



## **Direct CP Violation in** $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ **and the Upstream Tracker at LHCb**

William C. Parker University of Maryland October 14, 2021

Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 091802



### **Overview**



- Introduction to B physics and the  $K\pi$  puzzle
- LHCb and neutral particles
- New measurement of  $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0)$
- Ongoing upgrade of LHCb and prospects for  $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0)$





## The CKM Matrix

18 ARYLAN

- CKM matrix describes the interaction of quarks with the weak force
- Inter-generation transitions suppressed
  - Interesting *b* quark properties: long lifetime  $\gamma c \tau_B \sim 7 \text{mm}$ , particular sensitivity to NP
- <u>Flavor Changing Neutral Currents</u> <u>forbidden to first order</u>



- Single complex phase source of CP asymmetry
  - CP violation requires at least three generations





## **CP Violation**



- Physical laws not invariant under charge conjugation + parity inversion (mirror flip)
- Consequence of interference when a physical process can proceed in different ways
- CP violation in mixing:  $B^0 \to \overline{B^0} \neq \overline{B^0} \to B^0$
- Indirect CP violation: asymmetry due to interference between mixing and decay amplitudes
- **Direct CP violation:**  $B \rightarrow f \neq \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{f}$  due to interference in decay amplitudes
  - Requires non-zero relative weak and strong phase between amplitudes









- $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-, B^0 \rightarrow K^0\pi^0,$  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^0, B^+ \rightarrow K^0\pi^+$
- Dominated by QCD penguin diagrams
  - Suppressed by loop
  - Tree suppressed by  $V_{ub}$
- Different Kπ decays have contributions from different diagrams
- Potentially sensitive to new physics through massive virtual particles in loops









(c)  $B \to K \pi^0$  color-suppressed tree diagrams



(d)  $B \to K \pi^0$  electroweak penguin diagrams

violation in B mesons in  $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$  decays Measured branching fractions and CP asymmetries for all  $K\pi$  modes

- at  $e^+e^-$  colliders devoted to studying *B* mesons First observed direct CP
- BaBar and Belle: experiments
- $BF \times 10^{-6}$  $\sin(2\beta^{efj})$ Direct  $A_{CP}$  $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$  $0.57 \pm 0.17$  $9.9 \pm 0.5$  $0.01 \pm 0.10$  $B^+ \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$  $23.8 \pm 0.8$  $-0.017 \pm 0.016$  $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^- \quad 19.6 \pm 0.5$  $-0.084 \pm 0.004$  $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0 \quad 12.9 \pm 0.5$  $0.040 \pm 0.021$

 $A_{CP}$  and branching fraction world averages for the  $B \to K\pi$  decay modes.

Observation of Direct CPV in *B* mesons

100

0 5.2



400

a)

 $K^+\pi^-$ 



Phys. Rev.



## The $K\pi$ Puzzle



- CP asymmetry in  $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$  and  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^0$  from interference between tree and penguin diagrams
- Expected to be equal from isospin arguments
- Differs by more than  $5\sigma$  according to current measurements

$$A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0) - A_{CP}(B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-) = 0.124 \pm 0.021$$







## The $K\pi$ Puzzle



• Color-suppressed tree and electroweak penguin diagrams contribute to  $K^+\pi^0$  but not  $K^+\pi^-$ 











$$A_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{-}) + A_{CP}(K^{0}\pi^{+})\frac{B(K^{0}\pi^{+})}{B(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}} = A_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{0})\frac{2B(K^{+}\pi^{0})}{B(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}} + A_{CP}(K^{0}\pi^{0})\frac{2B(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{B(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{2B(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{B(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{\pi_{0}}{\tau_{+}}$$

- All Kπ CP asymmetries and branching fractions can be incorporated in more precise equivalence derived from isospin relations between widths
- Current measurements (<u>HFLAV 2018</u>) predict  $A_{CP}(K^0\pi^0) = -0.150 \pm 0.032$ , value measured by B factories:  $0.01 \pm 0.10$
- Fits to  $K\pi$  observables show some tension
- Can be resolved by enhancement of color-suppressed trees or NP in penguins
- $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$  is a key component of Belle II physics program



