80% 40% 60% 70% 85% 90% 95% 100% NOW Efficiency, % **COMING FUTURE** ARIES cea **CEPC: BAC single beam klystron study** CEPC Collider SRF System Param 650 requency 5-Cell Cavity type Cavity No Beam test setup Kly. No. 2000.0 1500 0 Kly. pow Classical prototype 3) 🔜 🚺 🚺 207 G 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 High efficiency prototype Strategy to manufacture tube in China Current Status Longer interaction region Mechanical design of test tube -> Bigger furnace required Coaxial window design to MBK as alternative design manufacture and test How to increase efficiency Director requests us to have more in Linear region? than 80% efficiency, and 2.5D simulation will be desirable using Possibility to collaborate FCI, Magic and CST with company other than Manufacturing infrastructure such China... as backing and exhausting furnace is needed S. Fukuda Talk in IAS2017 Aidisk: 74%

C. Marchand - Energy for Sustainable Science - Magurele

cea

23

Waste energy reuse plan @ CEPC

- We plan to use heat pump to reuse the waste energy.
- Heat naturally flows from high temperature to low temperature, but not in the opposite direction. A heat pump can reverse the flow of heat from lower temperatures to higher temperatures with minimal added energy.



Heat pump schematic diagram

Working principle of air energy heat pump 16/26

Heated temp. T_h

Ambient temp.Ta

W refriger

 $\text{COP}_{h} = \frac{Q_{h}}{W}$

 $\text{COP}_{\text{h}} = \frac{T_{\text{H}}}{T_{\text{H}} - T_{\text{I}}}$

W

Heat

pump

Waste energy reuse plan @ CEPC

switchable heat bump"

water at 10 C° for air conditioning.



• It is possible to reuse waste energy of 282 MW, which corresponds to 2.92million-GJ per year (assuming an annual) heating period of 2,880 hours).

Energy Efficient Efforts at Daresbury Laboratory

SRF Thin Films:

- Extensive R&D programme underway with Horizon Europe <u>IFAST</u> programme.
- Aim to demonstrate high-Tc SRF cavity performance (E_{acc} and Q_o) capability.
- Technology solutions to be developed for future <u>UK-XFEL</u> and <u>ISIS-II</u> facilities.

• **ZEPTO Permanent Magnets**:

- Tuneable quadrupole and dipole prototypes developed for <u>CLIC</u>.
- Quadrupole prototype developed and installed on <u>Diamond</u> synchrotron.
- Technology solutions to be developed for <u>CLARA</u> and future <u>UK-XFEL</u>.



High Efficiency Klystrons:

- Strong collaboration with CERN to optimise designs for higher efficiency.
- First prototype demonstrated by <u>Canon</u> 8 MW, X-Band at 53.5% efficiency, compared to ~40% typical.
- Technology solutions being developed for <u>HL-LHC</u> and <u>FCC</u>
- Fast Reactive Tuners:
 - Strong collaboration also with CERN, to develop viable technology to more optimally match RF power to SRF cavity.
 - Factor of <u>>10x RF power reduction</u> potentially feasible.
 - Recent Horizon Europe innovative Sustainable Accelerator Systems (iSAS) proposal submitted, is a mechanism for integrated capability demonstration.

Back-up slides





EUROPEAN STRATEGY FOR PARTICLE PHYSICS Accelerator R&D Roadmap

• 8. Sustainability considerations

- Scarcity of resources, along with climate change originating from the excessive exploitation of fossil energy are ever growing concerns for humankind. Particularly, the total electric power consumption of scientific facility operations will become more important as the reliance on fossil fuels is being reduced, carbon-neutral energy sources are still being developed and a larger part of the energy consumption is converted from fossil fuel to electric power.
- In our accelerator community we need to give high priority to the realization of sustainable concepts, particularly when the next generation of large accelerator-based facilities is considered. Indeed, the muchincreased performance – higher beam energy and intensity – of proposed new facilities comes together with anticipated increased electric power consumption. In the following we classify the most important development areas for sustainability of accelerator driven research infrastructures in three categories – technologies, concepts and general aspects. We suggest investing R&D efforts in these areas and to assess energy efficiency with an equal level of relevance as the classical performance parameters of the facilities under discussion.





EUROPEAN STRATEGY FOR PARTICLE PHYSICS Accelerator R&D Roadmap

Conclusion Recommendation 6

 Environmental sustainability should be treated as a primary consideration for future facilities, including those in the near-to-medium future, and the R&D programme should be prioritised accordingly. Objective metrics should be set down to allow appraisal of the impact of future facilities over their entire life cycle, including civilengineering aspects, and of the resources needed to ensure sustainability.





ICFA Panel on Sustainable Accelerators and Colliders

• Panel mandate (expanded to include sustainable energy production):

- Assess and promote developments on energy efficient and sustainable accelerator concepts, technologies and strategies for operation, and assess and promote the use of accelerators for the development of Carbonneutral energy sources.
- The panel will formulate recommendations on R&D and support ICFA with networking across the laboratories and communications. The membership will ensure a broad regional participation and coverage of accelerator technologies and concepts, relevant in the context of energy consumption and production.

• Topics:

- Accelerator concepts for sustainability: Accelerators based on superconducting or permanent magnets, Energy Recovery Accelerators and Colliders, Muon Colliders, Accelerator Driven Subcritical Reactors
- Energy efficient technologies: low-loss superconducting resonators, efficient RF sources, accelerator-quality permanent magnets, efficient cryogenic systems, superconducting electrical links, heat recovery in aquifers, short-term energy storage
- General sustainability aspects: carbon footprint analysis, water consumption, environment friendly materials, lifecycle management, helium as a scarce resource



