# Role of the Higgs Sector in the Generation & Flavor Problem

Wolfgang Altmannshofer waltmann@ucsc.edu



SLAC Summer Institute 2021, The Higgs State Fare August 24, 2021

## Outline of the Lectures

### Part 1 (yesterday)

 Higgs and Flavor in the Standard Model (flavor symmetries, flavor violating Higgs decays, Standard Model flavor puzzle)

## Outline of the Lectures

### Part 1 (yesterday)

 Higgs and Flavor in the Standard Model (flavor symmetries, flavor violating Higgs decays, Standard Model flavor puzzle)

- Part 2 (today)
  - Higgs and Flavor beyond the Standard Model (extended Higgs sectors, "flavorful" Higgs bosons, flavor phenomenology, collider phenomenology)

### The Standard Model Flavor Puzzle

Why are there three flavors of quarks and leptons?



What is the origin of the hierarchies in the fermion spectrum?

What is the origin of the hierarchies in the quark mixing?

### Is lepton mixing anarchic?

## Addressing the SM Flavor Puzzle

- Option 1: Electroweak symmetry breaking is as in the SM
- → Hierarchical structure of fermion masses and CKM matrix originates solely from the Yukawa couplings
- → Introduce new physics that gives the Yukawa couplings a hierarchical structure
  - Option 2: Extended electroweak symmetry breaking sector
- $\rightarrow\,$  Small quark and lepton masses from a subdominant source of electroweak symmetry breaking

## Origin of Hierarchical Yukawa Couplings



# Hierarchy from Symmetry

(Froggatt, Nielsen '79; ...)

#### fermion masses are forbidden by flavor symmetries and arise only after spontaneous breaking of the symmetry



mass and mixing hierarchies given by powers of the "spurion"  $\langle \varphi \rangle / M$ . in the example from the previous slide we have

$$rac{m_u}{m_t} \sim \left(rac{\langle arphi 
angle}{M}
ight)^6 \sim \epsilon^6$$

mass and mixing hierarchies given by powers of the "spurion"  $\langle \varphi \rangle / M$ . in the example from the previous slide we have

$$\frac{m_u}{m_t} \sim \left(\frac{\langle \varphi \rangle}{M}\right)^6 \sim \epsilon^6$$

Exercise: Construct a U(1) model with the following hierarchies

$$m_u \sim \epsilon^6$$
,  $m_c \sim \epsilon^3$ ,  $m_t \sim 1$   
 $m_d \sim \epsilon^5$ ,  $m_s \sim \epsilon^4$ ,  $m_b \sim \epsilon^2$ 

Which predictions does your model make for the CKM hierarchies?

# Hierarchy from Symmetry (clockwork variation)

The flavor clockwork mechanism (Giudice, McCullough 1610.07962), is similar to Froggatt-Nielsen with many individual U(1) symmetries for each flavor



# Hierarchy from Symmetry (clockwork variation)



(Alonso et al. 1807.09792)

The numbers of clockwork sites play a similar role as the U(1) charges in the Froggatt-Nielsen setup

$$\frac{m_u}{m_t} \sim \epsilon^{N_{Q_1}+N_{u_1}-N_{Q_3}-N_{u_3}}$$

# Hierarchy from Geometry

(Arkani-Hamed, Schmaltz '99; Grossman, Neubert '99; ...)

fermions are localized at different positions in an extra dimension



hierarchies from exponentially small wave-function overlap between left-handed and right-handed fermions and the Higgs

$$rac{m_u}{m_t} \sim e^{-\Delta}$$

(Weinberg '72; ...)

#### light fermion masses arise only from quantum effects



light fermions do not couple to the higgs directly

couplings are loop-induced by flavor violating new particles

mass and mixing hierarchies from loop factors

$$\frac{m_u}{m_t} \sim \left(\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\right)^n$$

## Addressing the SM Flavor Puzzle

- Option 1: Electroweak symmetry breaking is as in the SM
- $\rightarrow\,$  Hierarchical structure of fermion masses and CKM matrix originates solely from the Yukawa couplings
- $\rightarrow\,$  Introduce new physics that gives the Yukawa couplings a hierarchical structure
  - Option 2: Extended electroweak symmetry breaking sector
- → Small quark and lepton masses from a subdominant source of electroweak symmetry breaking

Strong constraints from the  $\rho$  parameter (*I* = weak isospin, *Y* = hypercharge, *v* = vacuum expectation value)

$$\rho = \frac{\sum_{i} (l_{i}(l_{i}+1) - Y_{i}^{2}) v_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i} 2Y_{i}^{2} v_{i}^{2}} = \frac{m_{W}^{2}}{m_{Z}^{2} c_{W}^{2}} = 1$$

Strong constraints from the  $\rho$  parameter (*I* = weak isospin, *Y* = hypercharge, *v* = vacuum expectation value)

$$\rho = \frac{\sum_{i} (I_{i}(I_{i}+1) - Y_{i}^{2}) v_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i} 2Y_{i}^{2} v_{i}^{2}} = \frac{m_{W}^{2}}{m_{Z}^{2} c_{W}^{2}} = 1$$

 $\rho$  is automatically 1 for SU(2) singlets and doublets.