Buras et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 32 (2003) 45, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 101804, Nucl. Phys. B 697 (2004) 133;

S. Baek et al., Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 057502, Phys. Lett. B 653 (2007) 249, Phys. Lett. B 675 (2009) 59;

M. Gronau, Phys. Lett.B 627 (2005) 82; N. B. Beaudry et al., JHEP01(2018) 074; R. Fleischer et al., Phys. Lett. B 785 (2018) 525



10/14/2021

## **Experimental Status**



 $\mathcal{A}^{CP}$  measurements for the  $B \to K\pi$  decay modes

	BaBar	Belle	LHCb
$B^0 \to K^0 \pi^0$	$+0.13 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.03 \ [1]$	$-0.14 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.06$ [2]	
$B^+ \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$	$-0.029 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.010$ [3]	$-0.011 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.006$ [4]	$-0.022 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.010$ [5]
$B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$	$-0.107 \pm 0.016^{+0.006}_{-0.004}$ [6]	$-0.069 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.007$ [4]	$-0.0824 \pm 0.0033 \pm 0.0033$ [7]
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$	$+0.030 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.010$ [8]	$+0.043 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.002$ [4]	

- LHCb has measured charged pion modes, modes with  $\pi^0$  only measured at B factories
- $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$  is first analysis of a one-track B decay at a hadron collider
- Experimentally challenging
  - No secondary vertex to identify *B* decay
  - Relatively low  $\pi^0$  efficiency
  - High combinatorial background
- Proof of concept for other modes of similar topology such as  $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$





## **The LHCb Detector**



## **The LHCb Detector**



- High cross sections for *b* and *c* production at the LHC
  - $\sigma_{b\bar{b}} \sim 500 \ \mu b$  at 14 TeV
  - Produced predominantly at high  $\eta$
- Central detector instrumenting  $|\eta| < 2.5$ would instrument 98% solid angle and capture 45% of  $b\overline{b}$  pairs
- Instrumenting  $2 < \eta < 5$  (~4% solid angle) captures 25% of  $b\overline{b}$  pairs produced







### **Vertex Locator**



- Silicon strip detector located inside the beam pipe, 8mm from beam
- Provides precision information on charged track position

• 
$$\sigma_{IP} = \left(15 + \frac{29}{p_T[\text{GeV}]}\right) \mu m$$



10/14/2021

JINST 3 (2008) S08005, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A30 (2015) 1530022



## **Tracking System**



- 4 Tm dipole magnet
- Silicon strip sensors before magnet and in high-occupancy region around beampipe
- Straw tubes for coverage of lower occupancy region
- Provides momentum information for charged tracks
- $\frac{\sigma_p}{p} = 1\%$  at 200 [GeV/c]

10/14/2021





### LHCb THCp

## Calorimeter

- Sampling calorimeter with SPD/PS for  $e/h/\gamma$  identification
- Measures and triggers on energy deposited by charged and neutral particles
- Only information about neutral particles
- $\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = 1\% + 10\% / \sqrt{E[\text{GeV}]}$





Event 41383468 Run 153460

Wed, 03 Jun 2015 11:52:09



15



10/14/2021

## **Particle Identification**



- Ring Imaging Cherenkov Detector measures particle velocity for identification
- Muon MWPC identifies and triggers on muons
- $e \sim 90\%$  at  $\sim 5\% e \rightarrow h$  mis-id
- K ~ 95% at ~ 5%  $\pi \rightarrow K$  mis-id
- $\mu \sim 97\%$  at  $\sim 1-3\% \pi \rightarrow \mu$  mis-id







## $\pi^0$ Reconstruction



- Neutral pions identified by decay to two photons
- Below  $p_T = 3$  GeV photons can be resolved in two separate clusters, at higher energies clusters merge
- Cluster separated into two subclusters centered on highest energy deposits according to expected transverse profile
- Photon separation and invariant mass required to be consistent with  $\pi^0$
- Merged  $\pi^0$ :
  - + Higher  $p_T$
  - + Reduced combinatorial
  - Wider mass resolution
- For  $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$ , keep only **merged**  $\pi^0$  to preserve trigger bandwidth







