## Convolutional Neural Networks for Pulse Shape Discrimination in Liquid Argon



Jacob Daughhetee University of Tennessee

> NPML Lightning Talks June 17<sup>th</sup>, 2020







### **Liquid Ar Scintillators**

- Bright scintillator (40 photons/keVee)
- Well-known nuclear quenching factor
- Emission timescales:
  - 6 ns (singlet)
  - 1.6 µs (triplet)
- Electron recoils (ER) and nuclear recoils (NR) yield different ratio in exited state populations -> Pulse --Shape Discrimination (PSD)
- Scintillation light wavelength: **128 nm** (requires wavelength shifting)
- Benefit of using liquid noble gas Scalability
- LAr detectors used for neutrino beams, dark matter, coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEvNS).



#### **Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering**



Cross section may be high, but the signal is in the form of a low-energy nuclear recoil!

$$\sigma_{tot} = \frac{G_F^2 E_v^2}{4\pi} \Big[ Z \Big( 1 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W \Big) - N \Big]^2 F^2(Q^2)$$

- Clean prediction from the Standard Model D. Freedman 1974
- Cross-section increases with energy as long as coherence condition is satisfied (  $q \leq \sim R^{-1}$  )
- Largest of all SM neutrino cross-sections at 1-100 MeV scale
- NC mediated: all flavors of neutrino can scatter via CEvNS
- Sensitive Standard Model Probe
- Applications: Dark Matter Experiments, Supernovae, Monitoring

## **COHERENT** at the SNS





#### Staged approach: *Observation -> Precision*

- Spallation Neutron Source (SNS):
  - **1.4 MW** pulsed 1 GeV proton beam on Hg target
  - Pulsed at 60 Hz with 400 ns FWHM
  - Pion decay-at-rest (DAR) neutrino source.
- Detectors located between 20-30 m from target in neutron quiet basement corridor (Neutrino Alley).
- Multiple detectors currently operating measuring either CEvNS or backgrounds.

#### **CENNS-10**

- Loaned from J. Yoo *et al* from Fermilab.
- Single-phase liquid Ar scintillation detector located 28 m from SNS target (~2 x  $10^7 v / s$ )
- Engineering Run: Dec 2016 -> May 2017
  - 80 keVnr threshold
  - No Pb shielding
  - Analysis Results -> Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) no. 11, 115020
- First Production Run: July 2017 -> December 2018
  - Dramatically improved light yield results in lower threshold (20 keVnr)
  - 2x 8" Hamamatsu PMTs with 18% eff @ 400 nm
  - Tetraphenyl butadiene (TPB) wavelength shifter coating Teflon walls and PMT glass.
  - 24 kg fiducial volume.





## **Event Discrimination**

 Use of PoT signal from SNS greatly reduces steady-state backgrounds, BUT 1 Hz/kg of <sup>39</sup>Ar events still a large background:

Data Events	3752
Fit CEvNS	$159 \pm 43 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 14 \text{ (syst.)}$
Fit Beam Related Neutrons	$553 \pm 34$
Fit Beam Unrelated Background	$3131 \pm 23$
Fit Late Beam Related Neutrons	$10 \pm 11$
$2\Delta(-\ln L)$	15.0
Null Rejection Significance	$3.5\sigma$ (stat. + syst.)

#### Analysis A Fit Results

- Standard PSD technique for Ar scintillation is ratio of integral in first 90 ns to total integral (F90)
- Potential issues near threshold:
  - Discrete photon pulses widen dispersion of band.
  - Value of F90 much more susceptible to fluctuations.
- Can we use more (all) waveform information?



100

200

300

**AmBe Data** 

400

500

600 PEs

## Applying a 2D CNN

- Convolutional neural networks typically work on 2-d images; but there is some support for 1d neural network in pyKeras.
- Relative paucity of 1D examples, so first attempt works on 2D images of waveforms instead.
- Recurrence plots are often used to visualize periodic features in N-dimensional phase spaces.

 $R(i,j) = \|\vec{x}(i) - \vec{x}(j)\|$ 

- Distance is limited to some number of gradations which in the following instances is set to 128.
- Due to large size of peak w.r.t. other samples, square root of distance was used instead.



#### **Recurrence Plot**



## **Creating Waveform Images**



## **Training the Network**

- Time-tagged DT data makes for an excellent source of NR waveforms with little accidentally contamination form ER band.
- Selection criteria for training samples:

#### NR

- 20 < NPE < 600
- 0.4 < F90 < 0.81
- -0.6 < Tag Time < 0.1

#### ER

- 40 < NPE < 600
- 0.15 < F90 < 0.4
- <sup>57</sup>Co calibration data
- Approximately 1e5 events for both event samples.