(Can be made 1 also for larger *SU*(2) representations, but in a non-trivial way)

 $\rightarrow$  focus on singlets and doublets in the following

- Singlet does not contribute to electroweak symmetry breaking
- Singlet does not have renormalizable couplings with SM fermions
- $\rightarrow$  not interesting in the context of the flavor puzzle

- Singlet does not contribute to electroweak symmetry breaking
- Singlet does not have renormalizable couplings with SM fermions
- $\rightarrow$  not interesting in the context of the flavor puzzle
  - Singlet can mix with the SM Higgs and inherit its couplings
  - Couplings of the Higgs mass eigenstate will be universally suppressed by a mixing angle

# Two Higgs Doublet Models

the simplest non-trivial extensions of the SM Higgs sector

► two Higgs doublets H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> with hypercharges -1/2 and +1/2 (SUSY convention)

$$H_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{2}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(vs_{\beta} + h_{2} + ia_{2}) \end{pmatrix} , \quad H_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(vc_{\beta} + h_{1} + ia_{1}) \\ H_{1}^{-} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $v_2 = v \sin \beta = v s_{\beta}$ ,  $v_1 = v \cos \beta = v c_{\beta}$ ,  $\tan \beta = t_{\beta} = v_2/v_1$ 

# Two Higgs Doublet Models

the simplest non-trivial extensions of the SM Higgs sector

► two Higgs doublets H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> with hypercharges -1/2 and +1/2 (SUSY convention)

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v s_\beta + h_2 + i a_2) \end{pmatrix} , \quad H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v c_\beta + h_1 + i a_1) \\ H_1^- \end{pmatrix}$$
$$v_2 = v \sin \beta = v s_\beta , \quad v_1 = v \cos \beta = v c_\beta , \quad \tan \beta = t_\beta = v_2 / v_\beta$$

► 5 physical degrees of freedom: *h* and *H*, *A*, and *H*<sup>±</sup> assuming CP conservation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^{\pm} \\ H^{\pm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{\beta} & -c_{\beta} \\ c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H_{2}^{\pm} \\ H_{1}^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} h \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\alpha} & -s_{\alpha} \\ s_{\alpha} & c_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_{2} \\ h_{1} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \begin{pmatrix} G \\ A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{\beta} & -c_{\beta} \\ c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{2} \\ a_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Couplings to Fermions

both Higgs doublets can couple to the SM fermions

$$\mathcal{L} \supset (y_u)_{ik} H_2 \overline{Q}_i U_k + (\tilde{y}_u)_{ik} H_1^c \overline{Q}_i U_k + (y_d)_{ik} H_1 \overline{Q}_i D_k + (\tilde{y}_d)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{Q}_i D_k + (y_\ell)_{ik} H_1 \overline{L}_i E_k + (\tilde{y}_\ell)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{L}_i E_k + \text{h.c.}$$

# Couplings to Fermions

both Higgs doublets can couple to the SM fermions

$$\mathcal{L} \supset (y_u)_{ik} H_2 \overline{Q}_i U_k + (\tilde{y}_u)_{ik} H_1^c \overline{Q}_i U_k + (y_d)_{ik} H_1 \overline{Q}_i D_k + (\tilde{y}_d)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{Q}_i D_k + (y_\ell)_{ik} H_1 \overline{L}_i E_k + (\tilde{y}_\ell)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{L}_i E_k + \text{h.c.}$$

▶ for generic couplings y and ỹ, quark masses and Higgs couplings are not aligned, e.g.

$$(m_d)_{ik} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left( c_\beta(y_d)_{ik} + s_\beta(\tilde{y}_d)_{ik} \right), \quad (g_d^A)_{ik} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( s_\beta(y_d)_{ik} - c_\beta(\tilde{y}_d)_{ik} \right)$$
$$(g_d^h)_{ik} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -s_\alpha(y_d)_{ik} + c_\alpha(\tilde{y}_d)_{ik} \right), \quad (g_d^H)_{ik} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( c_\alpha(y_d)_{ik} + s_\alpha(\tilde{y}_d)_{ik} \right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \text{FCNCs at tree level!}$$

# Constraints from Meson Mixing

#### tree level FCNCs

 $\rightarrow$  very strong constraints from meson mixing



$$M_{12}^{K} \sim f_{K}^{2} \frac{m_{K}^{2}}{m_{s}^{2}} \left( \frac{g_{sd}^{h} g_{ds}^{h}}{m_{h}^{2}} + \frac{g_{sd}^{H} g_{ds}^{H}}{m_{H}^{2}} + \frac{g_{sd}^{A} g_{ds}^{A}}{m_{A}^{2}} \right)$$

# Constraints from Meson Mixing

#### tree level FCNCs

 $\rightarrow$  very strong constraints from meson mixing



$$M_{12}^{K} \sim f_{K}^{2} \frac{m_{K}^{2}}{m_{s}^{2}} \left( \frac{g_{sd}^{h} g_{ds}^{h}}{m_{h}^{2}} + \frac{g_{sd}^{H} g_{ds}^{H}}{m_{H}^{2}} + \frac{g_{sd}^{A} g_{ds}^{A}}{m_{A}^{2}} \right)$$

• The SM Higgs contributions are absent in the Higgs alignment limit  $\beta - \alpha = \pi/2$ .

In that case the couplings of *h* and the fermion mass matrices are propotional to each other.