## **Trigger Strategy**



18

#### LHCb Run II Trigger

40 MHz bunch crossing rate L0 Hardware Trigger : 1 MHz readout, high  $E_T/P_T$  signatures 450 kHz 400 kHz 150 kHz h± μ/μμ e/y Software High Level Trigger Partial event reconstruction, select displaced tracks/vertices and dimuons Buffer events to disk, perform online detector calibration and alignment Full offline-like event selection, mixture of inclusive and exclusive triggers 12.5 kHz (0.6 GB/s) to storage

- Flavor physics results are largely limited by data recorded, rather than beam energy
- Trigger has to cope with high forward occupancy while preserving relatively low-energy b and c physics
- Low-level hardware trigger relies on high  $E_T/p_T$  signature from calorimeter or muon detector
- Two step high-level trigger buffers events to disk to perform full reconstruction



## $B^+ ightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ in Run I



- Long lifetime  $c\tau_B \sim 400 \mu m$  characteristic b signature
- All run I software triggers rely on secondary vertex
- Cannot be reconstructed for  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ with only one charged track
- Trigger on the presence of an unrelated secondary vertex in the event **(8-11% efficiency)**
- Extremely high combinatorial background:  $S/B \sim 10^{-7}$
- $72 \pm 26$  signal events observed in Run I
- Motivated the development of a dedicated trigger for Run II

10/14/2021









## **Run II Measurement**

- Dedicated trigger and event selection
- Fit to determine CP asymmetry
- Corrections and systematics
- Results



## **Dedicated Trigger:** *K*<sup>+</sup> **IP**





- No secondary vertex, but still take advantage of LHCb's precision tracking
- *K*<sup>+</sup> should be inconsistent with originating from any primary vertex
- Cut on IP $\chi^2$ (PV),  $\Delta \chi^2$  when  $K^+$  is included in the primary vertex fit
- Suppresses background from promptly produced  $K^+$  (unlikely to be  $B^+$  decay product)









- *K*<sup>+</sup> should be consistent with originating from the trajectory of the *B*<sup>+</sup>
- Add  $K^+$  and  $\pi^0$  momenta to form  $B^+$  trajectory
  - Define  $\pi^0$  momentum as pointing from interaction point to calorimeter energy deposit
- Cut on significance of distance of closest approach (DOCA) of K<sup>+</sup> and B<sup>+</sup>
- Suppresses background from combinatorial  $K^+$  and  $\pi^0$









## **Trigger Output**



23

- Dedicated software trigger takes advantage of  $IP\chi^2(PV)$  and DOCA- $\chi^2$  variables,  $B^+$ invariant mass window and kinematic cuts of final selection
- S/B after trigger still  $\sim 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$
- Dominant background comes from random combinations of  $K^+$  and  $\pi^0$
- Below the B mass B → K<sup>+</sup>π<sup>0</sup>X is also a significant background
  - Similar event topology to signal





## **Multivariate Analysis**

- Train Boosted Decision Trees to reject background efficiently
- Two BDTs trained against different backgrounds:
  - Upper mass sideband  $M(K^+\pi^0) > 5700 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  (combinatorial)
  - Lower mass sideband  $M(K^+\pi^0) < 4860 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  (partially reconstructed)
  - Both trained on simulated signal
- Split and cross-validated for best statistics without overtraining
- Same set of inputs for both BDTs:
  - IP $\chi^2$ (PV) and DOCA- $\chi^2$
  - Kinematics (restricted to prevent reconstructing  $B^+$  mass)
  - Isolation variables (next slides)





10/14/2021

## **Isolation Variables: Vertex**

- Events with other tracks pointing back to B candidate are unlikely to be  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$  decays
- Combine each track in the event individually with  $K^+$  to form vertices



JVERSI



## **Isolation Variables: Cone**



- Consider tracks in cone of  $\Delta R = 1.7$  around  $B^+$
- Define cone  $p_T$  asymmetry  $A(p_T) \equiv \frac{p_T(B) p_T(\text{cone})}{p_T(B) + p_T(\text{cone})}$  $p_T(B) + p_T(\text{cone})$



