## Mechanical and thermal stress on a pulsed solenoid for positron capture at the ILC undulator-based positron source

Status of simulations and mechanical design

<u>**C. Tenholt</u></u>, G. Loisch, M. Mentink, M. Fukuda, G. Moortgat-Pick, T. Okugi, S. Riemann, P. Sievers, K. Yokoya</u>** 

International Workshop on Future Linear Colliders SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory

16.05.2023







Universität Hambur

UΗ



HELMHOLTZ

### **ILC undulator-based positron source**

Introduction to layout and technical challenges

- Fast rotating target wheel
- 1ms-positron pulse duration
- OMD for positron capturing
  - Flux concentrator
    - Focus variation during long pulses
  - Quarter-wave transformer
    - Limited yield



Principal Layout: Ti-Wheel with a Diameter of 1.0 m, rotating at 100 m/s, 2000 rpm.



- New approach: Pulsed solenoid
  - Stable and reproducible focus
  - High magnetic flux density
  - Compatible with long pulse duration
  - Manageable heat load in solenoid
  - Manageable heat load on target (!?)

### **Pulsed solenoid for positron focusing**

#### **Background and previous work**

- Pulsed solenoid was e.g. used at LEP
- Constant, small coil winding cross-section for uniform current density
- Pulsed to reduce power/thermal load
- Potentially higher yield (!?)
- Prel. parameters:
  - ~50 kA peak current
  - 4 ms half-sine pulse + 1ms flat-top
  - ▶ 7 turns, linear taper (20mm  $\rightarrow$  80mm)
  - Peak field ~5 T
  - Average heat load on target: 73 W + 711 W
  - Peak force on wheel 612 N





### **Ferrite shielding**



- 2D & 3D simulation in Comsol w/ moving titanium plate (100m/s) & 47kA peak current
- Ferrite shield plates around solenoid
- Increase of peak magnetic flux in solenoid <10%</p>
- Reduction of area & amplitude of mag. field on wheel
- Induced heat reduced 73W + 711W → 31W + 298W
- ▶ Reduction of peak force on target 612N  $\rightarrow$  263N
- Increase of peak B(z) ~10%





### **Magnetic field stability**

Variation of magnetic field during flat-top current

- Transient current distribution subject to skin-effect
- Skin depth @125 Hz ~6 mm  $\rightarrow$  current distribution should be stable
- < 1% deviation of field simulated</p>





### **Yield simulations**

#### Brief overview of simulations target $\rightarrow$ damping ring

- Yield of undulator-based positron source w/ solenoid matching device simulated
- Significant yield improvement to QWT
- $\blacktriangleright Possible trade-off: target heatload \leftrightarrow yield$
- Further optimisation maybe possible



	Beamloss Power				Positron Yield	
	@dogleg	@booster	@EC	@DR	@capture (  Z <7mm )	@DR
QWT	0.677 kW	0.014 kW	4.01 kW - 5.56 kW	13.15 kW - 14.3 kW	1.07	~1.1
Pulse solenoid w/o shield	0.927 kW	0.055 kW	5.86 kW - 7.93 kW	17.39 kW - 16.01 kW	1.81	1.91
Pulse solenoid with shield	0.871 kW	0.064 kW	5.58 kW - 7.90 kW	17.73 kW - 16.24 kW	1.64	1.74



### **Coil stress**

Dynamic deformation w/o support & heat load

- Average power in coil ~10 kW
- Peak mag. flux 4.6 T
- von-Mises stress ~570 MPa
  - Soft Cu tensile strength ~200MPa
  - $\blacktriangleright$  very sensitive to exact shape
  - ► → very localized

- Has to be iterated w/ mechanical design (solenoid + support structure + connectors)
- Global optimisation pending (current amplitude & waveform, coil shape, etc.)
- If stresses too high: multiple layers + reduced peak current





#### Insulated **Solenoid construction** support rods **Possible mechanical design** Solenoid coil Tapered winding 7 planar windings with interconnections Conductor cooled from inside Metal supports to hold coil Metal support bridges Solenoid coil Support rods insulated from support bridges ► Washers e.g. of SiN ceramics Magnetic shielding cut at support locations Influence on field to be determined Ceramic washers Metal support rod Main shielding to target unaffected Metal support bridges

### **Summary & Outlook**

**Recent progress and next steps** 

- Solenoid provides superior yield compared to QWT
- Heat load on coil & target wheel no show stoppers
- Mechanical stress significant, exact mech. design required
- Simulations now moving to answering mech. design questions
- Collaboration being set up formally to build prototype
- Global optimisation of solenoid parameters could further reduce stresses & heat load



# Thank you for your attention!

#### **Contact**

Carmen Tenholt (carmen.tenholt@desy.de), Gregor Loisch DESY, Hamburg Matthias Mentink, Peter Sievers CERN, Geneva Masafumi Fukuda, Toshiyuki Okugi, Kaoru Yokoya KEK, Tsukuba Sabine Riemann DESY Zeuthen Gudrid Moortgat-Pick University Hamburg