# Constraints from Meson Mixing

#### tree level FCNCs

 $\rightarrow$  very strong constraints from meson mixing



$$M_{12}^{K} \sim f_{K}^{2} \frac{m_{K}^{2}}{m_{s}^{2}} \left( \frac{g_{sd}^{h} g_{ds}^{h}}{m_{h}^{2}} + \frac{g_{sd}^{H} g_{ds}^{H}}{m_{H}^{2}} + \frac{g_{sd}^{A} g_{ds}^{A}}{m_{A}^{2}} \right)$$

• The SM Higgs contributions are absent in the Higgs alignment limit  $\beta - \alpha = \pi/2$ .

In that case the couplings of *h* and the fermion mass matrices are propotional to each other.

- Still need to deal with the *H* and *A* contributions.
- For generic complex O(1) flavor changing couplings,  $m_A$  and  $m_H$  have to be extremely heavy  $\gtrsim 10^5$  TeV to avoid constraints from CP violation in Kaon mixing.

### Low energy flavor observables are sensitive to New Physics far beyond the TeV scale



### Low energy flavor observables are sensitive to New Physics far beyond the TeV scale



hierarchy problem suggests that New Physics is at or below the TeV scale

### Low energy flavor observables are sensitive to New Physics far beyond the TeV scale



hierarchy problem suggests that New Physics is at or below the TeV scale

overall good agreement of SM predictions and flavor experiments (apart from a few intriguing anomalies...)

### Low energy flavor observables are sensitive to New Physics far beyond the TeV scale



hierarchy problem suggests that New Physics is at or below the TeV scale

overall good agreement of SM predictions and flavor experiments (apart from a few intriguing anomalies...)

If there is New Physics at the TeV scale, why have we not seen it yet in flavor observables?

# Reactions to the New Physics Flavor Puzzle



# Reactions to the New Physics Flavor Puzzle





### model building effort $(\sim 1/\Lambda^2)$

# Reactions to the New Physics Flavor Puzzle





### model building effort ( ~ $1/\Lambda^2$ )

- ► Usual (radical?) approach: get completely rid of tree level FCNCs
- Natural Flavor Conservation: no tree level FCNCs if all types of fermions couple only to one Higgs doublet (Glashow, Weinberg '77)
- Can be enforced by: (softly broken) continuous symmetries (Peccei-Quinn) or discrete symmetries (Z<sub>2</sub>)

- Usual (radical?) approach: get completely rid of tree level FCNCs
- Natural Flavor Conservation: no tree level FCNCs if all types of fermions couple only to one Higgs doublet (Glashow, Weinberg '77)
- Can be enforced by: (softly broken) continuous symmetries (Peccei-Quinn) or discrete symmetries (Z<sub>2</sub>)
- ► 4 possibilities:  $(y_u)_{ik} H_2 \overline{Q}_i U_k + (\tilde{y}_d)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{Q}_i D_k + (\tilde{y}_\ell)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{L}_i E_k$

	type I
up quarks	H <sub>2</sub>
down quarks	H <sub>2</sub>
leptons	H <sub>2</sub>

- ► Usual (radical?) approach: get completely rid of tree level FCNCs
- Natural Flavor Conservation: no tree level FCNCs if all types of fermions couple only to one Higgs doublet (Glashow, Weinberg '77)
- Can be enforced by: (softly broken) continuous symmetries (Peccei-Quinn) or discrete symmetries (Z<sub>2</sub>)
- ► 4 possibilities:  $(y_u)_{ik} H_2 \overline{Q}_i U_k + (y_d)_{ik} H_1 \overline{Q}_i D_k + (y_\ell)_{ik} H_1 \overline{L}_i E_k$

	type I	type II	
up quarks	H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	
down quarks	H <sub>2</sub>	$H_1$	
leptons	H <sub>2</sub>	$H_1$	

- ► Usual (radical?) approach: get completely rid of tree level FCNCs
- Natural Flavor Conservation: no tree level FCNCs if all types of fermions couple only to one Higgs doublet (Glashow, Weinberg '77)
- Can be enforced by: (softly broken) continuous symmetries (Peccei-Quinn) or discrete symmetries (Z<sub>2</sub>)
- ► 4 possibilities:  $(y_u)_{ik} H_2 \overline{Q}_i U_k + (\widetilde{y}_d)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{Q}_i D_k + (y_\ell)_{ik} H_1 \overline{L}_i E_k$

	type I	type II	lepton specific
up quarks	H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>
down quarks	H <sub>2</sub>	$H_1$	H <sub>2</sub>
leptons	H <sub>2</sub>	$H_1$	H <sub>1</sub>
## 2HDMs with Natural Flavor Conservation

- ► Usual (radical?) approach: get completely rid of tree level FCNCs
- Natural Flavor Conservation: no tree level FCNCs if all types of fermions couple only to one Higgs doublet (Glashow, Weinberg '77)
- Can be enforced by: (softly broken) continuous symmetries (Peccei-Quinn) or discrete symmetries (Z<sub>2</sub>)
- ► 4 possibilities:  $(y_u)_{ik} H_2 \overline{Q}_i U_k + (y_d)_{ik} H_1 \overline{Q}_i D_k + (\tilde{y}_\ell)_{ik} H_2^c \overline{L}_i E_k$

	type I	type II	lepton specific	flipped
up quarks	H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	$H_2$	H <sub>2</sub>
down quarks	H <sub>2</sub>	$H_1$	$H_2$	H <sub>1</sub>
leptons	H <sub>2</sub>	$H_1$	$H_1$	H <sub>2</sub>