- BDTs trained on simulated signal and sideband data background
- Isolation variables depend on track multiplicity
- Corrected by comparing  $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$  data and simulation
  - Good signal efficiency and purity







- Find 2D cut on BDT outputs that maximizes  $\epsilon_{MC}/\sqrt{S+B}$
- S/B improved by factor of ~300
- Two more background categories:
- $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$  where  $\pi^+$  is misidentified as K<sup>+</sup>
- Peaking partial reco. e.g.  $B^{+/0} \rightarrow (K^{*+/0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{0/-})\pi^{0},$   $B \rightarrow K^{+}(\rho^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\pi^{0})$ 
  - K<sup>\*</sup>/ρ polarization in B rest frame results in doublepeaked mass structure









## **Invariant Mass Fit**

- Fit to mass distribution separated by  $B^{\pm}$
- Further separate by magnet polarity (not shown) to correct for detector effects
- Shape parameters fixed between sub-samples, signal and background yields float independently

$$A_{raw} = \frac{N(B^- \to K^- \pi^0) - N(B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0)}{N(B^- \to K^- \pi^0) + N(B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0)}$$



- $A_{raw} = 0.005 \pm 0.022$  (Magnet Up), 0.019  $\pm$  0.021 (Magnet Down)
- ~16,500 signal events (200x Run I)



$$A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0) = A_{raw}(B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0) - A_{prod.}^B - A_{det.}^K$$

- LHC is a proton-proton collider  $\rightarrow B^{\pm}$  production asymmetry
- LHCb is made of matter  $\rightarrow K^{\pm}$  detection asymmetry
- Same order of magnitude as physical CP asymmetry
- Can measure the same combination of effects in decay  $B^+ \rightarrow (I/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ 
  - $\pi^0$  and  $I/\psi$  own antiparticles no asymmetry
  - Match  $K^+$  selection to signal trigger, kinematics, particle identification
  - Weight  $p/p_T(B^+/K^+)$  distributions to signal kinematics

## **Prod./Det. Asymmetry Correction**



- Charged tracks and reconstructible  $J/\psi$  mass make selection clean (99%)
- Follow same procedure as signal to extract raw asymmetry
- CP asymmetry in  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$  known precisely  $(0.002 \pm 0.003, PDG)$
- Remainder attributed to same combination of *B* production and *K* detection as in  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$  measurement





## **Systematic Uncertainties**



Table 1: Systematic uncertainties on  $A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0)$ .

- Assess systematics on fit variations
  - Signal and background shapes
  - Parameters fixed to simulation/physical values
- Dominant uncertainty: modeling of signal tails in the fit
- Small statistical uncertainty in determining production/detection asymmetry
- Effect of weighting used to estimate any residual kinematic differences in  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^0$  and  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$  asymmetries

Fit Component	Systematic	Value
Combinatorial bkg.	Shape	0.0013
Partial Reco. bkg.	Shape	0.0013
Peaking Partial Reco. bkg.	Shape Offset Resolution	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0012 \\ 0.0013 \\ 0.0014 \end{array}$
$B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$	Yield CP Asymmetry	$0.0013 \\ 0.0015$
Signal modeling	Shape	0.0043
Production/detection asymmetry	stat. weights	$0.0021 \\ 0.0005$
	Multiple candidates	0.0013
Sum in qu	0.0061	
Statistical	0.015	







• Correcting and averaging Magnet Up and Magnet Down results and adding systematic uncertainties in quadrature we find

 $A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+\pi^0) = 0.025 \pm 0.015(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.006(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.003(\text{ext.})$ 

- Result is consistent between years, magnet polarity, and bins of kaon momentum
- Most precise measurement of  $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0)$
- Combining with world average  $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0) = 0.031 \pm 0.013$