## **Training the Network**

- Event waveforms are truncated to 1024 samples, and then down sampled twice to yield an array of 256 samples.
- Recurrence map then generated which has shape (256,256), which is fed to the CNN.

```
initializer = initializers.glorot_normal()
model = Sequential()
model.add(Conv2D(64,kernel_size=(3,3),activation='relu',input_shape=(256,256,1)))
model.add(MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(2,2),strides=(2,2)))
model.add(Conv2D(32,kernel_size=(3,3),activation='relu'))
model.add(Flatten())
model.add(Dense(128,activation='relu'))
model.add(Dropout(0.4))
model.add(Dense(2,activation='sigmoid'))
model.compile(loss="sparse_categorical_crossentropy",optimizer='adam',metrics=['accuracy'])
```



## **Training the Network**



- Few epochs required to train on this dataset.
- Can use model output to classify new data.
- Classification results are either binary class decision (Sig,Bkg)
   OR
- Score for each category (0->1) where summation of scores equals unity.
- Variable used for cuts: Signal Score

   Bkg Score (-1->1 with > 0 being signal classification).

#### **Evaluation on Calibration Data**





#### Signal Score – Bkg Score > 0.05

#### **Evaluation on Separate DT Dataset**

350

300



Tagged Data – Score > 0.01



#### **Evaluation on Separate DT Dataset**



Sig Classified



## **Summary and Outlook**

- Standard PSD methods in LAr scintillation detectors begin to degrade with low photo-statistics.
- Signal spectrum for CEvNS (and other NR signals) is steeply rising at low energy; harsh F90 cuts eliminate potential signal.
- CNN trained using time-tagged DT data is able to distinguish events at low energy without strict cut in F90-space.
- Results are still preliminary; work to be done to see how much this may improve sensitivity of CENNS-10.
- If successful, begin to incorporate ML approaches to other COHERENT subsystems.



# Auxiliary Slides

#### Calibrations

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Calibrations performed using multiple gamma sources (<sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>241</sup>Am, <sup>83m</sup>Kr).
- Observed light yield: 4.6  $\pm$  0.4 p.e./keVee
- 9.5% resolution at 41.5 keVee
- Linearity of detector response over energy range of interest.
- Global fit to LAr nuclear quenching data to provide keVnr->keVee conversion.

#### **Neutron Calibrations**

- **AmBe** Used to measure NR response in detector and model CEvNS signal.
- **DT Generator** Used to confirm veracity of external neutron simulations

10<sup>-1</sup>

10<sup>-2</sup>

10<sup>-3</sup>

#### **Fit Results**

- Best fit for N CEvNS is  $159 \pm 43$  (stat)  $\pm 14$  (syst) ٠
- Null hypothesis rejected at  $3.9\sigma$  (stat only) •
- Null hypothesis rejected at **3.5σ** (stat+syst) ٠
- Validity of Wilks' theorem checked with pseudo-data. ٠

Events

Subtracted I

0 0.5

1 1.5

2.5 t<sub>trig</sub> (µs)

3 3.5 4 4.5

Result within 1- $\sigma$  of SM prediction. ٠

Predicted SM CEvNS	$128 \pm 17$
Predicted Beam Related Neutrons	$497 \pm 160$
Predicted Beam Unrelated Background	$3154\pm25$
Predicted Late Beam Related Neutrons	$33\pm33$

		0 40
Data Events	3752	ract
Fit CEvNS	$159 \pm 43 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 14 \text{ (syst.)}$	Subt
Fit Beam Related Neutrons	$553 \pm 34$	PL 20
Fit Beam Unrelated Background	$3131\pm23$	l lo 10
Fit Late Beam Related Neutrons	$10 \pm 11$	ack
$2\Delta(-\ln L)$	15.0	B-SS-B
Null Rejection Significance	$3.5\sigma$ (stat. + syst.)	0)

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

20 40 60 80 10 Reconstructed Energy (keVee)

0

120

0.5

0.55 0.6 0.65 0.7 F<sub>90</sub>

0.75 0.8

0.85

#### **CEVNS Cross Section**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

arXiv:2002.10630; submitted to PRL

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Combine best fit CEvNS counts with flux, fid. volume, efficiency uncertainties.

$$\frac{N_{meas}}{N_{SM}} = 1.2 \pm 0.4$$

• Obtain flux-averaged cross section:

$$\sigma_{meas} = \frac{N_{meas}}{N_s \phi \epsilon} = (2.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-39} \ cm^2$$

stat dominated

#### **Constraints on Non-Std Interactions**

# $$\begin{split} & \text{Modified Cross Section} \\ & Q_W^2 \to Q_{\text{NSI}}^2 = 4 \left[ N \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_{ee}^{uV} + 2\epsilon_{ee}^{dV} \right) + Z \left( \frac{1}{2} - 2\sin^2\theta_W + 2\epsilon_{ee}^{uV} + \epsilon_{ee}^{dV} \right) \right]^2 \\ & + 4 \left[ N (\epsilon_{e\tau}^{uV} + 2\epsilon_{e\tau}^{dV}) + Z (2\epsilon_{e\tau}^{uV} + \epsilon_{e\tau}^{dV}) \right]^2 \,. \end{split}$$

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

J. Barranco *et al*. Phys Rev D **76** (2007) J. Billard, J. Johnston, B. Kavanagh. arXiv:1805.01798

#### **Fit Projections**

Best Fit 1-D Projections

Best Fit 1-D Projections with CEvNS = 0

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **The COHERENT Collaboration**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)