## Connection to the SM Flavor Puzzle

- In a 2HDM type II, the smallness of  $m_b$ ,  $m_\tau$  compared to  $m_t$  could be explained by a small vacuum expectation value  $v_1$
- Points to values of  $\tan \beta = v_2/v_1 \sim m_t/m_{b,\tau}$
- Possible to achieve third generation Yukawa unification



WA, Straub 1004.1993

- modified properties of the 125 GeV Higgs
- direct searches for the additional Higgs bosons at high energy colliders
- looking for indirect effects of the additional Higgs bosons in low energy observables

	W,Z	up quarks	down quarks	leptons
	$\kappa_V$	$\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	$\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	$\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{\theta}$
mixing with singlet				
2HDM type 1				
2HDM type 2				
lepton specific				
flipped				

	W,Z	up quarks	down quarks	leptons
	$\kappa_V$	$\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	$\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	$\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{\theta}$
mixing with singlet	$C_{lpha}$	$C_{lpha}$	$\mathcal{C}_{lpha}$	<b>C</b> <sub>α</sub>
2HDM type 1				
2HDM type 2				
lepton specific				
flipped				

	W,Z	up quarks	down quarks	leptons
	κν	$\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	$\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	$\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{\theta}$
mixing with singlet	$C_{lpha}$	$C_{lpha}$	$\mathcal{C}_{lpha}$	<b>C</b> <sub>α</sub>
2HDM type 1	$s_{eta-lpha}$	$rac{{\cal L}_lpha}{{m s}_eta}$	$rac{{m c}_{lpha}}{{m s}_{eta}}$	$rac{\mathcal{L}_{lpha}}{\mathcal{S}_{eta}}$
2HDM type 2				
lepton specific				
flipped				

	W,Z	up quarks	down quarks	leptons
		<i>πt</i> , <i>πc</i> , <i>πu</i>	n <sub>D</sub> , n <sub>s</sub> , nd	$\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{\theta}$
mixing with singlet	$C_{lpha}$	$\mathcal{C}_{lpha}$	$\mathcal{C}_{lpha}$	${m \mathcal{C}}_lpha$
2HDM type 1	$\mathbf{S}_{eta-lpha}$	$rac{{m {\cal C}}_{lpha}}{{m {\cal S}}_{eta}}$	$rac{\mathcal{L}_{oldsymbol{lpha}}}{oldsymbol{s}_{eta}}$	$rac{\mathcal{C}_{lpha}}{\mathcal{S}_{eta}}$
2HDM type 2	$s_{eta-lpha}$	$rac{{\cal C}_{lpha}}{{\cal S}_{eta}}$	$rac{-s_{lpha}}{c_{eta}}$	$rac{-S_{lpha}}{c_{eta}}$
lepton specific				
flipped				

	<b>W,Z</b> κ <sub>V</sub>	up quarks $\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	down quarks $\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	leptons $\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{e}$
mixing with singlet	$C_{lpha}$	$C_{lpha}$	$C_{lpha}$	<b>C</b> <sub>α</sub>
2HDM type 1	$\mathbf{s}_{eta-lpha}$	$rac{m{c}_{lpha}}{m{s}_{eta}}$	$rac{\mathcal{L}_{oldsymbol{lpha}}}{\mathcal{S}_{eta}}$	$rac{m{c}_{lpha}}{m{s}_{eta}}$
2HDM type 2	$oldsymbol{s}_{eta-lpha}$	$rac{m{c}_{lpha}}{m{s}_{eta}}$	$rac{-S_{lpha}}{\mathcal{C}_{eta}}$	$\frac{-S_{lpha}}{c_{eta}}$
lepton specific	$\mathbf{s}_{eta-lpha}$	$rac{{m c}_{lpha}}{{m s}_{eta}}$	$rac{{\cal C}_{lpha}}{{\cal S}_{eta}}$	$rac{-s_{lpha}}{c_{eta}}$
flipped	$oldsymbol{s}_{eta-lpha}$	$rac{m{c}_{lpha}}{m{s}_{eta}}$	$rac{-s_{lpha}}{c_{eta}}$	$rac{\mathcal{C}_{lpha}}{\mathcal{S}_{eta}}$

## Constraints from h(125) Measurements



ATLAS 1909.02845

Wolfgang Altmannshofer

	<b>W,Z</b> κ <sub>V</sub>	up quarks $\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	down quarks $\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	leptons $\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{e}$
mixing with singlet				
2HDM type 1				
2HDM type 2				
lepton specific				
flipped				

	1.1		11111	11111	101012
1.1.7.4.1		1.11			19191

	<b>W,Z</b> κ <sub>V</sub>	up quarks $\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	down quarks $\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	leptons $\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{e}$
mixing with singlet	$s_{lpha}$	$\mathcal{S}_{lpha}$	$oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{lpha}$	$s_{lpha}$
2HDM type 1				
2HDM type 2				
lepton specific				
flipped				
	1			

	611	1.1			
WOII		U.C I	1.11	 111-511	loiei

	<b>W,Z</b> κ <sub>V</sub>	up quarks $\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	down quarks $\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	leptons $\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{e}$
mixing with singlet	$s_{lpha}$	$oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{lpha}$	$s_lpha$	$oldsymbol{s}_lpha$
2HDM type 1	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$
2HDM type 2				
lepton specific				
flipped				
	I			