## Status of the $\mathbf{B} \to K\pi$ System

Table 15:  $\mathcal{A}^{CP}$  measurements for the  $B \to K\pi$  decay modes

	BaBar	Belle	LHCb
$B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$	$+0.13 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.03 \ [1]$	$-0.14 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.06$ [2]	
$B^+ \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$	$-0.029 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.010$ [3]	$-0.011 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.006$ [4]	$-0.022 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.010$ [5]
$B^0 \! ightarrow K^+ \pi^-$	$-0.107 \pm 0.016^{+0.006}_{-0.004}$ [6]	$-0.069 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.007$ [4]	$-0.0824 \pm 0.0033 \pm 0.0033$ [7]
$B^+ \! \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$	$+0.030 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.010$ [8]	$+0.043 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.002$ [4]	$+0.024 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.003$

Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 091802

- $A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+\pi^0) A_{CP}(B^0 \to K^+\pi^-) = 0.115 \pm 0.014$ , non-zero at 8.2 $\sigma$  (previously 0.124  $\pm$  0.021, 5.9 $\sigma$ )
  - LHCb results:  $A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+\pi^0) A_{CP}(B^0 \to K^+\pi^-) = 0.106 \pm 0.017$
- Updated sum rule prediction for  $A_{CP}(K^0\pi^0)$ :  $-0.138 \pm 0.025$ , non-zero at  $5.5\sigma$  (previously  $-0.150 \pm 0.032$ ,  $4.7\sigma$ )

$$A_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{-}) + A_{CP}(K^{0}\pi^{+})\frac{B(K^{0}\pi^{+})}{B(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}} = A_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{0})\frac{2B(K^{+}\pi^{0})}{B(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}} + A_{CP}(K^{0}\pi^{0})\frac{2B(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{B(K^{+}\pi^{-})}$$

## **Flavor Physics Anomalies**



R(D)

SIVERSIT,





## The LHCb Phase I Upgrade



## **Current Trigger Limitations**



- Data collection currently limited by the trigger
- Increasing luminosity requires increasing energy thresholds
- Occupancy and radiation damage also an issue





LHCb 2015 trigger



## **Upgrade Strategy**



- Read out and reconstruct each event in software before decision
- Collect  $50 fb^{-1}$  of data over the next decade (5x current dataset)
- Three new detectors: VELOPix, UT, and SciFi
- Fast readout, higher occupancy, radiation tolerance







## **Upstream Tracker**



- Critical for upgrade triggering scheme
- Intermediate track information speeds track finding by factor of 3
- 40 MHz readout, improved segmentation and acceptance
- 4 types of silicon sensors mounted on staves with integrated flex and cooling, based on ATLAS design





NIM Volume 831, 21 Sept. 2016, 367-369



## **UT Data Flow**

- 4192 custom 128 channel ASICs digitize, zerosuppress, transmit via 3-5 320Mbps output links
- Up to 300 data and control lines transmitted through ~1.2m flexible circuit
- Reorganized and converted to optical signals in Peripheral Electronics Processing Interface
- Cross-talk and attenuation a major concern







## **UT Readout Electronics**



- All data, control and power routed through backplanes
  - Organize and distribute data
- 248 Data and Control Boards distribute clock and control signals, convert and transmit data optically
  - High-speed rad-hard GBT chip set
  - ~1 TB/s transmitted to control room

#### **Data and Control Board**



#### Backplane





## **UT Construction**





- First staves and all PEPI electronics delivered to CERN
- Frame assembled and aligned
- Now installing backplanes, cooling, and outer flex circuits

10/14/2021

Plan to begin taking data in 2022



# $B^+ ightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ Upgrade Prospects



 $A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+\pi^0) = 0.025 \pm 0.015(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.006(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.003(\text{ext.})$ 

- Assume simple scaling with luminosity
- Statistical uncertainty with  $50 \text{fb}^{-1}$ :  $\pm 0.005$ 
  - Upgrade I: Expect improved efficiency from trigger but more difficult selection due to higher occupancy
- Dominant sources of systematic uncertainty
  - Modeling of signal tails, can improve with tighter event selection
  - Uncertainty on raw and CP asymmetry in  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$  currently statistically limited
- Current prediction for  $A_{CP}(K^0\pi^0): -0.138 \pm 0.025$ 
  - Belle II prospective uncertainty:  $\pm 0.018$  with  $50ab^{-1}$  (Phys. Rev. D 78, 111501(R))
- Second upgrade under investigation for HL-LHC, could collect 300fb<sup>-1</sup> with timing and improved granularity in ECAL







