

# Status for Pass0 of 2019 MC

T. Cao

April 6th, 2020

2019 Data Analysis Readiness Workshop

# Outline

- General setup based on experiment
- Software updates and development
  - Generation level
  - SLIC level
  - Readout level
  - Reconstruction
- Data samples so far
  - Generation level
  - SLIC level
  - Readout level
  - Reconstruction
- Discussion
- Summary

# General Setup Based on 2019 Experiment

Setup is based on experimental situations for production runs during Sep. 1<sup>st</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> of 2019.

- Beam
  - Energy: 4.55 GeV
  - Current: 120 nA
  - Bunch size: 1500
- Target: tungsten
  - Density:  $6.306 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$
  - Thickness: 20  $\mu\text{m}$
- Target offsetting, beam rotation and diffusion:
  - Target offset: -7.5 mm
  - Beam rotation: 30.5 mrad around y
  - Beam size: 0
- ap mass points: 50 75 100 150 200 250 300  $\text{MeV}/c^2$ 
  - Prompt: all outgoing particles by MadGraph pass through target
  - Displaced: only recoiled electrons pass through target;  $c\tau = ?$

# Software: Generation Level

Kinematic limits in run cards of MadGraph and EGS5 programs with consideration of MC efficiency, acceptance, cuts in trigger, cuts in event selection and divergence of MadGraph:

- ap for e+e- pair: No limits in 2016; Also no limits for 2019

- For pass0, it should be safe to keep the same angular lower limits as 2016. We actually can increase lower limits since SVT Layer0 is added.
- Set lower limits for energy as twice as 2016 for pass0 since beam energy is increased.
- Adjust setup after getting more information from reconstruction and data analysis

- RAD:

Limits	2016	2019
Minimum for energy of e+ or e- from pair	50 MeV	100 MeV
Minimum for y direction ( $p_y/p$ ) of e+ or e- from pair	0.005	0.005
Minimum for total energy of e+e- pair	500 MeV	1000 MeV
Minimum for invariant mass of e+e- pair	10 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>	10 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>

- Tri-trig:

Limits	2016	2019
Minimum for energy of e+	100 MeV	200 MeV
Minimum for y direction ( $p_y/p$ ) of e+ or e- from pair	0.005	0.005
Minimum for total energy for at least one pair	1000 MeV	2000 MeV
Minimum for invariant mass for at least one pair	10 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>	10 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>

- wab:

Limits	2016	2019
Minimum for energy of photon	400 MeV	800 MeV
Minimum for y direction ( $p_y/p$ ) of photon	0.005	0.005

- beam:

Limits	2016	2019
Minimum for energy of e-	0.005*E <sub>beam</sub>	0.005*E <sub>beam</sub>
Minimum for transverse (2016) / y (2019) direction if energy is larger than 0.6*E <sub>beam</sub> for e-	0.005	0.005
Minimum for y direction of photon	0.004	0.004
Maximum for y direction if energy is larger than 400 MeV (800 MeV for 2019) for photon	0.005	0.005

# Software: SLIC Level

- Detector: HPS-PhysicsRun2019-v2-4pt5

# Software: Readout Level

- Bug fixing: effects of the bugs on 2016 MC are estimated to be non-significant
  - To let the digitization driver can process hodoscope hits like Ecal hits, hodoscope gains need to be converted from self-defined-unit/ADC in the database to MeV/ADC.
  - The threshold-crossing sample is a part of NSA instead of NSB.
  - Deadtime for pulse integration is 32 ns instead of 32 clock-cycles.
- New drivers for the trigger system:
  - HodoscopePatternReadoutDriver: hodoscope hit patterns for geometry matching with Ecal in the trigger system
  - SinglesTrigger2019ReadoutDriver: singles trigger
  - PairsTrigger2019ReadoutDriver: pairs trigger
- Steering files: parameters are set based on the DAQ configuration file: hps\_v12\_1.cnf
  - /org/hps/steering/readout/PhysicsRun2019TrigSingles.lcsim: singles trigger
  - /org/hps/steering/readout/PhysicsRun2019TrigPairs.lcsim.: pairs trigger
  - /org/hps/steering/readout/PhysicsRun2019TrigPulse.lcsim: pulse trigger
- Look into details for the above updates and development from the talk: <https://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/hpsg/2020.03.25+-+Software+Meeting?preview=/275089973/275089925/Updates%20of%20the%20Readout%20System%20for%202019%20MC.pdf>
- Updates for SVT: in Omar's talk

# Software: Reconstruction Level

- In Omar's and PF's talks

# Samples: Generation Level

- ap: 1k files for each mass points, and 10k - 20k events per file; Madgraph -> EGS5 -> stdhep tools
- rad, wab, tritrig: 1k files, and 10k events per file; Madgraph -> EGS5 -> stdhep tools
- beam: 1k files (10k files in plan), and 250k bunches per file; EGS5 -> stdhep tools
- Note: Interval of signal events when spacing is 250, so 10 beam files (250k \* 10 bunches) is merged with 1 spaced signal file (10k \* 250 events).



# Samples: SLIC Level

- tritrig
- wab
- beam

# Samples: Readout Level

- Pure samples: event spacing -> readout
  - tritrig: singles trigger
  - wab: pulse trigger
- Samples with beam overlay: signal event spacing and 10to1 bundling for beam files -> merging signal events with beam bunches -> readout
  - tritrig-beam: singles trigger
  - wab-beam: pulse trigger

# Samples: Reconstruction Level

- Pure samples: recon -> hpstr
  - tritrig: singles trigger
  - wab: pulse trigger
- Samples with beam overlay: recon -> hpstr
  - tritrig-beam: singles trigger
  - wab-beam: pulse trigger

# More Samples in Progress

- ap-beam: singles trigger; pairs trigger
- rad-beam: singles trigger
- wab-beam: singles trigger

# Discussion: ctau for ap displaced

ctau is 10 mm for 2016 MC. We need to re-consider ctau value for 2019 MC.

- vertex = beta \* gamma \* ct, where ct is randomized with parameter of ctau, and beta \* gamma =  $E_{ap}/m_{ap}$ , where  $E_{ap}$  depends on beam energy.
- SVT L0 is applied for 2019. What's zMax? L0 location according to 2016?

# Summary

- Plenty of software updates for the MC system have been made and been tested well.
- Some large-scale MC samples have been produced, and production for more samples is in progress.
- For more details, please look into the confluence page <https://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/hpsg/2019+MC+Data>.