	12.00	The later	
1111	 1.4 10 - 1		ાના

	₩,Ζ κ <sub>V</sub>	up quarks $\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	down quarks $\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	leptons $\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{e}$
mixing with singlet	$s_{lpha}$	$oldsymbol{s}_{lpha}$	$\mathcal{S}_{lpha}$	$\pmb{s}_{lpha}$
2HDM type 1	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$
2HDM type 2	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$t_{eta}$	$t_{eta}$
lepton specific				
flipped				

		_		
	1.1			\$7.53
1.1.1.1.1	1.5.10	-111		1.9

	<b>W,Z</b> κ <sub>V</sub>	up quarks $\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	down quarks $\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	leptons $\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{e}$
mixing with singlet	$s_{lpha}$	$oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{lpha}$	$s_lpha$	$oldsymbol{s}_{lpha}$
2HDM type 1	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$
2HDM type 2	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$t_{eta}$	$t_{eta}$
lepton specific	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$t_{eta}$
flipped	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$t_eta$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$

B 1117 - 1 b	T 4 8			
		12111		
	w~ 3			

### **Standard Search Strategies**

Focus on third generation fermions top for small  $\tan \beta$ , bottom/tau for large  $\tan \beta$ 

Production in association with bottom or top Decay to top/bottom/tau

e.g. searches for MSSM Higgs bosons in large  $\tan \beta$  regime:

 $\begin{array}{c} pp \rightarrow bbH \rightarrow bb\tau\tau\\ pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \tau\tau \end{array}$ 

ATLAS 2002.12223



## Flavor Change from the Charged Higgs

- Even in the absence of tree level FCNCs one needs to be aware of flavor constraints.
- The charged Higgs has flavor changing couplings (similar to the *W* boson in the SM)

## Flavor Change from the Charged Higgs

- Even in the absence of tree level FCNCs one needs to be aware of flavor constraints.
- The charged Higgs has flavor changing couplings (similar to the *W* boson in the SM)
- Leads e.g. to tree level contributions to charged current *B* decays



# Flavor Change from the Charged Higgs

- Even in the absence of tree level FCNCs one needs to be aware of flavor constraints.
- The charged Higgs has flavor changing couplings (similar to the *W* boson in the SM)
- Leads e.g. to tree level contributions to charged current *B* decays



Leads e.g. to 1-loop contributions to radiative B decays



## Constraints from $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$



$$\frac{BR(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu)}{BR(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu)_{\text{SM}}} = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{m_{B^+}^2}{m_{H^+}^2} \tan^2 \beta\right)^2 & \text{type II} \\ \left(1 - \frac{m_{B^+}^2}{m_{H^+}^2}\right)^2 & \text{lepton specific and flipped} \\ \left(1 - \frac{m_{B^+}^2}{m_{H^+}^2} \cot^2 \beta\right)^2 & \text{type I} \end{cases}$$

#### Constraints from $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$



$$\frac{BR(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu)}{BR(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu)_{\text{SM}}} = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{m_{B^+}^2}{m_{H^+}^2} \tan^2 \beta\right)^2 & \text{type II} \\ \left(1 - \frac{m_{B^+}^2}{m_{H^+}^2}\right)^2 & \text{lepton specific and flipped} \\ \left(1 - \frac{m_{B^+}^2}{m_{H^+}^2} \cot^2 \beta\right)^2 & \text{type I} \end{cases}$$

 $BR(B^+ o au^+ 
u)_{SM} = (0.851^{+0.039}_{-0.038}) imes 10^{-4}$  CKMfitter  $BR(B^+ o au^+ 
u)_{exp} = (1.06 \pm 0.19) imes 10^{-4}$  HFLAV

## Constraints from $B \rightarrow X_{s\gamma}$



$$\frac{BR(B \to X_s \gamma)}{BR(B \to X_s \gamma)_{\text{SM}}} \simeq \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} f_1 + \cot^2 \beta \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} f_2\right)^2 & \text{type II and flipped} \\ \left(1 + \cot^2 \beta \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} (f_2 - f_1)\right)^2 & \text{type I and lepton specific} \end{cases}$$

 $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are ratios of loop functions that depend on  $m_t^2$ ,  $m_{H^+}^2$ ,  $m_W^2$ 

## Constraints from $B \rightarrow X_{s\gamma}$



 $\frac{BR(B \to X_{s}\gamma)}{BR(B \to X_{s}\gamma)_{SM}} \simeq \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{m_{t}^{2}}{m_{H^{+}}^{2}}f_{1} + \cot^{2}\beta\frac{m_{t}^{2}}{m_{H^{+}}^{2}}f_{2}\right)^{2} & \text{type II and flipped} \\ \left(1 + \cot^{2}\beta\frac{m_{t}^{2}}{m_{H^{+}}^{2}}(f_{2} - f_{1})\right)^{2} & \text{type I and lepton specific} \end{cases}$ 

 $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are ratios of loop functions that depend on  $m_t^2$ ,  $m_{H^+}^2$ ,  $m_W^2$ 

Gives a very strong constraint in the type II and flipped model:

 $m_{H^+} \gtrsim 800~{
m GeV}$ , Misiak et al. 2002.01548

(but experimental uncertainties might be underestimated,

SEE Bernlochner et al. 2007.04320)

## Summary of Charged Higgs Flavor Constraints



- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are proportional to each other
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, as all linear combinations of *y* and  $\tilde{y}$  are simultaneously diagonal (Pich, Tuzon 0908.1554)

- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are proportional to each other
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, as all linear combinations of *y* and  $\tilde{y}$  are simultaneously diagonal (Pich, Tuzon 0908.1554)
- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  respect Minimal Flavor Violation
- ⇒ tree-level FCNCs can be non-zero but are strongly suppressed (Chivukula, Georgi PLB 188 (1987) 99-104; D'Ambrosio et al. hep-ph/0207036)

- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are proportional to each other
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, as all linear combinations of *y* and  $\tilde{y}$  are simultaneously diagonal (Pich, Tuzon 0908.1554)
- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  respect Minimal Flavor Violation
- ⇒ tree-level FCNCs can be non-zero but are strongly suppressed (Chivukula, Georgi PLB 188 (1987) 99-104; D'Ambrosio et al. hep-ph/0207036)
  - the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are diagonal in the same basis
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, linear combinations of y and  $\tilde{y}$  are diagonal (Egana-Ugrinovic et al. 1811.00017, 1908.11376)

- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are proportional to each other
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, as all linear combinations of *y* and  $\tilde{y}$  are simultaneously diagonal (Pich, Tuzon 0908.1554)
- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  respect Minimal Flavor Violation
- ⇒ tree-level FCNCs can be non-zero but are strongly suppressed (Chivukula, Georgi PLB 188 (1987) 99-104; D'Ambrosio et al. hep-ph/0207036)
- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are diagonal in the same basis
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, linear combinations of y and  $\tilde{y}$  are diagonal (Egana-Ugrinovic et al. 1811.00017, 1908.11376)
  - special texture zeros in y and y
     such that tree-level FCNCs are small (Branco, Grimus, Lavoura hep-ph/9601383)

- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are proportional to each other
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, as all linear combinations of *y* and  $\tilde{y}$  are simultaneously diagonal (Pich, Tuzon 0908.1554)
- the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  respect Minimal Flavor Violation
- ⇒ tree-level FCNCs can be non-zero but are strongly suppressed (Chivukula, Georgi PLB 188 (1987) 99-104; D'Ambrosio et al. hep-ph/0207036)
  - the Yukawa couplings y and  $\tilde{y}$  are diagonal in the same basis
- ⇒ no tree level FCNCs, linear combinations of y and  $\tilde{y}$  are diagonal (Egana-Ugrinovic et al. 1811.00017, 1908.11376)
  - special texture zeros in y and y
     such that tree-level FCNCs are small (Branco, Grimus, Lavoura hep-ph/9601383)
- ⇒ tree-level FCNCs exist, but most stringent constraints avoided (WA, Gori, Kagan, Silvestrini, Zupan 1507.07927)

Wolfgang Altmannshofer

recall from the first lecture that without the Yukawa couplings, the SM has a large global flavor symmetry

 $SU(3)_Q \times SU(3)_U \times SU(3)_D \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_E \times U(1)^5$ 

recall from the first lecture that without the Yukawa couplings, the SM has a large global flavor symmetry

 $SU(3)_Q \times SU(3)_U \times SU(3)_D \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_E \times U(1)^5$ 

The  $SU(3)^5$  symmetry can be formally restored if one promotes the Yukawa couplings to "spurions" that transform in the following way

$$Y_{u} = \mathbf{3}_{Q} \times \bar{\mathbf{3}}_{U} , \quad Y_{d} = \mathbf{3}_{Q} \times \bar{\mathbf{3}}_{D} , \quad Y_{\ell} = \mathbf{3}_{L} \times \bar{\mathbf{3}}_{E}$$

recall from the first lecture that without the Yukawa couplings, the SM has a large global flavor symmetry

 $SU(3)_Q \times SU(3)_U \times SU(3)_D \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_E \times U(1)^5$ 

The  $SU(3)^5$  symmetry can be formally restored if one promotes the Yukawa couplings to "spurions" that transform in the following way

$$Y_u = \mathbf{3}_Q \times \overline{\mathbf{3}}_U$$
,  $Y_d = \mathbf{3}_Q \times \overline{\mathbf{3}}_D$ ,  $Y_\ell = \mathbf{3}_L \times \overline{\mathbf{3}}_E$ 

the Yukawa interactions are now formally flavor invariant

$$\mathcal{L} \supset H^{c}(\overline{Q}Y_{u}U) + H(\overline{Q}Y_{d}D) + H(\overline{L}Y_{\ell}E)$$

#### **Minimal Flavor Violation**

# the SM Yukawas remain the only sources of flavor breaking also in theories beyond the SM

Chivukula, Georgi '87; D'Ambrosio et al. '02

## 2HDMs with Minimal Flavor Violation

expansion of the "wrong" Higgs couplings

$$\begin{split} \tilde{y}_{u} &= \epsilon_{u} y_{u} + \epsilon'_{u} y_{u} y_{u}^{\dagger} y_{u} + \epsilon''_{u} y_{d} y_{d}^{\dagger} y_{u} + \dots \\ \tilde{y}_{d} &= \epsilon_{d} y_{d} + \epsilon'_{d} y_{d} y_{d}^{\dagger} y_{d} + \epsilon''_{d} y_{u} y_{u}^{\dagger} y_{d} + \dots \\ \tilde{y}_{\ell} &= \epsilon_{\ell} y_{\ell} + \epsilon'_{\ell} y_{\ell} y_{\ell}^{\dagger} y_{\ell} + \dots \end{split}$$

 still Flavor Changing Neutral Currents at tree level, but controlled by small Yukawas and CKM elements