- A measurement of direct CP violation in  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$  decays has been performed
  - Most precise to date
  - Confirms and strengthens the  $K\pi$  puzzle
  - Recently published: Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 091802
- First measurement of a single track *B* decay at the LHC
  - An example of LHCb's potential in modes with neutral particles
- A major upgrade of the experiment is close to completion
  - Collect a factor of 5 more data over the next decade
  - Significantly improve the precision of this and many other results
- Similar trigger in place for  $B^0 \to K^0 \pi^0$





## **Thank You**





## Backup



## $K\pi$ at LHCb

Candidates / (0.02 GeV/ $c^2$ )



- $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+)$ ,  $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^0_S K^+)$ , ratio of branching fractions measured with Run I data
- Most precise measurement of  $A_{CP}(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)$  with 1.9fb<sup>-1</sup> Run II data
  - Also measured  $A_{CP}$  in  $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ ,  $K^+ K^-$
- Provide additional information about diagrams related to Kπ system by Uspin symmetry
  - First observation of timedependent CP violation in  $B_s^0$  decays











- LHCb analyses of 3- and 4-body decays include modes with  $\pi^0$
- Wider mass resolution, lower efficiency compared to charged-only modes





## **Consistency by Year**



- Consistent between years and magnet polarities
- Additional checks: Binning by kaon  $p_T$  and magnet polarity, allowing shape parameters to vary between charges and magnet polarities
- Raw asymmetry consistent in all cases



## $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ Trigger



Variables	Requirements
$K^+ p_{\rm T}$	$> 1200 \mathrm{MeV}/c$
$K^+ p$	$> 12000 \mathrm{MeV}/c$
$K^+$ IP $\chi^2$ PV	> 50
$K^+$ PIDk	> -0.5
$\pi^0~p$	$> 5000 \mathrm{MeV}/c$
$\pi^0  p_{ m T}$	$> 3500 \mathrm{MeV}/c$
$\pi^0$ mass	$76.0 < m < 195.0 \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$
$K^+ + \pi^0 p_{ m T}$	$> 6500 \mathrm{MeV}/c$
$B^+ p_{\mathrm{T}}$	$> 5000 \mathrm{MeV}/c$
$B^+$ mass	$4000 < m < 6200 \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$
$B^+$ MTDOCA $\chi^2$	< 8



## Invariant Mass Fit



- Signal
  - Crystal Ball function (low mass tail), and Gaussian with exponential tail (high mass tail)
  - Tail shape parameters fixed to values from simulation
- Combinatorial background
  - Exponential
- Partially reconstructed background
  - Gaussian tail
- Partially reconstructed peaking backgrounds
  - Parabolic × Gaussian function (JHEP 06 (2020) 058)
  - Endpoints fixed to  $B^+ \to (K^{*+} \to K^+ \pi^0) \pi^0$ kinematically allowed values
  - Mass shift fixed to (signal mean  $-M(B^+)$ )
  - Resolution fixed to signal resolution
  - Rel. height fixed to simulation values (insensitive)
- $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$ 
  - Gaussian with exp. tail convoluted with  $\pi^0$  res. Gaussian
  - Shape parameters and offset fixed to simulation values
  - Yield fixed to 2.4% of signal yield 10/14/2021









### Signal

- Crystal Ball + Gaussian w/tail  $\rightarrow$  single Gaussian
- Combinatorial background
  - Exponential  $\rightarrow$  linear
- Partially reconstructed background
  - Gaussian tail  $\rightarrow$  Argus cut off at  $M(B 2\pi)$
- Partially reconstructed peaking backgrounds
  - Parabolic × Gaussian function  $\rightarrow$ Argus cut off at  $M(B - \pi)$
  - Mass shift fixed to (signal mean  $-M(B^+)) \rightarrow$  floating
  - Resolution fixed to signal resolution  $\rightarrow$  floating
- $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ 
  - Yield fixed to 2.4% of signal yield  $\rightarrow$  removed from fit
  - CP asymmetry fixed to 0  $\rightarrow$  fixed to  $\pm 1\sigma$  of measured value
- Events with multiple candidates removed from fit