## 2HDMs with Minimal Flavor Violation

expansion of the "wrong" Higgs couplings

$$\begin{split} \tilde{y}_{u} &= \epsilon_{u} y_{u} + \epsilon'_{u} y_{u} y_{u}^{\dagger} y_{u} + \epsilon''_{u} y_{d} y_{d}^{\dagger} y_{u} + \dots \\ \tilde{y}_{d} &= \epsilon_{d} y_{d} + \epsilon'_{d} y_{d} y_{d}^{\dagger} y_{d} + \epsilon''_{d} y_{u} y_{u}^{\dagger} y_{d} + \dots \\ \tilde{y}_{\ell} &= \epsilon_{\ell} y_{\ell} + \epsilon'_{\ell} y_{\ell} y_{\ell}^{\dagger} y_{\ell} + \dots \end{split}$$

 still Flavor Changing Neutral Currents at tree level, but controlled by small Yukawas and CKM elements

Exercise part 1:

demonstrate that these terms are formally invariant under the flavor group

## 2HDMs with Minimal Flavor Violation

expansion of the "wrong" Higgs couplings

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{u} &= \epsilon_{u} \mathbf{y}_{u} + \epsilon'_{u} \mathbf{y}_{u} \mathbf{y}_{u}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}_{u} + \epsilon''_{u} \mathbf{y}_{d} \mathbf{y}_{d}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}_{u} + \dots \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{d} &= \epsilon_{d} \mathbf{y}_{d} + \epsilon'_{d} \mathbf{y}_{d} \mathbf{y}_{d}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}_{d} + \epsilon''_{d} \mathbf{y}_{u} \mathbf{y}_{u}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}_{d} + \dots \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{\ell} &= \epsilon_{\ell} \mathbf{y}_{\ell} + \epsilon'_{\ell} \mathbf{y}_{\ell} \mathbf{y}_{\ell}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}_{\ell} + \dots \end{split}$$

 still Flavor Changing Neutral Currents at tree level, but controlled by small Yukawas and CKM elements

Exercise part 1:

demonstrate that these terms are formally invariant under the flavor group

Exercise part 2: rotate into the quark mass eigenstate basis and show that off-diagonal entries in  $\tilde{y}_u$  and  $\tilde{y}_d$  are suppressed by small CKM elements

## Coming Back to the SM Flavor Puzzle

Maybe the first and second generation are light, because they get their mass from a subdominant source of electroweak symmetry breaking
#### Coming Back to the SM Flavor Puzzle

Maybe the first and second generation are light, because they get their mass from a subdominant source of electroweak symmetry breaking

simple realization in the context of 2HDMs



WA, Gori, Kagan, Silvestrini, Zupan 1507.07927

The strongest flavor constraints typically come from transitions between the second and first generation

 $s \leftrightarrow d$  : Kaon mixing

- $d \leftrightarrow u$  : D meson mixing
- $\mu \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{e} : \quad \mu \rightarrow \boldsymbol{e} \gamma \;, \;\; \mu \rightarrow \boldsymbol{e} \; \text{conversion}$

Models with an  $SU(2)^5$  flavor symmetry acting on the first two generations tend to be largely safe from flavor constraints

## $SU(2)^5$ in a 2HDM Context

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{u_{1,2}} &\sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_{u_{1,2}}} \begin{pmatrix} m_u & m_u & m_u \\ m_u & m_c & m_c \\ m_u & m_c & m_c \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda_{u_3} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_{u_3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{d_{1,2}} &\sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_{d_{1,2}}} \begin{pmatrix} m_d & \lambda m_s & \lambda^3 m_b \\ m_d & m_s & \lambda^2 m_b \\ m_d & m_s & m_s \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda_{d_3} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_{d_3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{\ell_{1,2}} &\sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_{\ell_{1,2}}} \begin{pmatrix} m_e & m_e & m_e \\ m_e & m_\mu & m_\mu \\ m_e & m_\mu & m_\mu \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda_{\ell_3} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_{\ell_3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_0^{u} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \ \mathcal{M}_0^{d} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \ \mathcal{M}_0^{\ell} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix}$$

The rank 1 Yukawa couplings preserve a  $SU(2)^5$  flavor symmetry for the light two generations of quarks and leptons

$$\mathcal{M}_0^{u} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \ \mathcal{M}_0^{d} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \ \mathcal{M}_0^{\ell} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix}$$

The rank 1 Yukawa couplings preserve a  $SU(2)^5$  flavor symmetry for the light two generations of quarks and leptons

flavor violating transitions between 1st and 2nd generation are protected

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{u} & O(m_{u}) & O(m_{u}) \\ O(m_{u}) & m_{c} & O(m_{c}) \\ O(m_{u}) & O(m_{c}) & O(m_{c}) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{mass eigenstate}} \begin{pmatrix} m_{u} & O\left(\frac{m_{u}m_{c}}{m_{t}}\right) & O(m_{u}) \\ O\left(\frac{m_{u}m_{c}}{m_{t}}\right) & m_{c} & O(m_{c}) \\ O(m_{u}) & O(m_{c}) & O(m_{c}) \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} m_{d} & V_{cd}m_{s} & V_{td}m_{b} \\ O(m_{d}) & m_{s} & V_{ts}m_{b} \\ O(m_{d}) & O(m_{s}) & O(m_{s}) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{mass eigenstate}} \begin{pmatrix} m_{d} & O\left(m_{s}V_{td}\right) & m_{b}V_{td} \\ O\left(m_{d}V_{ts}\right) & m_{s} & m_{b}V_{ts} \\ O(m_{d}) & O(m_{s}) & O(m_{s}) \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} m_{e} & O\left(m_{e}\right) & O(m_{e}) \\ O(m_{e}) & m_{\mu} & O(m_{\mu}) \\ O(m_{e}) & O(m_{\mu}) & O(m_{\mu}) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{mass eigenstate}} \begin{pmatrix} m_{e} & O\left(\frac{m_{e}m_{\mu}}{m_{\tau}}\right) & O(m_{e}) \\ O\left(\frac{m_{e}m_{\mu}}{m_{\tau}}\right) & m_{\mu} & O(m_{\mu}) \\ O(m_{e}) & O(m_{\mu}) & O(m_{\mu}) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### generic expectations for lepton flavor violating Higgs decays

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{BR}(h \rightarrow \tau \mu) & \sim & \displaystyle \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{3m_b^2} \sim 10^{-3} \\ \\ \mathsf{BR}(h \rightarrow \tau e) & \sim & \displaystyle \frac{m_e^2}{3m_b^2} \sim 10^{-7} \\ \\ \\ \mathsf{BR}(h \rightarrow \mu e) & \sim & \displaystyle \frac{m_e^2 m_{\mu}^2}{3m_{\tau}^2 m_b^2} \sim 10^{-10} \end{array}$$

flavor violating rare top decays with branching ratios as large as

 ${\sf BR}(t
ightarrow ch)\sim |V_{cb}|^2\sim 10^{-3}$  ${\sf BR}(t
ightarrow uh)\sim |V_{ub}|^2\sim 10^{-5}$ 

might be in reach of the high luminosity LHC

flavor violating rare top decays with branching ratios as large as

 $\mathsf{BR}(t
ightarrow ch) \sim |V_{cb}|^2 \sim 10^{-3}$  $\mathsf{BR}(t
ightarrow uh) \sim |V_{ub}|^2 \sim 10^{-5}$ 

might be in reach of the high luminosity LHC

lepton flavor violating rare B meson decays with sizable branching ratios

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{BR}(B_s \to \tau \mu) &\sim \mathsf{few} \times 10^{-7} \\ \mathsf{BR}(B \to K \tau \mu) &\sim \mathsf{few} \times 10^{-7} \\ \mathsf{BR}(B \to K^* \tau \mu) &\sim \mathsf{few} \times 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ 

LHCb might be sensitive to the  $K^*$  and K rates

### Couplings of the Heavy Higgses

Compare heavy Higgs couplings to other extended Higgs sectors

	<b>W,Z</b> κ <sub>V</sub>	up quarks $\kappa_t, \kappa_c, \kappa_u$	down quarks $\kappa_b, \kappa_s, \kappa_d$	leptons $\kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu}, \kappa_{e}$
mixing with singlet	$oldsymbol{s}_{lpha}$	$oldsymbol{s}_{lpha}$	$oldsymbol{s}_lpha$	$oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{lpha}$
2HDM type 1	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$
2HDM type 2	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}$	$t_{eta}$	$t_eta$
flavorful 2HDM	0	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}, t_{\beta}, t_{\beta}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}, t_{\beta}, t_{\beta}$	$\frac{1}{t_{\beta}}, t_{\beta}, t_{\beta}$

(in the flavorful 2HDM there are additional corrections to the  $\kappa$ 's of the order of  $O(m_c/m_t)$ ,  $O(m_s/m_b)$ ,  $O(m_\mu/m_\tau)$ )

#### Non-Standard Collider Signatures for Neutral Higgs



current most stringent constraint: di-muon resonance searches  $pp \rightarrow H/A \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ (gray shaded region)

Interesting signatures include:

same sign tops  $pp \rightarrow tH/A \rightarrow tt\bar{c}$ 

di-jet resonances that are produced in association with a top  $pp \rightarrow tH/A \rightarrow tc\bar{c}$ 

flavor violating heavy Higgses  $pp \rightarrow H/A \rightarrow \tau \mu$ (also Sher, Thrasher 1601.03973; Buschmann et al. 1601.02616)

WA, Eby, Gori, Lotito, Martone, Tuckler 1610.02398

#### Non-Standard Collider Signatures for Charged Higgs



di-jet resonance searches  $pp \rightarrow H^{\pm} \rightarrow jj$ are currently only sensitive for very large tan  $\beta > 100$ 

Interesting signatures include:

di-jet resonances that are produced in association with a top

 $\begin{array}{l} pp \rightarrow t {\it H}^{\pm} \rightarrow t c b \\ pp \rightarrow t {\it H}^{\pm} \rightarrow t c s \end{array}$ 

WA, Eby, Gori, Lotito, Martone, Tuckler 1610.02398

#### Other Ideas to Address the SM Flavor Puzzle

• "Private Higgs" (Porto, Zee 0712.0448), "Scalar Democracy" (Hill et al. 1902.07214)

Each fermion gets its own Higgs; all hierarchies in the fermion masses are explained by hierarchies in the Higgs vev's.

• TeV scale Froggatt-Nielsen in 2HDM (Bauer, Carena, Gemmler 1506.01719) the two Higgs doublets jointly act as the flavon

light fermion masses from higher dimensional operators; cut-off scale at few TeV.

• ...

#### Higgs Physics and Flavor Physics are tightly connected in the Standard Model and beyond.

# It is very worthwhile to continue exploring their interplay!